

From: Marvin Mendonca
To: Melinda Malloy; W.Mike Ripley
Date: 6/18/01 7:33AM
Subject: Re: "Chairman" question on PSR rule applicability to NPRs

I called Mark Thaggard this morning. I indicated that the non-power reactors were generally small facilities (a room or a small building with a close in fence) with little need for partial site release. We had talked to a few of the bigger licensees (NIST and U. Mo. Columbia) when we were considering the rule. They indicated they owned the property and could not see the need for early site release to other uses as they have plenty of land and no obvious need to release for other uses. I discussed these issues with Mark.

>>> Melinda Malloy 06/15/01 05:32PM >>>
Mike:

Despite your last message on the Chairman's questions on the partial site release rule (that said that Mark Thaggard was going to contact Marv Mendonca to discuss why it would not be wise to extend the applicability of the rule to nonpower reactors), while you were on CWS today, I had a visit this afternoon from Julio Lara of the Chairman's office. He was looking for the explanation (perhaps Mark didn't call Marv). I told him that I would have Marv call him to answer that question. Marv was in & I gave him the message and told him what Julio wanted to know. I didn't see him before I left today to check on whether he was able to touch base with Julio. Would you please check with Marv on Monday to see if he followed through? Find out what the reason(s) is(are) so we know if we get additional questions.

Thanks.....Melinda

CC: David Matthews; Joseph Shea; Mark Thaggard; Tad Marsh; William Beckner