

From: Tanya Eaton, *TE*
To: Glenn Kelly
Date: Wednesday, June 14, 2000 04:01 PM
Subject: Re: responses to public comments.

Glenn,

You beat me to it. I was just about to send an email out regarding comments that need to be assigned to other branches, or were already addressed. Thank you for your comments. I do realize that some of the comments were editorial in nature, but we were told to list every single public comment. Even if it was already addressed. And even if it was only editorial. This list is so that internally, we keep track of the comments and know who addressed them, or is addressing them. This way, when the final report is released, George can identify that all concerns were addressed by the staff.

As for the Sweden comments..again, since they were sent in as public comments. How they are addressed is up to the staff. George wanted them on the list for us to track internally (as well as Projects).

Thanks,

Tanya

>>> Glenn Kelly 06/14 3:26 PM >>>

On June 7th you sent around a list of public comments, and noted those for SPSB. Public comment #10 does not belong to SPSB. It probably belongs to SPLB. Public comment #11 is editorial and need not be addressed. The first part of public comment #26 is editorial and need not be addressed. Public comment #27 is a policy question, not a comment, that was asked by regulators from Sweden, and the response should not be publically aired.

4/182