

October 18, 2001

MEMORANDUM TO Brenda Jo Shelton, Chief
Records Management Branch
Information Management Division
Office of the Chief Information Officer

FROM: Michael Case, Director **/RA/**
Program Management, Policy Development and Planning Staff
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: CONCURRENCE ON ELECTRONIC MAINTENANCE AND
SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION: RULE-MAKING PACKAGE

NRR staff reviewed the rule-making package and concur in the following changes to the rule:

- (1) Persons who choose to make submissions in paper or CD-ROM have to submit the number of copies required by regulations.
- (2) The numbers of copies required for NRR activities will remain as they currently appear in 10CFR with two exceptions, as noted in (3). Your text for Part 51.55 (page 59) indicates 5 copies of Environmental Reports; this number reflects the proposed position taken by NMSS. Because of differences in the NRR environmental processes and other matters, we will continue to require 41 copies.
- (3) Under Parts 51.55(a) and 51.55(b), applicants will be required to maintain the capability to generate additional copies for subsequent distribution; the previous wording required a specified number of copies that had to be generated for subsequent distribution.
- (4) Documents with special attributes, e.g., oversize pages that require special printing equipment to print, can be submitted only on CD-ROM and/or in paper.
- (5) If a person chooses to submit a document with special attributes on CD-ROM, he or she must also submit a paper copy.
- (6) Persons who choose to submit documents by EIE are allowed to submit a single electronic copy to the NRC web site. EIE cannot be used for documents with special attributes or documents that are classified, contain safeguards or privacy information, or contain other non-public information.

NRR has the following comments on the rule-making package:

- (1) Part 50.30(a)(2) requires that applicants retain 30 copies of safety analysis reports for distribution in accordance with written directions from the Office Director, NRR. Barring legal requirements or other considerations, OCIO should consider the changed wording used in parts 51.55(a) and (b) for applicants.

(2) Sections 2.1 and 2.10 of Appendix A are inconsistent.

(3) Section 3.3 of Appendix A indicates that participants will need Microsoft Internet Explorer (version 5.0 or higher); however, the table in Section 3.5 indicates that participants would need Microsoft Internet Explorer 4.x plug-in. Is this a discrepancy?

(4) Section 4.1 of Appendix A omits mention of vendors; we assume this was an oversight.

If you have any questions, please contact Michael Kaltman (MXK2, x2905).

(2) Sections 2.1 and 2.10 of Appendix A are inconsistent.

(3) Section 3.3 of Appendix A indicates that participants will need Microsoft Internet Explorer (version 5.0 or higher); however, the table in Section 3.5 indicates that participants would need Microsoft Internet Explorer 4.x plug-in. Is this a discrepancy?

(4) Section 4.1 of Appendix A omits mention of vendors; we assume this was an oversight.

If you have any questions, please contact Michael Kaltman (MXK2, x2905).

Distribution:

PIMB R/F

ADAMS ACCESSION NUMBER: ML012890042

OFFICE	PIMB:PMAS	PIMB:PMAS	PMAS:NRR	
NAME	MKaltman	MMacWilliams	MCase	
DATE	10/18/2001	10/18/2001	10/18/2001	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY