

**From:** "David P. Harry" <uz368@victoria.tc.ca>  
**To:** <Peach\_Bottom\_EIS@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, Nov 26, 2001 1:41 PM  
**Subject:** Economic Impact of Stranded Costs

50-277  
50-278

Dear NRC:

Reading an account of the recent NRC Environmental Scoping Meeting, I was reminded of an issue raised regarding relicensing: "stranded costs" (of nuclear power). As it was explained that the original license term (40 years) was based on economic concerns, it is obviously not unprecedented for the NRC to consider economic issues under the scope of its evaluation of a plant's environmental impact. Please consider this a request to do so again with specific attention to "stranded costs".

The practice compounds error: those enduring the greatest health risk - however small or great that is determined to be - are burdened with the greatest power costs by virtue of their proximity to the plant. It defies reason. Furthermore, it conflicts directly with the statements made by Execlon's V.P. Doering at the meeting regarding the low cost of nuclear power production which would indicate that the applicant currently has no intention to address (redress) this issue.

The economic impact to local consumers of the plant's operation was raised as an issue during the Scoping Meeting. The intent of these comments has been merely to reiterate relevance and validity of that request.

Thank you for your attention to this,  
David P. Harry

Add: erids: Duke Wheeler  
C001