

APPENDIX I

Operations Branch Assignment Check Sheet:
(Includes ES-201-1 & ES-501-1 Rev. 8 information)

as of: 12/19/00

Chief: H BUNDY

Facility/Task: ST IN

Task Start Date: 9/17/01

ITEM DESCRIPTION		DUE DATE	INIT	DATE
0	Exam/Inspection Schedule Agreement	Mar 21, 2001	JLP	12/19/2000
1	NRC Staff & Fac. Contact Assigned	Mar 21, 2001	JLP	12/19/2000
2	Facility contact briefed on security & other issues	Mar 21, 2001	JLP	12/19/2000
3	Corp. Notification Letter Sent	Mar 21, 2001	HB	1/18/01
3a	Inspection Announcement Letter Sent (PIR & LORT if req'd)	Aug 3, 2001	NA	—
4	Task Expectations, Issues, & Standards Discussed w/ BC	Jun 19, 2001	HB	1/11/01
5	[Reference Material Due]	May 20, 2001	HB	4/12/01
6	Integrated Exam Outlines Due	May 20, 2001	HB	4/22/01
7	Outlines reviewed by NRC & Feedback Sent	Jun 3, 2001	HB	4/19/01
8	Preliminary Applications Due	Aug 18, 2001	HB	8/6/01
9	Draft Exams w/ Doc./Ref. Due	Jul 19, 2001	HB	7/5/01
10*	Independent Reviewer Initials As Reviewed All Parts	Jul 29, 2001	HB	7/9/01
11*	NRC Supervisor. Initials Approving for Fac. Rev.	Jul 29, 2001	HB	7/9/01
12	Exams Reviewed w/ Fac.	Jul 29, 2001	HB	7/6/01
13	Final Appl. Due & Assign. Sheet Prepared	Sep 3, 2001	HB	8/24/01
14*	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams	Sep 10, 2001	HB	9/13/01
15	Final Appl. Rec'd & Waivers Sent	Sep 10, 2001	HB	8/31/01
16	Proctor Rules Reviewed w/ Fac. & Written Authorized	Sep 10, 2001	HB	7/6/01
17	Exam/Insp Material to Team	Sep 10, 2001	HB	7/10/01
18	Fac. graded exam & Comments Rec'd	Sep 29, 2001	HB	9/21/01
19	NRC Written Grading Completed	Oct 2, 2001	HB	9/25/01
20	Examiners Finished Grading Op. Tests	Oct 2, 2001	HB	9/26/01
21	NRC Ch. Ex. Review Completed	Oct 12, 2001	HB	9/26/01
22*	NRC BC Review Completed	Oct 13, 2001	HB	9/28/01
23	RPS/IP Examinees Updated Before Report Issued	Oct 18, 2001	HB	10/18/01
24	License/Denials Signed & Report Issued	Oct 18, 2001	HB	10/18/01
25	Package Closed Out	Nov 8, 2001	HB	10/18/01
Final Inspection Report Issued, Exam Package to OLA, Facility. Contact Notified of Results				

* Note Supervisor or Independent Reviewer initials required in for lines 10, 11, 14, & 22.
 [] Required NRC-auth. exams only for line 5.

① Op Test only

Facility: South Texas Project		Date(s) of Examination: Weeks of 09/10/01 and 09/17/01		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	AS		ASB
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	AS		ASB
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	AS		ASB
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	AS		ASB
2. S I M	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, and major transients.	(1)		
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)*, and scenarios will not be repeated over successive days.			
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.			
3. W / T	a. Verify that: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks, (2) no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination, (3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and (4) no more than 80% of any operating test is taken directly from the licensee's exam banks.			
	b. Verify that: (1) the tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301, (2) one task is conducted in a low-power or shutdown condition, (3) 40% of the tasks require the applicant to implement an alternate path procedure, (4) one in-plant task tests the applicant's response to an emergency or abnormal condition, and (5) the in-plant walk-through requires the applicant to enter the RCA.			
	c. Verify that the required administrative topics are covered, with emphasis on performance-based activities.			
	d. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on successive days.			
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.			
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.			
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.			
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.			
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.			
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).			
a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) d. NRC Supervisor		Printed Name / Signature Alfred A. Sanchez, Jr. / <i>[Signature]</i> N/A / <i>[Signature]</i> H.F. Bundy / <i>[Signature]</i> DALE A. POWERS / <i>[Signature]</i>		Date 06/20/01 6/22/01 7/2/01
Note: * Not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c;" chief examiner concurrence required.				

