

July 18, 2002

Mr. Barry Quigley  
3512 Louisiana Rd.  
Rockford, Illinois 61108

Dear Mr. Quigley:

Thank you for your May 17, 2002, letter in which you expressed concerns about the capability of the reactor coolant system (RCS) leakage detection systems at operating nuclear power plants to detect a 1 gallon-per-minute (gpm) RCS leak rate within 1 hour. You asked three questions and inquired about the status of generic activities related to RCS leakage detection systems. The enclosure to this letter provides our answers to your questions and the status of generic activities related to RCS leakage detection. Although your letter, and hence our response, focused on pressurized-water reactors (PWRs), the same issue may also apply to boiling water-reactors (BWRs).

We would like to point out that in light of leak-before-break studies performed since the issuance of Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.45, "Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Leakage Detection Systems," we have determined that the detector response time (1 hour) specified therein is conservative with respect to crack growth in piping. However, with respect to the recent control rod drive mechanism penetration cracking events, the sensitivity (1 gallon per minute) specified in RG 1.45 cannot detect the small leak rates associated with these type of events. Such small leakage rates must be detected via inservice inspection programs, boric acid walkdowns or other inspection techniques.

For most component and piping degradation mechanisms, the probability of crack growth resulting in a loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA) prior to detection by one of the reactor coolant system leakage detection systems is extremely low. This conclusion may be drawn due to: (1) the overall diversity and redundancy of the leakage detection systems, (2) relatively slow rates of stable crack growth for most cracking mechanisms, and (3) the low probability of an abnormal loading event (e.g., an earthquake) which could lead to catastrophic failure of the component or piping. Nuclear power plants are designed to provide adequate core cooling following any postulated LOCA up to and including a break equivalent in size to the double-ended rupture of the largest pipe in the RCS. This design feature, coupled with the extremely low likelihood of undetected crack growth resulting in a LOCA, leads us to conclude that the risk significance of this issue is low.

B. Quigley

-2-

Thank you for expressing your concerns to the NRC. I trust the information provided in the enclosure to this letter is responsive to your concerns.

Sincerely,

**/RA/**

Brian W. Sheron, Associate Director  
for Project Licensing and Technical Analysis  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated

B. Quigley

-2-

Thank you for expressing your concerns to the NRC. I trust the information provided in the enclosure to this letter is responsive to your concerns.

Sincerely,

**/RA/**

Brian W. Sheron, Associate Director  
for Project Licensing and Technical Analysis  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated

**DISTRIBUTION:**

SCollins	SPLB R/F	GHolahan	JHannon	SWeerakkody	CLi
BSheron	JStrosnider	JZwolinski	CCasto	JShaplaker	GCwalina
JGolla	AHowell	MChawla	WLeFave	MMitchell	TChan
SBurns	KCyr	CPaperiello	WKane	PNorry	JCraig
WBeckner	Eimbro	MJohnson	WTravers	DLochbaum	RElliott
WBateman	NRR Mailroom (G20020302)		PMagnanelli (G20020302)		
PUBLIC (w/incoming)					

**Accession No. for Package: ML021750012**

**Accession No. for Letter: ML021750003**

**Accession No. for Enclosure: ML021750004**

\* See previous concurrences

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\SPLB\SECTION A - WEERAKKODY\LEFAVE\GT 20020302.WPD

OFFICE	SPLB:DSSA:NRR	SC:SPLB:DSSA	BC:SPLB:DSSA	D:DSSA:NRR	EMCB:DE
NAME	WLeFave:bw	SWeerakkody	JHannon	GHolahan	WBateman
DATE	06/20/02*	06/20/02*	06/20/02*	06/21/02*	07/03/02*

OFFICE	SPSB:DSSA:NRR	RORP:DRIP	TECH ED	ADPT:NRR	
NAME	MJohnson	WBeckner	PKleene	BSheron	
DATE	07/10/02*	07/01/02*	06/19/02*	07/18/02	

OFFICIAL AGENCY RECORD