

April 17, 2002

Mr. Harold W. Keiser
Chief Nuclear Officer & President
PSEG Nuclear LLC - X04
Post Office Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

SUBJECT: SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NO. 2 - UPCOMING STEAM
GENERATOR TUBE INSERVICE INSPECTION (TAC NO. MB4599)

Dear Mr. Keiser:

Inservice inspections of steam generator (SG) tubes play a vital role in ensuring that adequate structural integrity of the tubes is maintained. As required by the Salem Nuclear Generating Station (Salem), Unit No. 2, Technical Specifications (TSs), reporting requirements range from submitting a report within 15 days following completion of each inservice inspection of SG tubes that identifies the number of tubes plugged and/or repaired, to submitting a report included in your Annual Operating Report, within 12 months following completion of the inspection, that provides complete results of the SG tube inservice inspection. The report containing the complete results includes the following:

1. Number and extent of tubes inspected;
2. Location and percent of wall-thickness penetration for each indication of an imperfection;
3. Identification of tubes plugged (and/or repaired).

A phone conference will be arranged in the near future with members of your staff to discuss the results of the SG tube inspections that will be performed during the upcoming Salem Unit 2 refueling outage. The conference call will be conducted at an appropriate time during the outage after a majority of the tubes have been inspected, but before the SG inspection activities have been completed. Enclosed is a list of discussion points to facilitate this phone conference.

H. Keiser

- 2 -

We request that any significant results discussed during the phone conference, as well as any materials provided by your staff to assist us during the phone conference in the understanding of the SG tube results, be included in one of the special reports required by the TSs.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Robert J. Fretz, Project Manager, Section 2
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-311

Enclosure: List of Discussion Points

cc w/encl: See next page

H. Keiser

- 2 -

We request that any significant results discussed during the phone conference, as well as any materials provided by your staff to assist us during the phone conference in the understanding of the SG tube results, be included in one of the special reports required by the TSs.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Robert J. Fretz, Project Manager, Section 2
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-311

Enclosure: List of Discussion Points

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

PUBLIC	SRichards	ESullivan	GMeyer, RGN-I
PDI-2 Reading	JClifford	JTsao	RLorson, RGN-I
OGC	RFretz	EMurphy	MModes, RGN-I
ACRS	CBeardsley	ZFu	KKolaczyk, RGN-I

ACCESSION NUMBER: ML020930325

OFFICE	PDI-2/PM	PDI-2/LA	EMCB	PDI-2/SC
NAME	RFretz	TClark	LLund	JClifford
DATE	04/05/02	4/4/02	4/11/02	4/11/02

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

PSEG Nuclear LLC

Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2

cc:

Mr. Elbert C. Simpson
Senior Vice President &
Chief Administrative Officer
PSEG Nuclear - N19
P.O. Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. Mark B. Bezilla
Vice President - Technical Support
PSEG Nuclear - N10
P.O. Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. David F. Garchow
Vice President - Operations
PSEG Nuclear - X10
P.O. Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. Gabor Salamon
Manager - Nuclear Safety and Licensing
PSEG Nuclear - N21
P.O. Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Jeffrie J. Keenan, Esquire
PSEG Nuclear - N21
P.O. Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Ms. R. A. Kankus
Joint Owner Affairs
PECO Energy Company
Nuclear Group Headquarters KSA1-E
200 Exelon Way
Kennett Square, PA 19348

Lower Alloways Creek Township
c/o Mary O. Henderson, Clerk
Municipal Building, P.O. Box 157
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Dr. Jill Lipoti, Asst. Director
Radiation Protection Programs
NJ Department of Environmental
Protection and Energy
CN 415
Trenton, NJ 08625-0415

Richard Hartung
Electric Service Evaluation
Board of Regulatory Commissioners
2 Gateway Center, Tenth Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Senior Resident Inspector
Salem Nuclear Generating Station
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Drawer 0509
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. Norm Cohen
The UNPLUG Salem Campaign
21 Barr Avenue
Linwood, NJ 08221

STEAM GENERATOR TUBE INSPECTION DISCUSSION POINTS

PREPARED BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

PSEG NUCLEAR LLC

SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NO. 2

DOCKET NO. 50-311

The following discussion points have been prepared to facilitate the phone conference arranged with the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit No. 2, (Salem) licensee to discuss the results of the steam generator (SG) tube inspections to be conducted during the upcoming Salem Unit 2 refueling outage. This phone call will be scheduled to occur towards the end of the planned SG tube inspection interval, but before the unit exits its refueling outage.

It is the staff's expectation that any significant results or relevant trends discussed during the phone conference, as well as any materials provided by your staff to assist us during the phone conference in the understanding of the SG tube results, will be included in one of the special reports required by the plant Technical Specifications.

1. Discuss whether any primary to secondary leakage existed in this unit prior to shutdown.
2. Discuss the results of secondary side hydrostatic tests.
3. For each steam generator, provide a general description of areas examined, including the expansion criteria utilized and type of probe used in each area.
4. For analyzed eddy current results, describe bobbin indications (those not examined with rotating pancake coil (RPC)) and RPC/Plus Point/Cecco/X-probe indications. Include the following information in the discussion: location, number, degradation mode, disposition, and voltages/depths/lengths of significant indications.
5. Describe repair/plugging plans for the SG tubes that meet the repair/plugging criteria.
6. Discuss the previous history of SG tube inspection results, including any "look backs" performed.
7. Discuss, in general, the new inspection findings.
8. Describe in-situ pressure test plans and results, if applicable and available, including tube selection criteria.

ENCLOSURE

9. Describe tube pull plans and preliminary results, if applicable and available; include tube selection criteria.
10. Discuss the assessment of tube integrity for the previous operating cycle.
11. Discuss the assessment of tube integrity for next operating cycle.
12. Provide the schedule for steam generator-related activities during the remainder of the current outage.
13. Discuss what steps have been taken, or will be taken, in response to the lessons learned from the Indian Point Unit 2 tube failure. In addition, please be prepared to discuss the following:
 - a) Discuss the actions that are taken in response to identifying a new degradation mechanism;
 - b) Discuss the actions taken to ensure that data noise levels are acceptable, and;
 - c) Address data quality issues and the need for criteria to address data quality.