

From: Michael Modes
To: Daniel Holody
Date: Thu, Jul 27, 2000 3:49 PM
Subject: IP2 NOV

Here is my cut at it.

Thank You
M
(610) 337-5198

CC: David Lew

N/14

③

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criteria XVI, requires that measures shall be established to assure that conditions adverse to quality are promptly identified and corrected. In the case of significant conditions adverse to quality, the measures shall assure that the cause of the condition is determined and corrective action taken to preclude recurrence.

Contrary to the above:

1. During the 1997 refueling outage, the licensee identified primary water stress corrosion cracking (PWSCC) in a low-row tube in one of their steam generators. The PWSCC was located in the apex area of the U-bend of the tube. It was known at the time of this discovery, through generally available literature, that this area of the steam generator is vulnerable to this form of degradation. Although the licensee used an engineering study to evaluate this degradation and performed eddy current of the low row tubes in all the steam generators the licensee did not take appropriate corrective action to assure the PWSCC was promptly identified and corrected in the steam generator. Specifically the licensee did not implement appropriate measures to determine the extent of the condition in the low row tubes. The licensee did not use available enhanced eddy current techniques to evaluate the condition of the other low row tubes to determine the degree to which they had PWSCC. In addition the licensee did not inspect other vulnerable areas of the steam generator using eddy current techniques specifically devised to determine the overall extent of this condition.
2. During the 1997 eddy current testing of the low row tubes, including tube R2C5 in SG24 which failed on 2/15/00, the licensee encountered interference in the eddy current data in the form of baseline noise. The eddy current data was used to evaluate the condition of the tubes and to detect flaws. The licensee did not identify the possibility the noise could mask signals representing flaws and did not take corrective actions to prevent the noise from masking the flaws that required evaluation. Specifically the licensee did not adjust the data analysis techniques to compensate for the impact of the noise on the ability to evaluate the data; particularly in areas of the steam generator where there was an increased susceptibility to tube degradation.

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criteria IX, requires, in part, that measures be established to assure that special processes, including nondestructive testing, are controlled and accomplished by qualified personnel using qualified procedures in accordance with applicable codes, standards, specifications, criteria, and other special requirements.

1. At the time of the 1997 outage, the licensee had not established procedures to adequately determine the extent of steam generator flow slot deformation (hour glassing) in the uppermost support plate flow slots. This flow slot deformation is associated with steam generator tube denting and an increase in the stress on the low row tube apex. When the licensee encountered numerous eddy current probe restrictions at the uppermost tube support plate locations, due to tube deformation caused by denting and hour glassing, during eddy current testing of several tubes, the licensee did not adequately assess the existence of any flow slot deformation. This assessment would have provided an indication of increased stresses on the tubes and their enhanced vulnerability to PWSCC.
2. During the 1997 eddy current testing of several tubes, the licensee did not calibrate the

eddy current plus point probe in conformance with the previously qualified technique. This enhance eddy current technique is used to detect flaws in the U bend of the low row tubes. Specifically, the licensee did not use the proper calibration standard flaw size and phase rotation setting specified in the applicable Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) qualification technique sheet which conforms with the special requirements contained in EPRI TR-106589-V1 "PWR Steam Generator Examination Guidelines: Revision 4" Volume 1.

As a result, at least four low row tubes had flaws (PWSCC) which were not identified and corrected in 1997, including Tube R2C5 in SG24 which failed on February 15, 2000.