

## Form TI-1 Case Resolution

|   |                               |  |
|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Case Status   | <input type="checkbox"/> Open | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Closed |
| RCODE: <u>2622</u>  |                               |  |
| Region: <u>1</u>  |                               |  |
| OLD - Complete Name, Address, Contact, Phone Number:<br>Raybestos Manhattan<br>75 East Main Street<br>Stratford, CT 06497   |                               |  |
| NEW - Complete Name, Address, Contact, Phone Number:  |                               |  |
| Agreement State Licensee?<br>(Double Check)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No     |
| Moved to an Agreement State?  | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No     |
| <p>Describe Follow-up: (Telephone, Internet, Chamber of Commerce, Other) Ron Jennings, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Remedial Project Manager (617-918-1242). Henry Freedman, Environmental Enforcement Section, Department of Justice 202-514-5268. Trustee for Raymark, Laureen M. Ryan, Kahn Consulting Inc., 152 W. 57th, NY, NY 10019 212-841-9314. LaGrande Young, General Counsel, Raytech Corporation (a corporation formed in 1986 with ties to the old Raybestos but probably not connected to this site).<br/>Before it closed, Raybestos Manhattan became Raymark Industries. Raymark Industries went into bankruptcy and shut down in 1982. The site was put on the NPL and the buildings were torn down under the Superfund program beginning in September 1995. According to the Environmental Protection Agency's Remedial Project Manager, there are no gauges at the site. There was extensive vandalism of the site and theft of material prior to the demolition, so if the gauges had not removed before that, it is likely they were removed between 1982 and 1995.</p> |                               |  |
| Describe Communication with Registration Vendor: None   |                               |  |
| Vendor Follow-up Action:  |                               |  |
| Final Action Taken:   |                               |  |
| Cause of Bad Address/Lesson Learned:  |                               |  |

## Form TI-2: Device Information

| RCODE: <u>2622</u>  |              |               |                  |                |           |              |                 |          |
|---|--------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|
| ID  | Model Number | Serial Number | Isotope          | Activity (mCi) | Sold Date | Vendor       | Status (A or N) | Comments |
| 1   | Y-M-NA-SR-50 |               | <sup>90</sup> Sr | 100            | 19734     | 29-08398-02G | N               |          |
| For additional GLD's received by the licensee identified during the inspection: |              |               |                  |                |           |              |                 |          |
| ID  | Model Number | Serial Number | Isotope          | Activity       | Sold Date | Vendor       | How obtained    | Comments |
|   |              |               |                  |                |           |              |                 |          |

Status Codes:

A - Source accounted for

N - Source not accounted for

## Form TI-3: General Licensee Inspection Documentation

|  |  |
|--|--|
| RCODE: <u>2622</u>                                   |  |
| General Licensee Information:                        |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                             | Check Box if Current Complete Name, Mailing Address, Contact, and Phone Number is Same as Provided by ORNL. If not, include correct information below:   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                             |  |
| Results of inspection: (check the appropriate boxes) |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                             | The general licensee of record is located at the address of record and   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                             | all GLD are accounted for  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                             | <u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                             | The general licensee of record is <u>not</u> located at the address of record, however GLD are being used under new ownership at the address of record and   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                             | all GLD are accounted for  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                             | <u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                             | The general licensee of record is <u>not</u> located at the address of record, however they are using GLD at another location and  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                             | all GLD are accounted for  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                             | <u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for;  |
| X  | Neither the general licensee of record nor the facility operated by the general licensee are located at the address of record and the site has been abandoned or is being used for an alternate purpose. |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                             | Other: (explain)   |
| Gauge Information:                                   |  |
| ID   | For each gauge for which status is unaccounted for (see last column of Form TI-2), provide any conclusions about location of the gauge:  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                             |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                             |  |

As explained, the gauges are not at the site. If they were not disposed properly, there is little hope of tracking the gauges and further effort is not justified.

Inspector: Keith Brown, Health Physicist  
Nuclear Materials Safety Branch 2

Approved By: John D. Kinneman, Chief  
Nuclear Materials Safety Branch 2