



Department of Energy

Washington, DC 20585

QA: QA

JAN 08 2002

C. M. Sparks
Bechtel SAIC Company, LLC
1180 Town Center Drive, M/S 763
Las Vegas, NV 89144


ISSUANCE OF DEFICIENCY REPORT (DR) BSC-02-D-054 RESULTING FROM THE
OFFICE OF QUALITY ASSURANCE (OQA) AUDIT BSC-ARC-02-03

Enclosed is DR BSC-02-D-054 generated as a result of OQA Audit BSC-ARC-02-03.

Please provide a response to this deficiency that meets the applicable requirements of
Administrative Procedure (AP) 16.1Q, *Management of Conditions Adverse to Quality*. Send the
original of your response to Deborah G. Opielowski, NQS, P.O. Box 364629, Mail Stop 455,
North Las Vegas, Nevada 89036-8629. Initial response to the DR is due ten working days from
the date of this letter. Any extension to the due date must be requested in accordance with
AP-16.1Q.

The Responsible Individual for this Condition Adverse to Quality (CAQ) should sign below and
return to Ms. Opielowski within five working days.

If you have any questions, please contact either James Blaylock at (702) 794-1420 or
Samuel E. Archuleta at (702) 794-1476.


Ram Murthy, Acting Director
Office of Quality Assurance

OQA:JB-0481

Enclosure:
DR BSC-02-D-054

Wm5507
Wm-11



JAN 08 2002

Acknowledgement of Understanding of the CAQ (DR BSC-02-D-054) by the Responsible Individual:

Responsible Individual

Date

cc w/encl:

N. K. Stablein, NRC, Rockville, MD
Robert Latta, NRC, Las Vegas, NV
S. W. Lynch, State of Nevada, Carson City, NV
Engelbrecht von Tiesenhausen, Clark County, Las Vegas, NV
S. H. Horton, BSC, Las Vegas, NV
R. P. Keele, BSC, Las Vegas, NV, M/S 280
D. T. Krisha, BSC, Las Vegas, NV
D. M. Kunihiro, BSC, Las Vegas, NV
S. E. Archuleta, NQS, Las Vegas, NV
W. J. Glasser, NQS, Las Vegas, NV
K. A. Hodges, NQS, Las Vegas, NV
D. G. Opielowski, NQS, Las Vegas, NV
J. R. Dyer, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV
C. E. Hampton, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV
D. G. Horton, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV
J. M. Replogle, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV
B. M. Terrell, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV
V. W. Trebules, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN
RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
WASHINGTON, D.C.

8. ☒ ORIGINAL REPORT
☐ THIS IS A RED STAMP
CORRECTIVE ACTION
REPORT

NO. BSC-02-D- 054

PAGE 1 OF
QA: QA

DEFICIENCY/CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT

1. Controlling Document:
AP-5.1Q, Rev 2/ICN 0, Plan and Procedure Preparation, Review, and
Approval

2. Related Report No.:
BSC-ARC-02-03

3. Responsible Organization:
BSC

4. Discussed With:
Judy Metzel, Michelle Prater, Ken Gilkerson, John
Martin

5. Requirement:

.Section 5.6.7b) of AP-5.1Q requires that the Responsible Individual ensure that a DAR is initiated within two working days of ECN approval, and that a revision or ICN is issued within 90 calendar days of approval of an ECN to a procedure.

6. Description of Condition:

Contrary to the above requirement a revision or ICN to NWI-ESF-049Q was ^{NOT} issued within 90 calendar days of the approval of the ECN.

On 6-26-01, an ECN ws issued to revise NWI-ESF-049Q. On 6-27-01, DAR 0922 was initiated requesting reformatting, renumbering, and incorporation of ECN 1. Procedure number LP-OM-043Q-BSC was then developed to incorporate the actions requested in DAR 0922. The procedure was signed on 9-18-01. The DAR initiation was within the two days required by the procedure. The signature (approval) of the procedure on 9-18-01 was also within the 90 calendar day period prescribed by procedure. However, the procedure effective date, or date of issue, was established as 10-01-01. This establishment of effective (issue) date violated AP-5.1Q, Section 5.7.6b), which requires that the "...revision or ICN is issued within 90 calendar days" of the issuance of an ECN.

Subsequent to the audit, it was also noted that LP-OM-045Q, which incorporated an ECN to NWI-ESF-023Q was also not issued within the procedurally required 90 calendar days.

These conditions were previously identified in YMSCO-01-D-069 which identified a significant number of procedures, which contained this type of deficiency, and thus, calls into question the effectiveness of actions to preclude recurrence.

7. Initiator:

Sam E. Archuleta
Sam E. Archuleta Date 12-21-01

9. Does a stop work condition exist? (Not required for a DR)

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, Check One: ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D

10. Recommended Actions:

NONE

11. QA Review:

Sam E. Archuleta
Date 12-21-01

12. Response Due Date:

10 Working Days From Issuance

13. DQQA Issuance Approval:

Printed Name RAN MURPHY

Signature

James B. Langford Date 1/8/02

22. Corrective Actions Verified:

QAR

Date

23. Closure Approved by:

DQQA

Date

Enclosure