

**RAS 5353**

**RELATED CORRESPONDENCE  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

January 16, 2003  
**DOCKETED 01/17/03**

**BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	Docket No. 72-26-ISFSI
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC CO.	)	
(Diablo Canyon Power Plant Independent	)	ASLBP No. 02-801-01-ISFSI
Spent Fuel Storage Installation)	)	
	)	

**NRC STAFF'S INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION  
OF DOCUMENTS TO THE CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION ("CEC")**

**INTRODUCTION**

Pursuant to 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.740, 2.740b, 2.741, 2.1111, and 2.1117, and the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board's ("Board") Memorandum and Order, dated December 26, 2002, (LBP-02-25, 56 NRC \_\_\_\_), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff hereby requests that the California Energy Commission ("CEC") respond to the following interrogatories, under oath, in writing, separately, in the fullest detail possible, within 10 days of the date of these interrogatories and request for production of documents. See LBP-02-25, slip op. at 10 establishing the response time. Responses should be sent to Stephen H. Lewis, Esq. and Angela B. Coggins, Esq., U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of the General Counsel, Mail Stop: O-15D21, Washington, D.C. 20555. In accordance with LBP-02-25, slip op. at 10, please also provide your answers electronically to the above-named counsel, at the e-mail addresses for each previously identified in this proceeding.

**DEFINITION**

"Identify" when used in reference to a person means to set forth the following: his or her name; present or last known business address and telephone number; employer; and title or position.

The word “document” as used herein shall mean any written matter, whether produced, reproduced or stored on paper, disks, charts, computer storage devices or any other medium and shall include, without limitation, matter in the form of books, reports, studies, statements, speeches, notebooks, agreements, working papers, memoranda, notes, procedures, orders, records, correspondence, diaries, plans, periodicals, lists, telephone logs, minutes, and any published materials and shall also include, without limitation, originals, copies (with or without notes or changes thereon) and drafts.

### INSTRUCTIONS

1. To the extent that you do not have specific, complete and accurate information with which to answer any interrogatory, you should so state, and the interrogatory should be answered to the extent the information is available, identifying each person who is believed to have accurate information with respect thereto.
2. If privilege is claimed as a ground for not answering the interrogatory or if the interrogatory is otherwise objected to in whole or in part, describe the legal and/or factual basis for the claim of privilege or other objection to the interrogatory or interrogatory part in sufficient detail so as to permit the Board to adjudicate the validity of the claim or objection.
3. If an interrogatory is not answered in full, please state the reasons for not answering, describe the steps taken to secure complete information, and detail the information which is available to CEC regarding the unanswered portions.
4. For each response, identify the name, title or position, and employer of the person or persons answering.

## INTERROGATORIES

### INTERROGATORY 1

San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace, *et al.*, ("SLOMFP") Contention TC-2 asserts that: "PG&E has failed to demonstrate that it meets the financial qualifications requirements of 10 C.F.R. § 72.22(e)." *Footnote omitted.* Section 72.22(e) requires, in part that the application:

must show that the applicant either possesses the necessary funds, or that the applicant has reasonable assurance of obtaining the necessary funds, or that by a combination of the two, the applicant will have the necessary funds available to cover the following: (1) Estimated construction costs; (2) Estimated operating costs over the planned life of the ISFSI; and (3) Estimated decommissioning costs, and the necessary financial arrangements to provide reasonable assurance before licensing, that decommissioning will be carried out after the removal of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, and/or reactor-related GTCC [Greater Than Class C] waste from storage.

Does CEC intend to participate in the oral argument in this proceeding, pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.1113, by filing:

A detailed written summary of all the facts, data, and arguments, which are known to the party at such time and on which the party proposes to rely at the oral argument...[and] all supporting facts and data in the form of sworn written testimony or other sworn written submission.

### INTERROGATORY 2

If the answer to Interrogatory 1 is in the affirmative, please respond to the following three sub-parts of this interrogatory and provide in your response to A., B., and C., below, references to the pages of the ISFSI application, as supplemented by PG&E's letter to the NRC, dated June 7, 2002, identified as PG&E Letter DIL-02-008 and bearing in its title line "Supplemental General and Financial Information - 10 C.F.R. 72.22," that demonstrate this failure.

A. In what specific respects does CEC contend that PG&E has failed to provide reasonable assurance that it will be able to fund, in the manner specified in 10 C.F.R. § 72.22(e), the estimated construction costs of the proposed ISFSI?

B. In what specific respects does CEC contend that PG&E has failed to provide reasonable assurance that it will be able to fund, in the manner specified in 10 C.F.R. § 72.22(e), the estimated operating costs over the planned life of the ISFSI?

C. In what specific respects does CEC contend that PG&E has failed to provide in its ISFSI application: "Estimated decommissioning costs, and the necessary financial arrangements to provide reasonable assurance before licensing, that decommissioning will be carried out after the removal of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, and/or reactor-related GTCC waste from storage?"

### INTERROGATORY 3

Please identify, and provide a statement of professional qualifications for, the CEC expert(s) who will provide, in accordance with 10 C.F.R. § 2.1113(a), "all supporting facts and data in the form of sworn written testimony or other sworn written submission," in support of Contention TC-2.

### REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

For each interrogatory, identify all documents on which CEC relies in support of its answer thereto. Please either provide with your response a copy of each such document or indicate where and from whom it can be obtained.

