

From:  
To:  
Date:  
Subject:

*Ex 4*  
*Ian Barnes*  
<DCL@nrc.gov>  
Thu, Feb 1, 2001 10:01 AM  
From: Ian Barnes

*Ex 6*

From: Ian Barnes <  
Subject: RESPONSE TO NRC SPECIAL INSPECTION REPORT 50-247/2000010  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Gentlemen,

The best I can offer you in drafting a response is C.O.B. Thursday, February 8. I hope you can live with that.

To meet that goal, I need some information assistance, since I have been out of the picture since the final draft of the inspection report was completed. I would appreciate it if Region I could e-mail me (or provide a specific reference where I can download from): (1) the November 20, 2000, Final Significance Determination for a Red Finding and NOV; (2) Inspection Report 05000247/2000-012; and (3) Letter, H. J. Miller to J. Groth, dated December 20, 2000. I will download the Lessons Learned Report from the NRC Web site to ascertain what NRC consultants purportedly said on the subject of ease of detection.

Prior to making any recommendations regarding the scope of response to the licensee, I think it would be prudent that I do a thorough review of the NRC documents and the licensee response. David, with respect to your 1/31/2001 e-mail, what specific 1997 industry information on noise are you referring to? I am unaware of what you are alluding to and it is important that I have all available information on this topic, since it is pivotal. To my knowledge, meaningful noise criteria still does not exist today.

Regards,

Ian

Information in this record was deleted  
in accordance with the Freedom of Information  
Act, exemptions 6  
FOIA- 2001-0256

①  
6/88