

50-275/323

HEINZ BINDER (#87908)
ROBERT G. HARRIS (#124678)
BINDER & MALTER
2775 Park Avenue
Santa Clara, California 95050
Telephone: 408.295.1700

EVELYN H. BIERY
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.
1301 McKinney, Suite 5100
Houston, Texas 77010-3095
Telephone: 713.651.5544
Facsimile: 713.651.5246

Attorneys for Coral Power, L.L.C.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re	§	CASE NO. SF 01-30923 DM
	§	
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,	§	CHAPTER 11
a California corporation,	§	
	§	
Debtor	§	OBJECTION OF CORAL POWER, L.L.C.
	§	TO DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FOR
	§	PLAN OF REORGANIZATION OF
Federal I.D. No. 94-0742640	§	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
	§	COMPANY PROPOSED BY PACIFIC GAS
	§	AND ELECTRIC COMPANY AND PG&E
	§	CORPORATION
	§	
	§	Date: December 19, 2001
	§	Time: 9:30 a.m.
	§	Place: 235 Pine Street, 22 nd Floor
	§	San Francisco, California
	§	

Coral Power, L.L.C. ("Coral") objects to the Disclosure Statement ("Disclosure Statement") filed by Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("Debtor") and PG&E Corporation (collectively "PG&E") in connection with the Plan of Reorganization ("Plan") of the Debtor, for the following reasons:

30158672

1. Coral is a creditor of Pacific Gas and Electric Company, as evidenced by the proof of claim filed by Coral on September 5, 2001, in the amount of at least \$43,403,912.91.

2. Coral does not have information of a kind, and in sufficient detail, as far as is reasonably practical in light of the nature and history of PG&E and the condition of PG&E's books and records, that would enable Coral to make an informed judgment about the Plan.

3. Coral is entitled to, and therefore requests, additional information concerning the treatment of disputed claims, the reserves for disputed claims, and the interest rate to be paid on Class 6 claims, as set forth below.

4. Coral is entitled to, and therefore requests, additional information concerning the transfers from the Debtor to PG&E Corporation during the four years prior to the filing of the Debtor's chapter 11 case and the releases to be provided to the officers, directors and affiliates of the Debtor. The information contained in the Disclosure Statement does not provide information sufficient to enable Coral to determine that the Plan satisfies the absolute priority rule requiring unsecured creditors to be paid in full in order for affiliates of the Debtor to receive or retain property and property rights.

JOINDER IN OBJECTION FILED BY:

THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF PARTICIPANT CREDITORS OF
CALIFORNIA POWER EXCHANGE ("PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE")

5. Coral adopts the objections filed by the Participants Committee, set forth substantially as follows:

- Debtor's Disclosure Statement should not be approved because it (1) lacks adequate information regarding how unsecured creditors' claims will be paid in full if they are unsuccessfully disputed by the Debtor, and (2) fails to provide material information concerning the amount of Class 6 claims, the voting procedures to be applied to those claims, the interest rate to be applied to those claims, and the distribution of proceeds from

30158672

-2-

A001 Add: Rids Ogc Mail Center

litigation by the Debtor against the State of California. As set forth in detail below, the Disclosure Statement is defective as presented and should not be approved.

2. On September 20, 2001, the Debtor (also referred to as "PG&E") filed its Disclosure Statement and Plan. These documents propose that the Debtor will be "spun off" as a publicly traded electric and gas distribution company with no affiliation to its parent, PG&E Corporation. However, Debtor's existing generation and electric and gas transmission operations will be reorganized and will operate as separate subsidiaries of PG&E Corporation, with the reorganization of these assets to occur "on or about the Effective Date."¹ Accordingly, these valuable assets will not be available to satisfy the claims of Debtor's creditors.

3. At the same time, the Disclosure Statement indicates that all valid claims will be paid in full, with interest, using cash and notes. However, the Debtor has not provided a reserve for holders of claims that are unsuccessfully disputed by PG&E. Specifically, with respect to Class 6 Claims, the Debtor estimates the amount of allowable claims at a much lower figure than the amount of claims actually filed, thus indicating that it intends to dispute many, if not all, of these claims. Disclosure Statement at 19. Given the number of these claims, it likely will take months (if not years) to resolve them. Since such resolution necessarily will take place after the Debtor has transferred all of its assets pursuant to the Plan – and there is no provision for a reserve – there is no guarantee that Debtor will

¹The Effective Date "means thirty (30) days after the later of (a) the date on which the Confirmation Order is entered and (b) the date on which the conditions specified in Section 8.2 of the Plan [Conditions Precedent to Effectiveness] have been satisfied or waived by the Proponents." Plan at 9.

have the resources to pay in full any of the Class 6 claims that it unsuccessfully disputes.

4. As set forth below, the Disclosure Statement lacks the requisite information respecting disputed claims, including what they are, how and when they will be disputed, when claims that are unsuccessfully disputed by PG&E will be paid, and what contingencies exist to receiving payment. To address these concerns, PG&E should establish a disputed claims reserve. The cash and notes to be distributed under the Plan should be placed in a segregated interest-bearing disputed claims reserve escrow account, into which both interest payments and any payments on notes should be made.

5. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 1125(a)(1), a disclosure statement may not be approved if it lacks adequate information. In this Circuit, adequate information means information of a kind, and in sufficient detail, to enable a reasonable investor typical of holders or claims or interests of the relevant class to make an informed judgment about the plan. See *In Re California Fidelity*, 198 B.R. 567, 571 (9th Cir. BAP 1996). Thus, bankruptcy courts require that a proper disclosure statement clearly and succinctly inform the average unsecured creditor (1) what it is going to get; (2) when it is going to get it; and (3) what contingencies there are to getting its distribution. *In Re Ferretti*, 128 B.R. at 19. Debtor's Disclosure Statement fails in all three regards.

6. The Disclosure Statement lacks information regarding what unsecured creditors are going to receive under the Plan. The Disclosure Statement provides that:

1 The Debtor shall have the exclusive right to make and file
2 objections to Disputed Administrative Claims and
3 Disputed Claims. On and after the Effective Date, the
4 Debtor shall have the authority to compromise, settle,
5 otherwise resolve or withdraw any objections to the
6 Administrative Expense Claims and Claims and
7 compromise, settle or otherwise resolve Disputed
8 Administrative Expense Claims and Disputed Claims
9 without approval of the Bankruptcy Court. Unless
10 otherwise ordered by the Bankruptcy Court, the Debtor
11 shall file all objections to Administrative Expense Claims
12 that are the subject of proofs of claim or requests for
13 payment filed with the Bankruptcy Court (other than
14 applications for allowances of compensation and
15 reimbursement of expenses) and Claims and serve such
16 objections upon the holder of the Administrative Expense
17 Claim or Claim as to which the objection is made as soon
18 as is practicable, but in no event later than 180 days after
19 the Effective Date.
20

21 Disclosure Statement at 121-22.

22 7. Because the Debtor has 180 days after the Effective Date to dispute
23 any particular claim, there is no way to know what disputed claims will
24 exist, or how much money is at stake. Indeed, there is no mention of the
25 total dollar amounts projected for undisputed claims, nor is there a total
26 dollar amount projected for the disputed claims, should they be allowed
27 over objections. As a result, unsecured creditors cannot determine what
28 they are going to receive under the Plan. The Disclosure Statement
29 therefore lacks adequate information. *In re Ferretti*, 128 B.R. at 19.

30 8. Furthermore, even if creditors could determine the scope of the
31 claims likely to be disputed, the Disclosure Statement does not indicate
32 when, if ever, claims unsuccessfully disputed by PG&E will be paid. The
33 Debtor need not even begin the resolution process until six months after the
34 Effective Date, and there is no provision for a reserve. Thus, because the
35 Disclosure Statement does not indicate when claims will be disputed, or if

1 and when disputed claims will be paid, creditors may be misled into
2 accepting the Plan on the basis of false information.

3 9. This inadequacy of information necessarily affects how creditors
4 will vote on the Plan and, by extension, the grounds upon which creditors
5 might object to the Plan. Knowing if and when a claim will be disputed
6 affects a creditor's vote on the Plan. For instance, holders of claims that are
7 deemed allowed at the time of the Confirmation Hearing may vote to accept
8 the Plan based on their misguided belief that their claims will be paid in full
9 on the Effective Date. However, if their claims are disputed after the
10 Confirmation Hearing, such claims in fact may not be paid in full. Under
11 these circumstances, creditors would have been misled into voting for the
12 Plan based on an incorrect assumption that they would receive full
13 payment. Such inadequate disclosure is grounds for disapproving the Plan.
14 *See In Re Perez*, 30 F.3d 10209, 1217 (9th Cir. 1994) (warning that
15 inadequate disclosure could lead voters to be tricked).

