

February 28, 2002

Po Kee Wong, Ph.D.  
Systems Research Company  
50 Bradley Street  
Somerville, MA 02145-2930

Dear Dr. Wong:

I am writing in response to your January 27, 2002, e-mail to Chairman Meserve. You attached two patents (United States Patent 5,084,232, "Trajectory solid angle's impacts to physics and high technologies," and United States Patent 5,848,377, "Wong's angles to determine trajectories of objects" ) to your e-mail. You requested that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) accredit you "...as the LICENSE HOLDER of these two BASIC PATENTS for their numerous applications in NUCLEAR SAFETY LICENSING...."

As part of its mission, the NRC reviews applications for and amendments to licenses to operate nuclear facilities. An applicant can choose from a number of methodologies to provide technical support for a request for a license or license amendment. The NRC staff must determine if there is reasonable assurance that issuing a license or granting a license amendment will not endanger the health and safety of the public. As part of the staff's review of licensee's proposals, the staff may review the underlying analytical methodology as necessary to make a decision on whether or not to grant that request. If your methodology were assumed to be in or a part of a licensee's proposed amendment, the staff may review it. The NRC staff does not normally perform technical reviews of materials that are not related to any specific licensing action, nor does it affirm individuals as license holders for patents that they have received.

In a telephone conversation with Mr. Tad Marsh of my staff on or about February 11, 2002, you indicated that you had been dismissed from Stone and Webster as a result of a disagreement over the use of one of your methodologies. The NRC does investigate some cases of discrimination for raising safety concerns; however, since you did not indicate that your dismissal was the result of raising safety concerns and because several years have elapsed since your dismissal, the NRC will not investigate.

I trust that this response addresses your concerns. If I can be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

**/RA/**

John A. Zwolinski, Director  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

February 28, 2002

Po Kee Wong, Ph.D.  
Systems Research Company  
50 Bradley Street  
Somerville, MA 02145-2930

Dear Dr. Wong:

I am writing in response to your January 27, 2002, e-mail to Chairman Meserve. You attached two patents (United States Patent 5,084,232, "Trajectory solid angle's impacts to physics and high technologies," and United States Patent 5,848,377, "Wong's angles to determine trajectories of objects" ) to your e-mail. You requested that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) accredit you "...as the LICENSE HOLDER of these two BASIC PATENTS for their numerous applications in NUCLEAR SAFETY LICENSING...."

As part of its mission, the NRC reviews applications for and amendments to licenses to operate nuclear facilities. An applicant can choose from a number of methodologies to provide technical support for a request for a license or license amendment. The NRC staff must determine if there is reasonable assurance that issuing a license or granting a license amendment will not endanger the health and safety of the public. As part of the staff's review of licensee's proposals, the staff may review the underlying analytical methodology as necessary to make a decision on whether or not to grant that request. If your methodology were assumed to be in or a part of a licensee's proposed amendment, the staff may review it. The NRC staff does not normally perform technical reviews of materials that are not related to any specific licensing action, nor does it affirm individuals as license holders for patents that they have received.

In a telephone conversation with Mr. Tad Marsh of my staff on or about February 11, 2002, you indicated that you had been dismissed from Stone and Webster as a result of a disagreement over the use of one of your methodologies. The NRC does investigate some cases of discrimination for raising safety concerns; however, since you did not indicate that your dismissal was the result of raising safety concerns and because several years have elapsed since your dismissal, the NRC will not investigate.

I trust that this response addresses your concerns. If I can be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

/RA/

John A. Zwolinski, Director  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC

PDI-2 R/F	RidsNrrDlpm (JZwolinski)	WTravers	AThadani, RES
RidsNrrOd (SCollins/JJohnson)	RidsNrrDlpmLpdl	CPaperiello	MVirgilio, NMSS
RidsNrrAdpt (BSheron)	RidsNrrDlpmLpdl-1	WKane	SECY # 02-0057
RidsOgcRp	RidsNrrPMGWunder	PNorry	
RidsOpaMailCenter	RidsRgn1MailCenter	JCraig	
RidsOcaMailCenter		SBurns/KCyr	
TJCarter-Gorham (EDO#G20020062)		TClark	

**Incoming: ML020390143**

**Accession No.: ML020560372**

**Package: ML020560396**

OFFICE	PDI-2/PM	PDI-2/LA	DLPM/AD	DLPM/D
NAME	GWunder	TClark	TMarsh	JZwolinski
DATE	02/27/02	2/27/02	2/27/02	2/28/02

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY