

ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSED INFORMATION COLLECTION

TO: INFORMATION MANAGEMENT COORDINATOR

Debra McCain, NRR

TITLE OF INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIREMENT

10 CFR Part 100, Reactor Site Criteria

THE FOLLOWING FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED IN EVALUATING THE PROPOSED INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIREMENT. *(If the response is not so, check "NO" and explain*

YES

NO


- | | | |
|---|-----|--|
| 1. The requirement is needed. (It is the best means to achieve a necessary regulatory objective.) | ✓ | |
| 2. The requirement has practical utility, i.e., the NRC has the capability to use the information in a timely and useful fashion. | ✓ | |
| 3. The schedule for imposing the requirement is reasonable. | ✓ | |
| 4. The requirement selected is the least burdensome method of achieving a necessary regulator objective. | ✓ | |
| 5. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by the NRC. | ✓ | |
| 6. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by other Government agencies. | ✓ | |
| 7. The method used to estimate the burden is adequate. | ✓ | |
| 8. The burden estimates are reasonable when compared with similar requirements previously submitted. | ✓ | |
| 9. The methods proposed for collecting or keeping the information are consistent with sound record management practices. | ✓ | |
| 10. The records retention period is sufficiently definitive and reasonable. | N/A | |
| 11. The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported. | ✓ | |
| 12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection. | ✓ | |
| 13. The information collection will not cause NRC to exceed its Information Collection Budget. | ✓ | |

REMARKS

OCIO REVIEWER - (Assigned Analyst)

Janette E. Copeland
Records Management Branch/IMD/OCIO

SIGNATURE




DATE

01/ 18 /2002

OCIO APPROVAL - (Branch Level)

Brenda Jo. Shelton
NRC Clearance Officer/OCIO

SIGNATURE



DATE

01/ 15 /2002