① COMPLETED BY LICENSEE ON A SEPERATE FORM ES-201-2

Facility: South Texas Project		Date of Examination: 9/17/01		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	N/A	N/A	
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	N/A	N/A	
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	N/A	N/A	✓
2. S I M	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, and major transients.	DS	J	JS
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)*, and scenarios will not be repeated over successive days.	DS	J	JS
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	DS	J	JS
3. W / T	a. Verify that: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks, (2) no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination, (3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and (4) no more than 80% of any operating test is taken directly from the licensee's exam banks.	DS	J	JS
	b. Verify that: (1) the tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301, (2) one task is conducted in a low-power or shutdown condition, (3) 40% of the tasks require the applicant to implement an alternate path procedure, (4) one in-plant task tests the applicant's response to an emergency or abnormal condition, and (5) the in-plant walk-through requires the applicant to enter the RCA.	DS	J	JS
	c. Verify that the required administrative topics are covered, with emphasis on performance-based activities.	DS	J	JS
	d. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on successive days.	DS	J	JS
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	DS	J	JS
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	DS	J	JS
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	DS	J	JS
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	DS	J	JS
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	DS	J	JS
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	DS	J	JS
a. Author		Ken Struble		Printed Name / Signature
b. Facility Reviewer(*)		James H. Calvert		Date 6/26/01
c. Chief Examiner(#)		H.F. Bundy		6/27/01
d. NRC Supervisor		T.F. Stetka		7/6/01
				7/9/01

(*) Not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.
(#) Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c;" chief examiner concurrence required.

Facility: <u>SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT</u>		Date of Exam: <u>09/14/01</u>		Exam Level: <u>RO/SRO</u>		
Item Description				Initial		
				a	b*	c#
1. Questions and answers technically accurate and applicable to facility				AS	N/A	AS
2. a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available				AS	AS	AS
3. RO/SRO overlap is no more than 75 percent, and SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.d of ES-401				AS	AS	AS
4. Question selection and duplication from the last two NRC licensing exams appears consistent with a systematic sampling process						AS
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)				AS	N/A	AS
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right	Bank	Modified	New	AS		AS
	57	11	32			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right	Memory	C/A		AS		AS
	49	51				
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers				AS		AS
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified				AS		AS
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet ES, Appendix B, guidelines				AS		AS
11. The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet				AS	AS	AS
Printed Name / Signature				Date		
a. Author	ALFRED A. SANCHEZ, JR. / <u>Alfred A. Sanchez Jr.</u>			9/13/01		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	N/A					
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	H.F. Bundy / <u>H.F. Bundy</u>			9/13/01		
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	T.O. McKERNON / <u>T.O. McKernon</u>			9/13/01		
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c;" chief examiner concurrence required.						

Facility: <u>SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT</u>		Date of Exam: <u>9/14/01</u>		Exam Level: RO/SRO		
Item Description				Initial		
				a	b*	c*
1.	Questions and answers technically accurate and applicable to facility			<u>AB</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>AB</u>
2.	a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available			<u>AB</u>	<u>(</u>	<u>AB</u>
3.	RO/SRO overlap is no more than 75 percent, and SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.d of ES-401			<u>AB</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>AB</u>
4.	Question selection and duplication from the last two NRC licensing exams appears consistent with a systematic sampling process					<u>AB</u>
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)			<u>m</u> <u>AB</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>AB</u>
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right	Bank	Modified	New	<u>m</u> <u>AB</u>	<u>AB</u>
		<u>51</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>34</u>		
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right	Memory	C/A		<u>m</u> <u>AB</u>	<u>AB</u>
		<u>45</u>	<u>55</u>			
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers			<u>m</u> <u>AB</u>		<u>AB</u>
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified			<u>m</u> <u>AB</u>		<u>AB</u>
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet ES, Appendix B, guidelines			<u>AB</u>		<u>AB</u>
11.	The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet			<u>AB</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>AB</u>
a. Author	<u>G. E. Werner</u> Printed Name / Signature				<u>9/13/01</u> Date	
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	<u>ALFRED A. SANCHEZ, JR. / [Signature]</u>				<u>09/13/01</u>	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>N/A</u>					
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	<u>H. F. Bundy / [Signature]</u> <u>T. O. McKERNON / [Signature]</u>				<u>9/13/01</u> <u>9/13/01</u>	
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c," chief examiner concurrence required.						

Facility: South Texas Project		Date of Examination: 9/17/01		Operating Test Number: 1	
1. GENERAL CRITERIA			Initials		
			a	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	KS	J	JB	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	KS	J	JB	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s) (see Section D.1.a).	KS	J	N/A	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between operating test categories is within acceptable limits.	① N/A	① N/A	① N/A	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	KS	J	JB	
2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY A & B) CRITERIA			--	--	--
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	KS	J	JB	
b.	The prescribed questions in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet the criteria in Attachment 1 of ES-301.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
c.	Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity.	KS	J	JB	
d.	At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified.	KS	J	JB	
3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA			--	--	--
a.	The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	KS	J	JB	
Printed Name / Signature			Date		
a. Author	Ken Struble <i>Ken Struble</i>		6/26/01		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	James H. Calvert <i>James H. Calvert</i>		6/27/01		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	H.F. Bundy <i>H.F. Bundy</i>		7/6/01		
d. NRC Supervisor	acting <i>T.D. McKernan</i>		9/13/01		
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c;" chief examiner concurrence required.					

① written exam is not being developed by the facility

Facility: South Texas Project		Date of Exam: 9/17/01		Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3		Operating Test No.: 1	
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initials				
			a	b*	c#		
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	KS	JK	VB			
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	KS	JK	VB			
3.	Each event description consists of . the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated . the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event . the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew . the expected operator actions (by shift position) . the event termination point (if applicable)	KS	JK	VB			
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	KS	JK	VB			
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	KS	JK	VB			
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	KS	JK	VB			
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	N/A	N/A	N/A			
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	KS	JK	VB			
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Any open simulator performance deficiencies have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	KS	JK	VB			
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.4 of ES-301.	KS	JK	VB			
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	KS	JK	VB			
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	KS	JK	VB			
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	KS	JK	VB			
TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.4.D)		Actual Attributes		--	--	--	
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	8, 6, 6		KS	JK	VB	
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2, 2, 2		KS	JK	VB	
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	3, 3, 3		KS	JK	VB	
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1, 1, 1		KS	JK	VB	
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	1, 2, 2		KS	JK	VB	
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1, 0, 1		KS	JK	VB	
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	2, 2, 2		KS	JK	VB	

South Texas Project 9/01 Exam

APPLICANT: I1

OPERATING TEST NO.:1


Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number			
			1	2	3	4
RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	4				
	Major	1				

As RO	Reactivity	1	4			
	Normal	0				
	Instrument/Component	2	3, 7			
	Major	1	5			
SRO-I						
As SRO	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1		1		
	Instrument/Component	2		3, 4, 5, 7		
	Major	1		6		

SRO-U	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				

- Instructions: (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author:



NRC Reviewer:



South Texas Project 9/01 Exam

APPLICANT: I2

OPERATING TEST NO.:1

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number			
			1	2	3	4
RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	4				
	Major	1				

As RO	Reactivity	1		2		
	Normal	0				
	Instrument/Component	2		4, 7		
	Major	1		6		
SRO-I						
As SRO	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1	1			
	Instrument/Component	2	2, 3, 4, 6, 7		2, 3, 4, 6	
	Major	1	5		5	


SRO-U	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				

- Instructions: (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author:



NRC Reviewer:



South Texas Project 9/01 Exam

APPLICANT: I3

OPERATING TEST NO.: 1


Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number			
			1	2	3	4
RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	4				
	Major	1				

As RO	Reactivity	1	4			
	Normal	0				
	Instrument/Component	2	3, 7		3, 4	
	Major	1	5		5	
SRO-I						
As SRO	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1		1		
	Instrument/Component	2		3, 4, 5, 7		
	Major	1		6		


SRO-U	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				

- Instructions:
- (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
 - (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
 - (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author:



NRC Reviewer:



South Texas Project 9/01 Exam

APPLICANT: U1

OPERATING TEST NO.:1


Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number			
			1	2	3	4
RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	4				
	Major	1				

As RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	0				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-I						
As SRO	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				


SRO-U	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1	1	1		
	Instrument/Component	2	2, 3, 4, 6, 7	3, 4, 5, 7		
	Major	1	5	6		

- Instructions: (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author:



NRC Reviewer:



South Texas Project 9/01 Exam

APPLICANT: U2

OPERATING TEST NO.:1

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number			
			1	2	3	4
RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	4				
	Major	1				

As RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	0				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-I						
As SRO	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				


SRO-U	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1	1	1		
	Instrument/Component	2	2, 3, 4, 6, 7	3, 4, 5, 7		
	Major	1	5	6		

- Instructions: (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author:



NRC Reviewer:



South Texas Project 9/01 Exam

APPLICANT: U3

REV 1

OPERATING TEST NO.:1


Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number			
			1	2	3	4
RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	4				
	Major	1				
As RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	0				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-I						
As SRO	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-U	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1	1			
	Instrument/Component	2	2, 3, 4, 6, 7			
	Major	1	5			

- Instructions: (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author:



NRC Reviewer:



REV 1: Removed information for U3 from scenario #2 (Surrogate RO)

South Texas Project 9/01 Exam

APPLICANT: R1

OPERATING TEST NO.:1


Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number			
			1	2	3	4
RO	Reactivity	1	4			
	Normal	1		1		
	Instrument/Component	4	3, 7	3, 5		
	Major	1	5	6		

As RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	0				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-I						
As SRO	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				


SRO-U	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				

- Instructions: (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author:



NRC Reviewer:



South Texas Project 9/01 Exam

APPLICANT: R2

OPERATING TEST NO.:1

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number			
			1	2	3	4
RO	Reactivity	1	4			
	Normal	1		1		
	Instrument/Component	4	3, 7	3, 5		
	Major	1	5	6		



As RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	0				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-I						
As SRO	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				

SRO-U	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				

- Instructions: (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

South Texas Project 9/01 Exam

APPLICANT: R3

OPERATING TEST NO.:1

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number			
			1	2	3	4
RO	Reactivity	1		2		
	Normal	1	1			
	Instrument/Component	4	2, 4, 6	4, 7		
	Major	1	5	6		

As RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	0				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-I						
As SRO	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				


SRO-U	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				

- Instructions: (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author:



NRC Reviewer:



South Texas Project 9/01 Exam

APPLICANT: R4

OPERATING TEST NO.:1

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number			
			1	2	3	4
RO	Reactivity	1		2		
	Normal	1	1			
	Instrument/Component	4	2, 4, 6	4, 7		
	Major	1	5	6		


As RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	0				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-I						
As SRO	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				


SRO-U	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				

- Instructions: (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author:

NRC Reviewer:





South Texas Project 9/01 Exam

APPLICANT: R5

OPERATING TEST NO.:1

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number			
			1	2	3	4
RO	Reactivity	1		2		
	Normal	1	1			
	Instrument/Component	4	2, 4, 6	4, 7		
	Major	1	5	6		


As RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	0				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-I						
As SRO	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				


SRO-U	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/Component	2				
	Major	1				

- Instructions: (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author:

NRC Reviewer:





South Texas Project 9/01 Exam

APPLICANT: R6

OPERATING TEST NO.:1


Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number			
			1	2	3	4
RO	Reactivity	1			1	
	Normal	1	1	1		
	Instrument/ Component	4	2, 4, 6	3, 5	2, 4, 6	
	Major	1	5	6	5	

As RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	0				
	Instrument/ Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-I						
As SRO	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/ Component	2				
	Major	1				


SRO-U	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument/ Component	2				
	Major	1				

- Instructions: (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author:



NRC Reviewer:



South Texas Project 9/01 Exam
Operating Test No.: 1



Competencies	Applicant I1 SRO-I				Applicant I2 SRO-I				Applicant I3 SRO-I			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms	3	4			2	4	2		3	4	3	
Diagnose Events and Conditions	3	4			5	4	5		3	4	3	
Understand Plant and System Response	3	6			3	6	2		3	6	5	
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	4	4			3	2	2		4	4	3	
Operate Control Boards (2)	4					2			4		5	
Communicate and Interact With the Crew	5	6			5	6	5		5	6	5	
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)		6			5		5			6		
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)		3			2		2			3		
Notes: (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.												

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

South Texas Project 9/01 Exam
Operating Test No.: 1



Competencies	Applicant R1 RO				Applicant R2 RO				Applicant R3 RO			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms	3	3			3	3			2	4		
Diagnose Events and Conditions	3	3			3	3			2	4		
Understand Plant and System Response	3	5			3	5			4	6		
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	4	5			4	5			1	2		
Operate Control Boards (2)	4	5			4	5			1	2		
Communicate and Interact With the Crew	5	6			5	6			5	6		
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)												
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)												
Notes: (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.												

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

South Texas Project 9/01 Exam
Operating Test No.: 1



Competencies	Applicant R4 RO				Applicant R5 RO				Applicant R6 RO			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms	2	4			2	4			2	3	2	
Diagnose Events and Conditions	2	4			2	4			2	3	4	
Understand Plant and System Response	4	6			4	6			4	5	2	
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1	2			1	2			1	5	1	
Operate Control Boards (2)	1	2			1	2			1	5	2	
Communicate and Interact With the Crew	5	6			5	6			5	6	5	
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)												
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)												
Notes: (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.												

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

South Texas Project 9/01 Exam

Operating Test No.: 1

REV 1

Competencies	Applicant U1 SRO-U				Applicant U2 SRO-U				Applicant U3 SRO-U			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms	2	4			2	4			2			
Diagnose Events and Conditions	5	4			5	4			5			
Understand Plant and System Response	3	6			3	6			3			
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	3	4			3	4			3			
Operate Control Boards (2)												
Communicate and Interact With the Crew	5	6			5	6			5			
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	5	6			5	6			5			
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	2	3			2	3			2			
Notes: (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.												



Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

Rev 1: Removed scenario #2 information for U3 (surrogate RO)

Facility: South Texas Project		Date of Exam: 09/14/01		Exam Level: RO	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	AS	NA	fu		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	N/A		NA		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	AS		fu		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in detail	AS		fu		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	N/A		NA		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	AS	✓	fu		
Printed Name / Signature		Date			
a. Grader	ALFRED SANCHEZ / 	9/24/01			
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	N/A				
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) <i>acting for</i>	 / STEPHEN L. MCCREARY	9/20/01			
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	Anthony G. Gody	9/28/01			
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

