



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

October 8, 2002

MEMORANDUM TO: Melvyn N. Leach, Chief  
Special Projects and Inspection Branch  
Division of Fuel Cycle Safety  
and Safeguards

THRU: Joseph G. Giitter, Chief /RA/  
Special Projects Section  
Special Projects and Inspection Branch, FCSS

FROM: Timothy C. Johnson /RA/  
Senior Mechanical Systems Engineer  
Special Projects Section  
Special Projects and Inspection Branch, FCSS

SUBJECT: OCTOBER 3, 2002, MEETING SUMMARY: LOUISIANA ENERGY  
SERVICES PRE-APPLICATION MEETING ON SITE  
CHARACTERIZATION

On October 3, 2002, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff met with staff from Louisiana Energy Services (LES) to discuss the LES approach for site characterization of the proposed Hartsville, Tennessee site. These were pre-application discussions related to LES's planned submittal of an application for a gas centrifuge enrichment plant in January 2003. I am attaching the meeting summary for your use. This summary contains no proprietary or classified information.

Docket: 70-3103

Attachment: Louisiana Energy Services  
Meeting Summary

cc: William Szymanski/DOE  
Rod Krich/Exelon  
James Curtiss/W&S  
Mario Robles/USEC  
Jerry Clift/Trousdale  
Michael Marriotte/NIRS  
Ed Nanney/Tenn

October 8, 2002

MEMORANDUM TO: Melvyn N. Leach, Chief  
Special Projects and Inspection Branch  
Division of Fuel Cycle Safety  
and Safeguards

THRU: Joseph G. Giitter, Chief /RA/  
Special Projects Section  
Special Projects and Inspection Branch, FCSS

FROM: Timothy C. Johnson /RA/  
Senior Mechanical Systems Engineer  
Special Projects Section  
Special Projects and Inspection Branch, FCSS

SUBJECT: OCTOBER 3, 2002, MEETING SUMMARY: LOUISIANA ENERGY  
SERVICES PRE-APPLICATION MEETING ON SITE  
CHARACTERIZATION

On October 3, 2002, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff met with staff from Louisiana Energy Services (LES) to discuss the LES approach for site characterization of the proposed Hartsville, Tennessee site. These were pre-application discussions related to LES's planned submittal of an application for a gas centrifuge enrichment plant in January 2003. I am attaching the meeting summary for your use. This summary contains no proprietary or classified information.

Docket: 70-3103

Attachment: Louisiana Energy Services  
Meeting Summary

cc: William Szymanski/DOE  
Rod Krich/Exelon  
James Curtiss/W&S  
Mario Robles/USEC  
Jerry Clift/Trousdale  
Michael Marriotte/NIRS  
Ed Nanney/Tenn

<b>DISTRIBUTION:</b>	Docket: 70-3103	ADAMS	PUBLIC	NMSS r/f	FCS r/f
SPIB r/f	RPierson	ELeeds		JLieberman/OGC	
LClark/OGC	STreby/OGC	DAyres/Reg II		DSeymour/Reg II	
RHannah/Reg II	PHiland/Reg III	BBartlett/Reg III		DHartland/Reg III	
VMitlyng/Reg III	BSmith/EDO	PLohaus/OSTP		TCombs/OCA	
SFlanders/DWM	THarris/DWM	SWoods/DWM		KEverly/NSIR	
DBrown	YFaraz	MChatterton		RVirgilio/OPA	
SGagner/OPA	MLeach	TPowell		Hearing file	

ADAMS Accession No.: **ML030210165**

**G:\SPB\TCJ\LESGCMTGSUM10-3.WPD**

**\*SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE**

OFC	SPIB*		SPIB*	2E	SPIB*	
NAME	TCJohnson:dw		KValloch		JGiitter	
DATE	10/ 07 /02		10/ 07 /02		10/ 08 /02	

**C = COVER**

**E = COVER & ENCLOSURE  
OFFICIAL RECORD COPY**

**N = NO COPY**

## Louisiana Energy Services Pre-Application Meeting Summary

Dates: October 3, 2002

Place: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) offices;  
Rockville, MD

Attendees: See Attachment 1

Purpose:

The purpose of this meeting was to discuss with Louisiana Energy Services (LES) staff their approach for characterizing the proposed Hartsville, Tennessee, site. The meeting agenda is provided in Attachment 2. LES presentation handouts are provided in Attachment 3.

Discussion:

Following introductions, R. Krich confirmed that the license application is now scheduled to be submitted in January 2003.

E. Maher then discussed the LES primary and secondary site selection criteria. He said that primary selection criteria included an acceptable seismology with accelerations of 0.04 to 0.08 g for a 500-year earthquake. LES also wanted a site with a moderate climate, an existing set of robust site characterization data with no previous contamination at the site. LES also wants redundant sources of electrical power and a plot with sufficient size to construct the needed facilities. LES also noted a natural gas pump station and natural gas pipelines located in the vicinity of the site. This operation will be addressed in the Integrated Safety Analysis.

E. Maher indicated that the Hartsville site was originally purchased by the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) for nuclear power plants in the late 1960's and consists of 1700 acres. Two units of Hartsville Plant A were 65 percent completed prior to TVA abandoning the project. Two units of Hartsville Plant B were 20 percent completed. From this site, the Four Lake Regional Industrial Development Authority (FLRIDA) purchased 552 acres. From the FLRIDA, LES plans to purchase 262 acres surrounding the Hartsville Plant B site for its gas centrifuge enrichment plant.

The LES site includes no surface water features. Small streams adjacent to the LES site, runoff, and groundwater drain south toward the Cumberland River. The site is outside of wetland areas and the 100- and 500-year floodplains. LES is proposing to use County-supplied water rather than groundwater to supply water needs. LES will be drilling 6 wells to verify groundwater quality, including radiological characteristics. Of the 9 wells referenced in the 1975 Hartsville nuclear plant environmental report, 3 still exist. New data will be compared to the data presented in 1975. Bedrock consists of shaley limestone that is considered adequate for foundation support. Little remediation work is expected for foundation construction.

Due to previous construction of the Hartsville nuclear plant, about 80 percent of the LES site has been previously altered. Only limited environmental impacts are expected. No rare, threatened, or endangered plant or animal species have been found on the LES site. LES plans to control erosion and release of sediments during construction activities and operations.

Previous studies have identified National Registry sites within the LES site, although Native American burial mounds have been found or suspected off-site nearer the Cumberland River. No known historical or archeological impacts are expected.

For the environmental justice evaluation, previous studies will need to be upgraded to be consistent with NRC guidance in NUREG-1748, "Environmental Review Guidance for Licensing Actions Associated with NMSS Programs." This analysis will be presented in the LES Environmental Report.

Existing documents that will be referenced in the Environmental Report include TVA documents applicable to the Hartsville nuclear plant licensing and environmental submittals, the March 2002 Environmental Assessment performed for the land transfer to FLRIDA, and the NRC safety evaluation report and Environmental Impact Statement for the Hartsville nuclear plants. NRC staff reminded LES that all references used will need to be publically available. E. Maher indicated that most of the Environmental Report will be based on existing data requiring at most minor updating. The socioeconomic, waste management, and noise sections will require major updates. LES indicated that it has begun to meet with U.S. Department of Energy staff on waste management issues relating to disposition of depleted uranium tails.

E. Maher discussed the need for several State of Tennessee permits. These include a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit for waste and storm drainage, an air operating permit, a hazardous waste permit, and a possible landfill permit if previous Plant B construction areas need to be filled in. At this time, LES does not expect to demolish any existing structures on the site.

Action Items:

None

Attachments: 1. Attendee List  
2. Meeting Notice and Agenda  
3. LES Presentation Handouts

Louisiana Energy Services Pre-Application Meeting on  
Site Characterization  
Date: October 3, 2002

NAME	AFFILIATION	PHONE	EMAIL ADDRESS
TIM JOHNSON	NRC	301-415-7299	TLJ@NRC.GOV
J. Keith Every	NRC	301-415-7048	jke@nrc.gov
JOSEPH G. GUTTER	NRC	301-415-7485	jgg@nrc.gov
Tim Harris	NRC	301 415 6613	TEH@NRC.GOV
David Brown	NRC	301 415-5257	DOB@NRC.GOV
ROGER HUDSON	Licensing Support Services	703-671-9738	Roger@licensing-support.com
YAWAR FARAZ	NRC	301-415-8113	YHF@NRC.GOV
Rex Whiscott	NRC	301-415-6722	RGW@NRC.GOV
Don Palmrose	ATL Intl	301-515-6769	dpalmrose@atlintl.com
Abe Zeitoun	ATL	301-515-6770	zeitoun@atlintl.com
Andrea Jennetta	Fuel Cycle Week	202-547-8300	ajennetta@innuco.com
Edward Burns	WASHINGTON Group	703-236-2752	ed.burns@wgint.com
Mario Robles	USEC	301-564-3408	roblesm@usec.com
Peter J. Miner	USEC	(404) 897-2710	miner.pj@ports.usec.com
Dennis Scott	USEC	(301) 564-2352	scott.d@usec.com
TRENT WERTZ	USEC	301-564-3324	WERTZT@USEC.COM
R M Krich	Exelon Nuclear	030-657-2813	rod.krich@exeloncorp.com

Attachment 1

## Site Characterization

Date: October 3, 2002

[illegible]



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 9, 2002

MEETING NOTICE

Applicant: Louisiana Energy Services  
Suite 200  
1133 Connecticut Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20036

Docket: 70-3103

Date and Time: October 3, 2002; 1:00 P.M.

Location: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
One White Flint North Building, Room O-7B4  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland

Purpose: To discuss site characterization information applicable to a gas centrifuge license application.

NRC Attendees: M. Leach, J. Giitter, T. Johnson, J. Lieberman, D. Brown, S. Flanders, T. Harris, technical staff

Other Attendees: P. Upson/LES; R. Krich/Exelon; C. Andrews/LES; E. Maher/LES; technical staff

Contact: T. Johnson; 301-415-7299; [tcj@nrc.gov](mailto:tcj@nrc.gov)

Attendance at this meeting by other than those listed above should be made known via phone to above contact.

Category: Category 1 Meeting: The public is invited to observe this meeting and will have one or more opportunities to communicate with the NRC after the business portion, but before the meeting is adjourned.

NOTE: NRC Meetings are open for interested members of the public to attend pursuant to the "Enhanced Public Participation in NRC Meetings; Policy Statement," 67 *Federal Register* 36920, May 28, 2002.

Portions of this meeting may be closed to members of the public due to the proprietary nature of information to be discussed pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790.

Attachment: Meeting agenda

Attachment 2

Louisiana Energy Services Pre-Application Meeting Agenda  
October 3, 2002

- \_\_\_ Purpose/Introductions (TJohnson)
- \_\_\_ Site Characterization Approach
- \_\_\_ Summary and Conclusions




# ***Hartsville Site Characterization***


---

Edward F. Maher, Sc.D., CHP

October 3, 2002  
Rockville, MD



## Topics Covered



Site Selection Criteria

About the Hartsville, TN Site

Site Environmental Conditions

- Hydrology/Geology/Ecology
- Historical and Archeology
- Environmental Justice


Environmental Report (ER) Data Sources

Status of ER Data Collection

Permits Required

Environmental  
Report

## Site Selection Criteria (Primary)



Acceptable Seismology (0.04-0.08 g)

Robust Site Characterization Data

Moderate Climate (construction)


Land Not Previously Contaminated

Redundant Electrical Power Supply

Size of Land Plot

Environmental  
Report

# Site Selection Criteria (Secondary) Examples




Suitable Land Topography  
Political/Public Support  
Extant Nuclear Site  
Availability of Skilled Workers  
Good Transportation Routes  
Good Quality Electrical Power  
Disposal of Low-Level Waste

Environmental  
Report


## Site Selection Criteria (Secondary) Examples

Proximity of Hazardous Operations  
Low-Cost Electrical Power  
Acceptable Environmental Impact  
Good Amenities for Workforce




Environmental  
Report

# Hartsville, Tennessee




40 Miles NE of  
Nashville, TN  
5 Miles NW of TVA  
Nuclear Plant Site  
Trousdale County  
Population: 2500  
Near Cumberland  
River



Environmental  
Report


# Hartsville, Tennessee



Technical Center  
Utilities:


- Potable Water
- Sewer Service

Good Highway  
Access



Environmental  
Report

## TVA Site at Hartsville




Purchased by TVA in Late 1960's  
Proposed Site of Hartsville Nuclear Plants

- Plant A (2 units) was 65% completed
- Plant B (2 units) was 20% completed

Project Abandoned in Early 1980's  
Still Under Custodial Care of TVA  
552 Acres Transferred to Four Lake  
Regional Industrial Development Authority


Environmental  
Report






Environmental  
Report

**PROPOSED**  
**262-ACRE SITE**  
**ENVIRONMENTAL**  
**CHARACTERISTICS**



Environmental  
Report




No surface water bodies on the site

Adjacent small streams, runoff, and ground water drain toward the Cumberland River

Ground water flow controlled by infrequent joints in relatively impermeable bedrock

Unremarkable site hydrogeology

Environmental  
Report




## Groundwater Hydrology to be Verified

- 9 wells with level & quality data (1975)
- ER (1975) found no water quality issues
- Install 6 monitoring wells
- Sample wells weekly then monthly
- ER (1975) test parameters, plus radiological and primary drinking water standards
- Compare new data to 1975 data

Environmental  
Report

## Site Geology




- Most soil thin or removed from the site
- Bedrock at or near the ground surface
- Massive shaley limestone fully adequate for foundation support
- Limited pockets of weathering may require minor remedial work for foundation construction
- Simple and adequate site geology


Environmental  
Report



# Site Geology (continued)




Environmental  
Report



## Ecological Impacts Limited due to:

- Previous site development (80% altered)
- No outstanding natural communities
- No proposed development within floodplain and wetland areas
- Preservation of riparian areas




### Ecological Impacts Limited due to:

- No rare, threatened or endangered species (animals or plants) have been found
- Use of Best Management Practices by LES relative to sediment and erosion control
- No industrial discharge to navigable waterway being proposed
- Little or no impact expected from construction or operation

Environmental  
Report



## Historical and Archeological Impacts




Previous studies did not indicate National Registry sites within 262 acre site

Native American burial mounds were found and/or suspected off-site

Majority of 262 acres has previously been altered for plant construction

No known historical or archeological impacts expected

Environmental  
Report





## TVA 2002 Environmental Assessment (EA)

- Used county data only; no census areas
- Not consistent with NUREG 1748, Environmental Review Guidance for Licensing Actions Associated with NMSS Programs
- Found no EJ issues

## EJ Re-Evaluation

- Two census areas (901 & 902)
- 50 mi<sup>2</sup> area includes one-half of Hartsville
- Results will be provided in ER

Environmental  
Report



Environmental  
Report


## Regulatory Requirements and Guidance

10 CFR 51, Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions

NUREG 1748, Environmental Review Guidance for Licensing Actions Associated with NMSS Programs

NUREG 1520, Standard Review Plan for the Review of a License Application for a Fuel Cycle Facility

Regulatory Guide 4.9, Preparation of Environmental Reports for Commercial Uranium Enrichment Facilities



Environmental  
Report

## ER Data Sources

TVA Phase I Environmental  
Survey (2002)


TVA EA (2002)

LES-1 FEIS and ER (1993-4)

LES-1 Safety Evaluation Report,  
NUREG 1491 (1994)

NRC Safety Evaluation Report for  
Hartsville Nuclear Plant (1976)

Hartsville Nuclear Plant FEIS,  
NUREG 75/039 (1975)



Environmental  
Report

## ER Data Sources (continued)

Hartsville Nuclear Site ER  
and PSAR (1975)

TVA Documents/Files


A/E Design & Integrated  
Safety Analysis Documents

Government Web Sites

Public & Private Sources

Local Universities


New Data Collected at Site



Environmental  
Report

## TVA Phase I Environmental Survey Results:


No known Use of Hazardous Materials  
No Known NR Sites within 262 Acre Site  
No Rare or Endangered Species' Habitats  
No Above Ground- or Underground  
Storage Tanks  
Site is Above 100- and 500-Year  
Floodplains



Environmental  
Report



## Data and Impact Gaps



Handling and Transportation of Nuclear  
Materials

Electric Transmission Lines


Potential Impact of 13-Acre TVA Plant B  
Site

Tails Storage

Environmental  
Report



## Adequacy of Existing ER Data




ER Section	Type Data	Existing Adequate	Minor Updating	Major Revision
3.1	Site Description		✓	
3.2	Land Use		✓	
3.3	Transportation	✓		
3.4	Geology & Soils	✓		
3.5	Water Resources		✓	

Environmental  
Report

# Adequacy of Existing ER Data

(Continued)




ER Section	Type Data	Existing Adequate	Minor Updating	Major Revision
3.6	Ecology		✓	
3.7	Meteorology Air Quality		✓	
3.8	Noise			✓
3.9	Historic & Cultural	✓		
3.10	Visual & Scenic		✓	

Environmental  
Report

# Adequacy of Existing ER Data


(Continued)



ER Section	Type Data	Existing Adequate	Minor Updating	Major Revision
3.11	Socio-economic			✓
3.12	Public & Worker Health		✓	
3.13	Waste Management			✓

Environmental  
Report

## State Permits Required



National Pollutant Discharge Elimination  
System (NDPES) - Waste and Storm  
Air Operating Permit  
Hazardous Waste Permit  
Landfill Permit (potential)

Environmental  
Report

## Conclusions

Hartsville, TN Site Scored Highest Relative to Site Selection Criteria

Very Low Environmental Impact from Facility based on European Plant Experience

Construction Impacts Minimal because the Site Already Altered

Robust Existing Environmental Data



Environmental  
Report