

**SENSITIVE ALLEGATION MATERIAL**

**ALLEGATION ACTION PLAN**

AMS NO. RIII-00-A-0127

\*\*\*\*\*  
 Licensee: ComEd  
 Docket/License No:  
 Assigned Division/Branch: DB/DNMS  
 \*\*\*\*\*

*Canino*

Allegation Review Board Membership:

Chairman - G. Grant / C. Pederson

✓ R. Paul / B. Berson / J. Heller / A. Keck

✓ BJorgensen, DB

B. Clayton / J. Grobe / S. Reynolds

GENERIC CONCERNS: If Yes Explain:

DISCUSSION OF SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE: No immediate threat to public health and safety

OI ACCEPTANCE: YES NO (Priority: **HIGH** **NORMAL** **LOW** )

Basis for OI Priority:

*N/A*

OI has Accepted Concern(s) No(s). Signature

ARB MINUTES PROVIDED TO: Dyer/Paul/ Jorgensen

*DDMS 7/17/00*

ACKNOWLEDGMENT LETTER: PRINT IN FINAL X REVISE N/A

REFERRAL LETTER:

- A. Licensee
- B. State of
- C. DOE

YES NO  
 YES NO  
 YES NO

date received	06/22/2000		
due date of 1 <sup>st</sup> ARB	July 21, 2000	date -120 days old	October 19, 2000
due date of ACK Ltr	July 21, 2000	date -180 day old	December 18, 2000

**COMMENTS:**

The CI **did** object to release of identity

*[Signature]*  
 Allegation Review Board Chairman

*7/17/00*  
 Date

*E/27*  
*[Signature]*

## SENSITIVE ALLEGATION MATERIAL

AMS No. RIII-00-A-0127

Each stated concern or NRC identified issue should be documented on a separate sheet. Each concern must be documented and written with enough detail to allow thorough follow up.

Concern No. 1 ComEd discriminated against the CI by informing a prospective employer that s/he had previously filed discrimination complaints against ComEd when s/he applied for a job. Because the prospective employer was made aware of this, the CI was not hired.

Regulatory Basis: 50.7

I. Action Evaluation: The following method of resolution is recommended (circle):

- A. Send to Licensee Requesting Response in \_\_\_\_ Days. (Describe the general areas we expect the licensee to address.)
- B. Priority RIII Follow up and Closure Memo to OAC
- C. Follow up During Routine Inspection Within \_\_\_\_ Days and Closure Memo to OAC
- D. Refer to OI. Recommended Priority: HIGH    NORMAL    LOW  
Recommended Basis:
- E. Outside NRC's Jurisdiction. Describe Basis Below.
- F. Too General for Follow-up. Describe Basis Below.
- XX G. Other (specify) -

**Since the CI objected to the release of her/his identity, an OI investigation is not warranted. However, maintain the case open to monitor the DOL proceedings. Re ARB when the DOL has finished their investigation.**

Responsible for Action - EICS

II. Special Considerations/Instructions:

**SENSITIVE ALLEGATION MATERIAL**

**NEW ALLEGATION: RIII-00-A-0127**

July 12, 2000

MEMORANDUM TO: Bruce Jorgensen, Chief, Decommissioning Branch, DNMS

FROM: A. Kock, RIII - OAC

SUBJECT: **RECEIPT OF NEW ALLEGATION: RIII-00-A-0127 (ComEd)**

On June 22, 2000, EICS received a concern regarding discrimination for engaging in protected activities.

On July 12, 2000, Bruce Jorgensen reviewed the concerns with OAC and agrees:

- all of the concerns were identified,
- all of the concerns were correctly characterized,
- the regulatory basis for each concern was correctly identified, and
- with the proposed action to resolve each concern,
- 

**I have scheduled an Allegation Review Board (ARB) for July 17, 2000 . Please review the attached information to prepare for the ARB.**

cc w/attachments:

ARB Copy

OI

RC