

**From:** Michael Kaltman  
**To:** Constance Schum  
**Date:** 5/30/01 4:09PM  
**Subject:** Re: Fwd: FINAL FEE RULE

Just heard from Jackie that Brian Sheron, who was looking at the waiver of fees for generic studies, does not have a problem with the final rule. My only other concern is that NEI's comment on the need for more expansive data was dealt with in a cursory manner by OCFO; their rationale for doing so is the need to maintain a separation between the budget and fees. This is a reasonable argument; however, we should be extra sensitive to NEI's concerns, particularly as those concerns focused on NRR's ROP, a highly visible program. At this point I have not been able to get in to see Sam.

>>> Constance Schum 05/30/01 01:27PM >>>

Where does this paper stand with your office? Did you identify any issues for Sam?