Facility: South Texas Project		Date of Exam: 09/14/01		Exam Level: SRO	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	AS	NA	SA		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	N/A		NA		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	AS		SA		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in detail	AS		SA		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	N/A		NA		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	AS		SA		
Printed Name / Signature		Date			
a. Grader	ALFRED SANCHEZ <i>Alfred Sanchez</i>	9/24/01			
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	N/A				
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	STEPHEN L. MCCOY <i>Stephen L. McCoy</i>	9/26/01			
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	Anthony F. Goch <i>Anthony F. Goch</i>	9/28/01			
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 09/01/2001 To 10/31/2001

Region: 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates		Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
09/04/2001	South Texas Project / 05000498 / 2001301			Prep		BUNDY, HOWARD F.	BUNDY, HOWARD F.
09/10/2001	South Texas Project / 05000498 / 2001301			Prep		BUNDY, HOWARD F.	BUNDY, HOWARD F. MCCRORY, STEPHEN L. SANCHEZ, ALFRED WERNER, G E.
09/17/2001	South Texas Project / 05000498 / 2001301	RO - 6 SROU - 3	SROI - 3	Admin	NNF	BUNDY, HOWARD F.	BUNDY, HOWARD F. MCCRORY, STEPHEN L. SANCHEZ, ALFRED WERNER, G E.
09/17/2001	South Texas Project / 05000499 / 2001301			Admin	NNF	BUNDY, HOWARD F.	BUNDY, HOWARD F. MCCRORY, STEPHEN L. SANCHEZ, ALFRED WERNER, G E.

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 09/01/2001 To 10/31/2001

Region: 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Summary By Date

09/2001 STP - South Texas Project

RO - 6

SROI - 3

SROU - 3

LSRO - 0

Total for South Texas Project: 12

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 09/01/2001 To 10/31/2001

Region: 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Summary By Site

STP - South Texas Project

RO - 6

SROI - 3

SROU - 3

LSRO - 0

Total for South Texas Project: 12

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 09/01/2001 To 10/31/2001

Region: 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Summary By Region

Region 4

RO - 6

SROI - 3

SROU - 3

LSRO - 0

Total for Region 4: 12



South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P.O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

October 3, 2001
NOC-AE- 01001198
File No.: G30
NUREG 1021
STI: 31350867

Mr. Howard Bundy
Chief Examiner
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
611 Ryan Plaza Drive Suite 400
Arlington, TX 76011-8064

South Texas Project
Units 1 and 2
Docket Nos. STN 50-498, STN 50-499
Security Agreement

Enclosed is the Examination Security Agreement (Form ES-201-3) for the Examination that was given at the South Texas Project Electric Generating Station from September 14, 2001 to September 21, 2001.

Please contact me at (361) 972-7435 or Ken Struble at (361) 972-8611 x-6914 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "James H. Calvert".

James H. Calvert
Manager, Operations Training













Attachment: Examination Security Agreement (Form ES-201-3)

1. Pre-ExaminationWritten Exam Validation

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 9/17/01 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 9-17-01. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATENOTE
1. JAMES R HARRIS	RO		9/5/01		10-01-01
2. TODD W MADARY	RO		9/5/01		9/24/01
3. JAMES B MERTINK	SS		9-5-01		10-1-01
4. Brad Hardt	US		9-5-01		10-5-01
5. MASON C. KEDDIX JR	US		5 Sep 01		1 OCT 01
6. Robert A. Galilei II	RO		9-5-01		9-24-01
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination Written Exam Administration

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 9-17-01⁴ 9-14-01¹² as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 9-14-01. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATENOTE
1. <u>Scott A. Sieben</u>	<u>Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9-14-01</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9-21-01</u>
2. <u>Bernard F. Neurohr</u>	<u>Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/14/01</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/14/01</u>
3. <u>William D. Markham</u>	<u>Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/14/01</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/01/01</u>
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination Operational Exam Development

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 9-17-01 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 9-17-01. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	<u>Ken Struble</u>	<u>Instructor / Exam Development</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-6-01</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9-24-01</u>	
2.	<u>Jim Calvert</u>	<u>Ops Trng Mgr / Material Review</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-14-01</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/24/01</u>	
3.	<u>ADRIAN CULVER</u>	<u>INSTRUCTOR / EXAM DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-16-01</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/24/01</u>	
4.							
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination*Written exam development*

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 9-14-01 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 9-14-01. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	<i>Mike DeFrees</i>	<i>Ops Trng. Supv</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>	<i>8/20/01</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>	<i>9/24/01</i>	
2.	<i>TERRANCE B. Batts</i>	<i>SRO TRAINING INSTRUCTOR</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>	<i>8/20/01</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>	<i>9/24/01</i>	
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

NOTES:

1. Pre-ExaminationSupport

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 9-17-01 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 9-17-01. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1.	<u>JOSEPH A Brodsky</u>	<u>Simulator Supervisor</u>	<u>Joseph A Brodsky</u>	<u>03/15/2001</u>	<u>Joseph A Brodsky</u>	<u>09/27/2001</u>
2.	<u>Michael J Scott</u>	<u>Simulator Spec.</u>	<u>Michael J Scott</u>	<u>3/15/2001</u>	<u>Michael J Scott</u>	<u>09/27/2001</u>
3.	<u>DAVID GOODMAN</u>	<u>Simulator Spec.</u>	<u>David M Goodman</u>	<u>3/15/01</u>	<u>David M Goodman</u>	<u>09 27 01</u>
4.	<u>ROBERT LAB</u>	<u>SIMULATOR NAME</u>	<u>Robert Lab</u>	<u>3/15/01</u>	<u>Robert Lab</u>	<u>09/27/01</u>
5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES:

1. Pre-ExaminationOperational Exam Administration

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 9-17-01 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 9-17-01. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE/NOTE
1. <u>William D. Markham</u>	<u>INSTRUCTOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>09/17/01</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/6/01</u>
2. <u>Bernard F. Neurohr</u>	<u>Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/17/01</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/24/01</u>
3. <u>Scott A. Sieben</u>	<u>Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/17/01</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9-24-01</u>
4. <u>Ernest L. Morris Jr</u>	<u>Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/17/01</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9-24-01</u>
5. <u>Terrance B. Belts</u>	<u>Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/17/01</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/24/01</u>
6. <u>Steve P. Sieben</u>	<u>Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9-17-01</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9-24-01</u>
7. <u>CHRISTOPHER E. HERNING</u>	<u>Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9-17-01</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/24/01</u>
8. <u>Mike J. Frees</u>	<u>Ops Trng. Supv</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/17/01</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/24/01</u>
9. <u>Hon. Skinner</u>	<u>Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/18/01</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/24/01</u>
10. <u>Dennis Carruth</u>	<u>Maintenance</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/18/01</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-2-01</u>
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
12. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
13. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
14. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
15. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

NOTES:

1. Pre-ExaminationValidation - Operational Exam

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 9-17-01 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 9-17-01. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Alexander C. McCallister	REACTOR OPERATOR		5-27-01		9-26-01
2. R. A. Galiley II	REACTOR OPERATOR		5-28-01		9-24-01
3. Stanley A. Mason	SRO		5-28-01		9-26-01
4. Gregory W. Janak	SRO		6-17-01		10/3/01
5. Lura G. Moorefield	REACTOR OPERATOR		6-19-01		10/3/01
6. Michael Clayton	REACTOR OPERATOR		6/21/01		10/3/01
7. MICHAEL A. KREBE	SRO		9-4-01		10-1-01
8. PAUL B. SHINE	REACTOR OPERATOR		9-4-01		10-3-01
9. Scott C. Saylor	REACTOR OPERATOR		9-4-01		10-3-01
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

NOTES: