



NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Category

3

Meeting
Date: 03/25/2003Meeting
Title: NRC Public Meeting on MOX Environmental Review
Coastal Georgia Center2/28/03
68FC 9725
(30)

In order to better serve the public, we need to hear from the meeting participants. Please take a few minutes to fill out this feedback form and return it to NRC.

1. How did you hear about this meeting?

☐ NRC Web Page☐ NRC Mailing List☐ Newspaper☐ Radio/TV☐ Other emailed

- | | Yes | No
(Please explain below) | Somewhat |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2. Were you able to find supporting information prior to the meeting? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Did the meeting achieve its stated purpose? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Were the meeting starting time, duration, and location reasonably convenient? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Were you given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express your views? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. Are you satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS:

Thank you for answering these questions.

Dealing with Plutonium is a scary issue and I wish the stuff was never extracted and manufactured. The whole Nuclear idea is absolutely disgusting, but it is definitely something that needs to be dealt with.

I oppose any activity dealing with, manufacturing, processing, and transporting radioactive material + whatever else has to do with the nuclear issue.

I live on Earth as a member of the global community and

Continue Comments on the reverse. ➡

OPTIONAL

Name Andre Entermann

Organization _____

Telephone No. _____

E-Mail

entertheman@hotmail.com☐ Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

OMB NO. 3150-0197

Expires 06/30/2003

Public Protection Notification: If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

Template = ADU-013

E-GRDS = ADU-03
GCR - T. Harris (REC) - A. Lester (ACLI)

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS: (Continued)

I have a sincere, compassionate feeling towards the environment and posterity.

In the E.I.S., I was frustrated by the abundance of the words significant + insignificant. This is obviously a way to get around the actual explanation.

It's so sad we're even considering dealing with this horrible stuff.

At this point, the feeling I have is the decision has already been made. This is all part of the struggle of truth: I hope MONEY will be put aside and the obvious reality of ~~extra~~ catastrophe will be realized.

I noticed during the presentation that there was mention about SR'S exceeding the air quality limits by 2.5. ~~And~~ By running this MOX facility you will raise the already illegal regulations by .01%?

NO!

The fact that the majority of the stored "stuff" is in Texas and Colorado should wave a flag of the location of consideration. There would be minimal transport if the site was ~~totally~~ located near Storage.

If it had to go some where, of course not in the president state? please explain why not?



Also, the fact that Duke will be receiving the power + selling it to NC + SC is even more absurd!

Lets hope the wind doesn't blow our way.

The human beings are desperately asking for this nuclear ~~the~~ talk to end!

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300



RECEIVED
MAR 29 11 06 AM '03

APR 29 11 06 AM '03

Rules and Directives
Branch
NRC

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL
FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 12904 WASHINGTON DC

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

MELISSA JENKINS
MAIL STOP T-7J8
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR MATERIAL SAFETY AND SAFEGUARDS
U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20277-2904