Respectfully submitted,

**/RA/**

Stephen H. Lewis  
Counsel for NRC Staff

Dated at Rockville, Maryland  
this 16<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2003

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO.	)	Docket No. 72-26-ISFSI
	)	
(Diablo Canyon Power Plant Independent	)	ASLBP No. 02-801-01-ISFSI
Spent Fuel Storage Installation)	)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the "NRC STAFF'S INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO THE CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION ("CEC")" have been served upon the following persons by United States mail, first class, or through the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's internal mail distribution as indicated by an asterisk (\*); and by electronic mail as indicated by a double asterisk (\*\*) on this 16th day of January, 2003.

G. Paul Bollwerk, III  
Administrative Judge\* \*\*  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Atomic  
Safety and Licensing Board Panel  
Mail Stop: T-3F23  
Washington, D.C. 20555  
E-mail: [gpb@nrc.gov](mailto:gpb@nrc.gov)

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel\*  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop: T-3F23  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication\*  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop: O-16C1  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Lorraine Kitman\*\*  
P.O. Box 1026  
Grover Beach, CA 93483  
E-mail: [lorraine@bejoseeds.com](mailto:lorraine@bejoseeds.com)  
[l.kitman@bejoseeds.com](mailto:l.kitman@bejoseeds.com)

Peter S. Lam  
Administrative Judge\* \*\*  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel  
Mail Stop: T-3F23  
Washington, D.C. 20555  
E-mail: [psl@nrc.gov](mailto:psl@nrc.gov)

Jerry R. Kline  
Administrative Judge\* \*\*  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel  
Mail Stop: T-3F23  
Washington, D.C. 20555  
E-mail: [jrk2@nrc.gov](mailto:jrk2@nrc.gov)  
[kjerry@comcast.net](mailto:kjerry@comcast.net)

Office of the Secretary\* \*\*  
ATTN: Rulemaking and Adjudication Staff  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop: O-16C1  
Washington, D.C. 20555  
E-mail: [HEARINGDOCKET@nrc.gov](mailto:HEARINGDOCKET@nrc.gov)

County Supervisor Peg Pinard \*\*  
County Government Center  
1050 Monterey Avenue  
San Luis Obispo, California 93408  
E-mail: [ppinard@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:ppinard@co.slo.ca.us)

San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace\*\*  
P.O. Box 164  
Pismo Beach, CA 93448  
E-Mail: [beckers@thegrid.net](mailto:beckers@thegrid.net)  
[Jzk@charter.net](mailto:Jzk@charter.net)

Darcie L. Houck, Staff Counsel\*\*  
California Energy Commission  
Chief Counsel's Office  
1516 Ninth Street, MS 14  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
E-Mail: [Dhouck@energy.state.ca.us](mailto:Dhouck@energy.state.ca.us)

Seamus M. Slattery  
Chairman  
Avila Valley Advisory Council  
P.O. Box 58  
Avila Beach, CA 93424

David A. Repka\*\*  
Brooke D. Poole\*\*  
Winston & Strawn  
1400 L Street N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3502  
E-Mail: [bpoole@winston.com](mailto:bpoole@winston.com)  
[drepka@winston.com](mailto:drepka@winston.com)

Diane Curran\*\*  
Harmon, Curran, Spielberg, & Eisenberg, LLP  
1726 M Street N.W., Suite 600  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
E-mail: [dcurran@harmoncurran.com](mailto:dcurran@harmoncurran.com)

Lawrence F. Womack  
Vice President  
Nuclear Services  
Diablo Canyon Power Plant  
P.O. Box 56  
Avila Beach, CA 93424

Klaus Schumann \*\*  
Mary Jane Adams  
26 Hillcrest Drive  
Paso Robles, CA 93446  
E-mail: [jayklaus@msn.com](mailto:jayklaus@msn.com)

James B. Lindholm, Jr. Esq.\*\*  
County Counsel for San Luis Obispo County  
County Government Center  
1050 Monterey Avenue, Room 386  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408  
E-Mail: [jlindholm@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:jlindholm@co.slo.ca.us)

Robert K. Temple, Esq.\*\*  
2524 N. Maplewood Avenue  
Chicago, IL 60647  
E-mail: [nuclaw@mindspring.com](mailto:nuclaw@mindspring.com)

Thomas D. Green, Esq.\*\*  
Thomas D. Waylett, Esq.\*\*  
Counsel for Port San Luis Harbor District  
Adamski, Moroski & Green, L.L.P.  
444 Higuera Street, Suite 300  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401-3875  
E-Mail: [green@adamskimoroski.com](mailto:green@adamskimoroski.com)  
[waylett@adamskimoroski.com](mailto:waylett@adamskimoroski.com)

Robert R. Wellington, Esq.\*\*  
Robert W. Rathie, Esq.\*\*  
Wellington Law Offices  
857 Cass Street, Suite D  
Monterey, California 93940  
E-Mail: [info@dcisc.org](mailto:info@dcisc.org)

John L. Wallace \*\*  
General Manager & District Engineer  
Avila Beach Community Services District  
P.O. Box 309  
Avila Beach, CA 93424  
E-mail: [JohnW@lwa.com](mailto:JohnW@lwa.com)

/RA/

---

Stephen H. Lewis  
Counsel for NRC Staff

Dated at Rockville, Maryland  
this 16<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2003