

**From:** Jack Strosnider, *NRR*  
**To:** Bill Bateman, Cheryl Khan *NRR*  
**Date:** Wed, Jan 10, 2001 8:32 AM  
**Subject:** Re: 1/17 Draft SG PI Meeting Agenda

Cheryl,

one comment: I'd like to have Bill Dean or his staff walk us through the oversight process, in particular, the relations between the baseline inspection, augmented inspections (?), process for identifying a performance issue, and significance determination process, and how they believe 1) the current program addresses SG issues, 2) how their previous proposal to expand the baseline inspection program would address SG issues. I think this could be worked into your outline, but I want to make sure they come prepared to explain the above.

thx,

Jack

>>> Cheryl Khan 01/09 1:55 PM >>>  
Jack and Bill,

Attached is the proposed agenda (developed by Mel Holmberg - RIII) for next week's meeting to discuss steam generator Performance Indicators. Ted asked that I send them to you for comment. Please provide any comments to me. Once I have incorporated your comments, I will forward the agenda onto the other players (DSSA and IIPB).

Thanks,  
Cheryl

J/142

## **Meeting Agenda for Steam Generator (SG) Performance Indicator (PI)**

- **Purpose of meeting (EMCB)**
  - **To resolve action item 7 from the SG Action Plan**
- **Overview of the current program oversight process as it relates to SG tube integrity (IIPB)**
- **Current PIs for monitoring barrier integrity (EMCB)**
- **Potential need for a new performance indicator (EMCB)**
- **Proposed SG PIs (EMCB)**
  - **SG Leakage PI**
  - **SG Tube Integrity PI**
- **Discuss options for incorporation of information in the proposed PIs into the inspection program (IIPB)**