

**From:** Chris Miller <csmsav@bellsouth.net>  
**To:** <teh@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** 5/5/03 12:35AM  
**Subject:** Re:NUREG 1767

Michael T. Lesar, Chief, Rules & Directives Branch,  
Division of Administrative Services,  
Office of Administration,  
Mail Stop T-6D59,  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,  
Washington, DC 20555.

Mr. Lesar,

As a Savannah resident and a US citizen who is concerned about the health, safety and security impacts of Federal programs, I am deeply troubled by the DOE's proposed MOX facility at the Savannah river site.

I have read the recently released EIS and I am strongly opposed this proposal until the following issues can be reasonably addressed:

- \* Shouldn't terrorism be addressed in the report? (P. 1-29)
- \* Shouldn't environmental justice impacts along transportation routes be evaluated? (more important than studying "visual impacts" - P. 1-26)
- \* Shouldn't emergency preparedness in dealing with accident impacts in nearby communities be studied? (P. 1-29)
- \* Should any deaths be considered "acceptable"? Why are some communities unfairly burdened with higher risks? (P. 4-57)
- \* Why aren't safer and cheaper options being studied? (P. 2-23)
- \* Shouldn't it be clearly stated what is really going to be done with surplus weapons plutonium stockpiles before the NRC approves the MOX facility?

Chris Miller  
314 E. 65th St  
Savannah, GA  
912-351-0649

2/28/03

68FR9728

34

RECEIVED  
2003 MAY -6 AM 11:  
Rules and Directives  
Branch  
10170

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03  
Call = Mr. Harris (TEH)  
A. Lester (0011)