

From: Glenn Kelly *NRN*
To: Asadul Chowdhury, Diane Jackson, Edward Connell,...
Date: Wed, Jun 9, 1999 9:41 AM
Subject: Re: FYI - finding by FP section

Ed,

Thanks for your comments. We do not consider fire to dominate. It is merely one of the larger contributors. There is no dominant contributor for SFP fires based on our PRA analysis so far. I did a quick look at your questions when they came out (while we were rushing to get everything ready to go out) and I felt that we had good answers for them as to why the values we chose were used. I believe that you have a mistaken understanding of the basis for some of our numbers, in particular the human error rates. PRA numbers are not just based on what is intuitive, but on studies of human behavior and how people react under stressful conditions.

When we get more time, Mike Cheok and I would be happy to go over what we did in the PRA fire analysis with you.

Glenn Kelly

>>> Edward Connell 6/9/99 07:32 AM >>>

Dianne/Vonna - Some of the assumptions and values used in the PRA are not valid. Therefore, the results of the PRA are questionable. I provided that info to Dianne a few weeks ago when I was ill. Just because something dominates the risk, it does not mean it is a concern. Something always dominates. Fire is the dominant risk contributor at several operating plants, nobody is stating that the current rule for operating plants is inadequate.

CC: George Hubbard, Mark Rubin, Michael Cheok, Stev...

458