16 10. Additionally, by failing to disclose when claims will be disputed or
17 paid, the Disclosure Statement denies creditors information they could
18 otherwise use to persuade other creditors to vote against the Plan. *Id.* That
19 is the case here. For these reasons, at a minimum, additional information
20 regarding the process, timing and likelihood of full payment of disputed
21 claims is essential to ensure a fair and equitable voting process.

22 11. Finally, creditors need information regarding when their claims will
23 be disputed to determine whether or not there are grounds to object to the
24 Plan. The Disclosure Statement fails to provide such information. For
25 example, the Plan calls for a complex asset transfer and dividend payment

1 to shareholders on or around the time of the Effective Date. Disclosure
2 Statement at 5. But the debtor need not decide on the Effective Date
3 whether and to what extent it will object to a creditor's claim. Thus,
4 creditors may be entitled to receive payment on claims that are
5 unsuccessfully disputed by PG&E only after the asset transfer and the
6 dividend payment occur. Under such circumstances, without a reserve,
7 creditors may not be paid in full and a violation of the absolute priority
8 rule² would occur since shareholders would have received payment before
9 creditors. See *Protective Committee for Independent Stockholders of TMT*
10 *Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson*, 391 U.S. 909, 88 S.Ct. 1147, 1160 (1968)
11 ("in any plan of corporate reorganization unsecured creditors . . . entitled
12 to priority over stockholders to the full extent of their debts"); 11 U.S.C.
13 1129(b)(2)(B)(ii). Yet, precisely because of the lack of information in the
14 Disclosure Statement, creditors would not even be aware of the basis for
15 this objection. For this reason alone, the Disclosure Statement should not
16 be approved.

17 12. The Disclosure Statement lacks adequate information as to the
18 contingencies and risks of distribution. There is an obvious risk that
19 creditors will not receive full payment at all for claims unsuccessfully
20 disputed by PG&E, since the Plan lacks a reserve clause or a statement
21 providing contingencies for holders of such claims, including information
22 as to precisely how these claims will be paid. Thus, the Disclosure
23 Statement lacks the requisite information concerning the contingencies and

²The absolute priority rule is codified at 11 U.S.C. 1129(b)(2)(B) and requires that, with respect to a class of unsecured claims, "the holder of any claim or interest that is junior to the claims for such class will not receive or retain under the plan on account of such junior claim or interest any property."

1 risks of distribution under the Plan. See *In re Weiss-Wolf, Inc.*, 59 B.R.
2 653, 655 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1986) (stating that a debtor must make provision
3 for payment of disputed claims). Indeed, the Debtor's failure to elaborate
4 on the payment of successfully disputed claims could lead to the inexorable
5 conclusion that its intent is to leave holders of disputed claims with "an
6 empty bag." See *id.* at 655 (denying approval of disclosure statement where
7 Debtor proposed to distribute key assets prior to resolution of disputed
8 claims).

9 13. The Disclosure Statement should not be approved because it fails
10 to provide material information regarding payment of Class 6 claims. The
11 Disclosure Statement fails to provide information concerning payment of
12 Class 6 claims, including the actual amount of such claims, the voting
13 procedures to applied to those claims, the interest rate to be applied to
14 payment of those claims, and the distribution to creditors of proceeds from
15 litigation by PG&E against the State of California. The issues affect the
16 feasibility of the Plan and should be addressed in the Disclosure Statement.
17 14. First, PG&E estimates the amount of allowed Class 6 claims at
18 \$1,060,000,000. Disclosure Statement at 19-20. PG&E acknowledges that
19 "the aggregate amount of claims filed ISO, PX and Generator Claims . . .
20 is materially higher," but omits pertinent information regarding the actual
21 amount. The California Power Exchange Corporation ("CalPX") filed two
22 proofs of claim, one in the amount of \$1,729,688,561.23, and another
23 separate claim in the amount of \$628,972,582.21. With respect to the first
24 claim, PG&E never mentions this claim and fails to disclose that its
25 estimate is based on a reduction resulting from existing settlements with

one or more creditors who are participants in the markets operated by CalPX. Nor does PG&E discuss the basis for its assumption incorporated in its estimate that proceedings before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") will result in a further approximately \$500 million reduction in its debt to Class 6 claimants. If the Debtor is wrong in its assumptions, creditors will believe they are voting for a plan that provides for 100 percent payment, when, in fact, the Plan does not provide the money needed for such payment.

15. With respect to the \$638 million claim, never mentioned in the Disclosure Statement, this contingent claim was filed by CalPX as a result of its continuing role as a Scheduling Coordinator for PG&E after January 17, 2001, the date on which PG&E ceased its purchases in the markets operated by CalPX. PG&E fails to provide for payment of this claim. PG&E has therefore omitted information that clearly may affect the feasibility of its Plan, and the Disclosure Statement should not be approved.

16. The Disclosure Statement does not address voting rights of individual CalPX market participants. The Plan and Disclosure Statement provide that each holder of an Allowed ISO, PX, or Generator Claim is entitled to vote or reject the Plan. The Plan and Disclosure Statement do not however, address the relationship between individual energy sellers and CalPX in connection with the Plan's voting mechanism. While the Plan and Disclosure Statement clearly provide that each individual seller with an Allowed Claim has the right to vote on the Plan, the Plan does not indicate whether CalPX has the right to vote on behalf of an energy seller that did not file a claim or exercise its right to vote. The Plan and Disclosure

statement should clarify that individual sellers may or may not exercise their individual rights to file claims and to vote, and if such rights are not exercised, CalPX will retain the voting right on behalf of that seller.

17. Further, because several individual sellers may have filed claims against PG&E for the aggregate sum owed to them by both PG&E and Southern California Edison ("SCE"),² the Plan and Disclosure Statement should address the manner in which votes will be weighted in connection with the amount of each individual claim. In its Offer of Settlement filed before FERC, the Participants' Committee and several holders of Class 6 claims proposed a method of distributing available cash to participants in markets operated by CalPX based on the net amounts owing to CalPX for the benefit of those participants by PG&E and SCE for all periods combined through the date of distribution ("Net Receivable Formula"). In contrast, PG&E proposes no methodology to account for individual sellers' claims that are based on an aggregate sum owed by both utilities. The Disclosure Statement should not be approved without addressing this issue.

18. Interest on Class 6 Claims should be paid in accordance with the applicable tariff. The Plan provides for payment of interest but does not disclose the appropriate rate of interest for Class 6 claimants. The Plan provides:

Except as otherwise provided herein, any interest payable under this Section 4.1 shall be calculated at the lowest non-default rate specified in the applicable indenture or instrument governing such Allowed Claim. If no such instrument exists, or if the applicable instrument does not specify a non-default rate of interest, interest shall be paid

²In most cases, energy sellers cannot determine which portion of the amount they are owed is owed by PG&E or SCE.

1 on the principal amount of such Allowed Claim at the
2 Federal Judgment Rate.

3 Plan, § 4.1. For example, with respect to Class CalPX market participants,
4 CalPX FERC Electric Service Tariff No. 2 ("FERC No. 2") sets forth the
5 applicable interest rate. FERC No. 2 provides that "[i]nterest shall be
6 calculated in accordance with the methodology specified for interest on
7 refunds in the regulations of FERC at 18 C.F.R. § 35.19(a)(2)(iii)(1996)."
8
9 ² *Id.* at p. 221.


10 19. The Disclosure Statement refers to PG&E's claim against the State
11 for seizure of the Block Forward Market ("BFM") contracts, Disclosure
12 Statement at 67, but does not give a current description of the litigation or
13 provide for the distribution of proceeds recovered in that litigation if there
14 is a recovery prior to the Effective Date. PG&E should update its
15 description of the litigation to describe recent activity before the Victims
16 Compensation and Government Claims Board and in the coordinated
17 proceedings now assigned to the Sacramento Superior Court.

18 20. Further, with regard to proceeds from the litigation received prior
19 to the Effective Date, any recovery from the State should be distributed to
20 CalPX for distribution to the market participants, in accordance with the
21 applicable tariffs, or pursuant to any order by FERC made in connection
22 with CalPX's Offer of Settlement. In connection with the distribution to
23 CalPX, PG&E would receive a dollar for dollar reduction in CalPX's claim
24 (or the claims of individual participants) against PG&E.

²19 C.F.R. § 35.19(a)(iii) provides that interest shall be paid: (iii)(A) At an average prime rate for each calendar quarter on all excessive rates or charges held (including all interest applicable to such rates or charges) on or after October 1, 1979. The applicable average prime rate for each calendar quarter shall be the arithmetic mean, to the nearest one hundredth of one percent, of the prime rate values published in the Federal Reserve Bulletin, or in the Federal Reserve's "Selected Interest Rates" ... for the fourth, third, and second months preceding the first month of the calendar quarter.

1 DATED: November 27, 2001

2
3 BINDER & MALTER
4

5
6
7 By 
8 ROBERT G. HARRIS
9
10 Attorneys for Creditors
11
12

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
84

Executed this 27th day of November, 2001, at California.

ROBERT G. HARRIS