

Form TI-1 Case Resolution

Case Status	<input type="checkbox"/> Open	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Closed
RCODE: __18492__		
Region: __1__		
OLD - Complete Name, Address, Contact, Phone Number: Crompton & Knowles Davis Standard Division Pequabuck, CT 06781		
NEW - Complete Name, Address, Contact, Phone Number: Crompton & Knowles Davis Standard Division 1 Extrusion Drive Pawcatuk, CT 06379		
Agreement State Licensee? (Double Check)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Moved to an Agreement State?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Describe Follow-up: (Telephone, Internet, Chamber of Commerce, Other) Crompton & Knowles, Davis Standard Division, designs and manufacturers extrusion systems. At times, they (indirectly) supply generally licensed gauges with equipment they manufacturer. The NRC contractor contacted Crompton & Knowles concerning the gauge. A Crompton & Knowles representative tried unsuccessfully to identify the customer for the system with this gauge.		
Describe Communication with Registration Vendor: None		
Vendor Follow-up Action:		
Final Action Taken:		
Cause of Bad Address/Lesson Learned: In a number of records including this one, the gauge is assigned to the contractor associated with the equipment. That appears to be the case here. The lesson to be learned is that the current system of issuing licenses does not reliably identify the general licensee.		

Form TI-2: Device Information

RCODE: __18492__								
ID	Model Number	Serial Number	Isotope	Activity (mCi)	Sold Date	Vendor	Status (A or N)	Comments
1	O-2		⁹⁰ Sr	70	19684	34-00255-06G	N	
2	O-2		⁹⁰ Sr	70	19684	34-00255-06G	N	
For additional GLD's received by the licensee identified during the inspection:								
ID	Model Number	Serial Number	Isotope	Activity	Sold Date	Vendor	How obtained	Comments

Status Codes:

A - Source accounted for

N - Source not accounted for

Form TI-3: General Licensee Inspection Documentation

RCODE: ___18492_____	
General Licensee Information:	
	Check Box if Current Complete Name, Mailing Address, Contact, and Phone Number is Same as Provided by ORNL. If not, include correct information below:
Results of inspection: (check the appropriate boxes)	
	The general licensee of record is located at the address of record and
	all GLD are accounted for
X	<u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for
	The general licensee of record is <u>not</u> located at the address of record, however GLD are being used under new ownership at the address of record and
	all GLD are accounted for
	<u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for
	The general licensee of record is <u>not</u> located at the address of record, however they are using GLD at another location and
	all GLD are accounted for
	<u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for;
	Neither the general licensee of record nor the facility operated by the general licensee are located at the address of record and the site has been abandoned or is being used for an alternate purpose.
	Other: (explain)
Gauge Information:	
ID	For each gauge for which status is unaccounted for (see last column of Form TI-2), provide any conclusions about location of the gauge:
1 & 2	The information in the database does not allow any conclusions to be reached concerning the actual location of use of the gauges.

The owner of record owned or possessed the gauges only in conjunction with installing them and the machine to which they were attached to another location. We have no information that would allow us to locate the gauges.

Inspector: Keith Brown, Health Physicist
Nuclear Materials Safety Branch 2

Approved By: John D. Kinneman, Chief
Nuclear Materials Safety Branch 2