



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

April 30, 2001

Mr. William T. Cottle
President and Chief Executive Officer
STP Nuclear Operating Company
South Texas Project Electric
Generating Station
P. O. Box 289
Wadsworth, TX 77483

SUBJECT: SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNITS 1 AND 2 - ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS
RE: REVISION TO SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE ESSENTIAL
COOLING WATER SYSTEM (TAC NOS. MA8554 AND MA8555)

Dear Mr. Cottle:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 126 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-76 and Amendment No. 115 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-80 for the South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2, respectively. The amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated March 17, 2000.

The amendments revise Technical Specification (TS) 3/4.7.4, "Essential Cooling Water System", to delete Surveillance Requirement 4.7.4.b.3 and to change Surveillance Requirements 4.7.4.b.1 and 4.7.4.b.2 to incorporate the wording from the Standard Technical Specifications for Westinghouse Plants (NUREG-1431). Surveillance Requirement 4.7.4.b.3 requires verifying at least once per 18 months that each screen wash booster pump and the traveling screen start automatically on a Safety Injection test signal. The Bases for TS 3/4.7.4 were also changed.

A copy of our related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly *Federal Register* notice.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mohan C. Thadani".

Mohan C. Thadani, Senior Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-498 and 50-499

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 126 to NPF-76
2. Amendment No. 115 to NPF-80
3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encs: See next page

South Texas, Units 1 & 2

cc:

Mr. Cornelius F. O'Keefe
Senior Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 910
Bay City, TX 77414

A. Ramirez/C. M. Canady
City of Austin
Electric Utility Department
721 Barton Springs Road
Austin, TX 78704

Mr. M. T. Hardt
Mr. W. C. Gunst
City Public Service Board
P. O. Box 1771
San Antonio, TX 78296

Mr. G. E. Vaughn/C. A. Johnson
Central Power and Light Company
P. O. Box 289
Mail Code: N5012
Wadsworth, TX 74483

INPO
Records Center
700 Galleria Parkway
Atlanta, GA 30339-3064

Regional Administrator, Region IV
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400
Arlington, TX 76011

D. G. Tees/R. L. Balcom
Houston Lighting & Power Co.
P. O. Box 1700
Houston, TX 77251

Judge, Matagorda County
Matagorda County Courthouse
1700 Seventh Street
Bay City, TX 77414

A. H. Gutterman, Esq.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius
1800 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036-5869

Mr. J. J. Sheppard, Vice President
Engineering & Technical Services
STP Nuclear Operating Company
P. O. Box 289
Wadsworth, TX 77483

S. M. Head, Supervisor, Licensing
Quality & Licensing Department
STP Nuclear Operating Company
P. O. Box 289
Wadsworth, TX 77483

Office of the Governor
ATTN: John Howard, Director
Environmental and Natural
Resources Policy
P. O. Box 12428
Austin, TX 78711

Jon C. Wood
Matthews & Branscomb
112 East Pecan, Suite 1100
San Antonio, TX 78205

Arthur C. Tate, Director
Division of Compliance & Inspection
Bureau of Radiation Control
Texas Department of Health
1100 West 49th Street
Austin, TX 78756

Jim Calloway
Public Utility Commission of Texas
Electric Industry Analysis
P. O. Box 13326
Austin, TX 78711-3326



**UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

STP NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-498

SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 126
License No. NPF-76

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by STP Nuclear Operating Company* acting on behalf of itself and for Houston Lighting & Power Company (HL&P), the City Public Service Board of San Antonio (CPS), Central Power and Light Company (CPL), and the City of Austin, Texas (COA) (the licensees), dated March 17, 2000, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

*STP Nuclear Operating Company is authorized to act for Houston Lighting & Power Company (HL&P), the City Public Service Board of San Antonio, Central Power and Light Company, and the City of Austin, Texas, and has exclusive responsibility and control over the physical construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility.


2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-76 is hereby amended to read as follows:

2. Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 126 , and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. The license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days from the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Robert A. Gramm, Chief, Section 1
Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: April 30, 2001



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

STP NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-499

SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 115
License No. NPF-80

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by STP Nuclear Operating Company* acting on behalf of itself and for Houston Lighting & Power Company (HL&P), the City Public Service Board of San Antonio (CPS), Central Power and Light Company (CPL), and the City of Austin, Texas (COA) (the licensees), dated March 17, 2000, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

*STP Nuclear Operating Company is authorized to act for Houston Lighting & Power Company (HL&P), the City Public Service Board of San Antonio, Central Power and Light Company, and the City of Austin, Texas, and has exclusive responsibility and control over the physical construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility.


2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-80 is hereby amended to read as follows:

2. Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 115 , and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. The license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days from the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Robert A. Gramm, Chief, Section 1
Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: April 30, 2001

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NOS. 126 AND 115

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-76 AND NPF-80

DOCKET NOS. 50-498 AND 50-499

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the attached revised pages. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain marginal lines indicating the areas of change.

REMOVE

xiv
3/4 7-13
3/4 7-14*
B 3/4 7-3*
B 3/4 7-3a

INSERT

xiv
3/4 7-13
3/4 7-14*
B 3/4 7-3*
B 3/4 7-3a
B 3/4 7-3b

*Overleaf pages provided to maintain document completeness. No changes on these pages.

INDEX

BASES

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
3/4.4.9	PRESSURE/TEMPERATURE LIMITS B 3/4 4-6
TABLE B 3/4.4-1a	REACTOR VESSEL TOUGHNESS (UNIT 1) B 3/4 4-9
TABLE B 3/4.4-1b	REACTOR VESSEL TOUGHNESS B 3/4 4-10
FIGURE B 3/4.4-1	FAST NEUTRON FLUENCE (E>1MeV) AS A FUNCTION OF FULL POWER SERVICE LIFE B 3/4 4-11
3/4.4.10	STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY B 3/4 4-15
3.4.4.11	REACTOR VESSEL HEAD VENTS B 3/4 4-15
<u>3/4.5</u>	<u>EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS</u>
3/4.5.1	ACCUMULATORS B 3/4 5-1
3/4.5.2	and 3/4.5.3 ECCS SUBSYSTEMS B 3/4 5-1
3/4.5.4	(This specification number is not used) B 3/4 5-2
3/4.5.5	REFUELING WATER STORAGE TANK B 3/4 5-2
3/4.5.6	RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL (RHR) SYSTEM B 3/4 5-3
<u>3/4.6</u>	<u>CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS</u>
3/4.6.1	PRIMARY CONTAINMENT B 3/4 6-1
3/4.6.2	DEPRESSURIZATION AND COOLING SYSTEMS B 3/4 6-3
3/4.6.3	CONTAINMENT ISOLATION VALVES B 3/4 6-4
3/4.6.4	COMBUSTIBLE GAS CONTROL B 3/4 6-4
<u>3/4.7</u>	<u>PLANT SYSTEMS</u>
3/4.7.1	TURBINE CYCLE B 3/4 7-1
3/4.7.2	STEAM GENERATOR PRESSURE/TEMPERATURE B 3/4 7-3 LIMITATION
3/4.7.3	COMPONENT COOLING WATER SYSTEM B 3/4 7-3
3/4.7.4	ESSENTIAL COOLING WATER SYSTEM B 3/4 7-3a
3/4.7.5	ULTIMATE HEAT SINK B 3/4 7-3b
3/4.7.6	(Not Used)
3/4.7.7	CONTROL ROOM MAKEUP AND CLEANUP FILTRATION SYSTEM B 3/4 7-4
3/4.7.8	FUEL HANDLING BUILDING EXHAUST AIR SYSTEM B 3/4 7-4
3/4.7.9	(This specification number is not used)

PLANT SYSTEMS

3/4.7.4 ESSENTIAL COOLING WATER SYSTEM

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.4 At least three independent essential cooling water loops shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

ACTION:

With only two essential cooling water loops OPERABLE, restore at least three loops to OPERABLE status within 7 days or be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.4 At least three essential cooling water loops shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. At least once per 31 days by verifying that each valve (manual, power-operated, or automatic) servicing safety-related equipment that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position is in its correct position;
- b. At least once per 18 months during shutdown, by verifying that:
 - 1) Each Essential Cooling Water automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal, and
 - 2) Each Essential Cooling Water pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated signal.

PLANT SYSTEMS

3/4.7.5 ULTIMATE HEAT SINK

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.5 The ultimate heat sink shall be OPERABLE with:

- a. A minimum water level at or above elevation 25.5 feet Mean Sea Level, USGS datum, and
- b. An Essential Cooling Water intake temperature of less than or equal to 99°F.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

ACTION:

With the requirements of the above specification not satisfied, be in at least HOT STANDBY within 6 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours. This ACTION is applicable to both units simultaneously.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.5 The ultimate heat sink shall be determined OPERABLE at least once per 24 hours by verifying the intake water temperature and water level to be within their limits.

PLANT SYSTEMS [No changes to this page. Included for completeness]

BASES

3/4.7.1.6 ATMOSPHERIC STEAM RELIEF VALVES

The atmospheric steam relief valves are required for decay heat removal and safe cooldown in accordance with Branch Technical Position RSB 5-1. In the safety analyses, operation of the atmospheric steam relief valves is assumed in accident analyses for mitigation of small break LOCA, feedwater line break, loss of normal feedwater and loss-of-offsite power.

The atmospheric steam relief valve manual controls must be OPERABLE in Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4 (Mode 4 when steam generators are being used for decay heat removal) to allow operator action needed for decay heat removal and safe cooldown in accordance with Branch Technical Position RSB 5-1.

The atmospheric steam relief valve automatic controls must be OPERABLE with a nominal setpoint of 1225 psig in Modes 1 and 2 because the safety analysis assumes automatic operation of the atmospheric steam relief valves with a nominal setpoint of 1225 psig with uncertainties for mitigation of the small break LOCA. In order to support startup and shutdown activities (including post-refueling low power physics testing), the atmospheric steam relief valves may be operated in manual and open in Mode 2 to maintain the secondary side pressure at or below an indicated steam generator pressure of 1225 psig.

The verification that all atmospheric steam relief valves will open and close fully prior to startup following a COLD SHUTDOWN of 30 days or longer, or following any refueling shutdown, allows for operation using either manual or automatic controls.

3/4.7.1.7 FEEDWATER ISOLATION VALVES

The OPERABILITY of the feedwater isolation valves ensures that no more than one steam generator will blow down in the event of a steam line or feedwater line rupture. The operability of the Feedwater Isolation valves will minimize the positive reactivity effects of the Reactor Coolant System cooldown associated with the blowdown, and limit the pressure rise within containment. The OPERABILITY of the feedwater isolation valves within the closure times of the Surveillance Requirements are consistent with the assumptions used in the safety analysis.

3/4.7.2 STEAM GENERATOR PRESSURE/TEMPERATURE LIMITATION

The limitation on steam generator pressure and temperature ensures that the pressure-induced stresses in the steam generators do not exceed the maximum allowable fracture toughness stress limits. The limitations of 70oF and 200 psig are based on a steam generator RTNDT of 10oF and are sufficient to prevent brittle fracture.

B 3/4.7.3 COMPONENT COOLING WATER SYSTEM

The OPERABILITY of the Component Cooling Water System ensures that sufficient cooling capacity is available for continued operation of safety-related equipment during normal and accident conditions. The redundant cooling capacity of this system, assuming a single failure, is consistent with the assumptions used in the safety analyses.

PLANT SYSTEMS

BASES

B 3/4.7.4 ESSENTIAL COOLING WATER SYSTEM

The OPERABILITY of the Essential Cooling Water System ensures that sufficient cooling capacity is available for continued operation of safety-related equipment during normal and accident conditions. The redundant cooling capacity of this system, assuming a single failure, is consistent with the assumptions used in the safety analyses.

When a risk-important system or component (for example Essential Cooling Water) is taken out of service, it is important to assure that the impact on plant risk of this and other equipment simultaneously taken out of service can be assessed. The Configuration Risk Management Program evaluates the impact on plant risk of equipment out of service. A brief description of the Configuration Risk Management Program is in Section 6.8.3 (administration section) of the Technical Specification.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SR 4.7.4.a

Verifying the correct alignment for manual, power operated, and automatic valves in the ECW flow path provides assurance that the proper flow paths exist for ECW operation. This SR applies to valves that assure ECW flow to required safety related equipment (to CCW heat exchangers, Standby Diesel Generators, Essential Chillers, and CCW Pump Supplemental Coolers). This SR does not apply to valves that are locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, since they are verified to be in the correct position prior to being locked, sealed, or secured. This SR does not require any testing or valve manipulation; rather, it involves verification that those valves capable of being mispositioned are in the correct position. This SR does not apply to valves that cannot be inadvertently misaligned, such as check valves.

The 31 day Frequency is based on engineering judgment, is consistent with the procedural controls governing valve operation, and ensures correct valve positions.

SR 4.7.4.b.1

This SR verifies proper automatic operation of the ECW valves on an actual or simulated actuation signal. The relevant signals for the surveillance are safety-injection and loss of offsite power. The ECW is a normally operating system that cannot be fully actuated as part of normal testing. This SR applies to valves that assure ECW flow to required safety related equipment (to CCW heat exchangers, Standby Diesel Generators, Essential Chillers, and CCW Pump Supplemental Coolers). This Surveillance is not required for valves that are locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in the required position under administrative controls. The 18 month Frequency is based on the need to perform this Surveillance under the conditions that apply during a unit outage and the potential for an unplanned transient if the Surveillance were performed with the reactor at power. Operating experience has shown that these components usually pass the Surveillance when performed at the 18 month Frequency. Therefore, the Frequency is acceptable from a reliability standpoint.

PLANT SYSTEMS

BASES

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (cont.)

SR 4.7.4.b.2

This SR verifies proper automatic operation of the ECW pumps on an actual or simulated actuation signal. The relevant signals for the surveillance are safety-injection and loss of offsite power. The ECW system is a normally operating system that cannot be fully actuated as part of normal testing during normal operation. The 18 month Frequency is based on the need to perform this Surveillance under the conditions that apply during a unit outage and the potential for an unplanned transient if the Surveillance were performed with the reactor at power. Operating experience has shown that these components usually pass the Surveillance when performed at the 18 month Frequency. Therefore, the Frequency is acceptable from a reliability standpoint.

B 3/4.7.5 ULTIMATE HEAT SINK

The limitations on the ultimate heat sink level and temperature ensure that sufficient cooling capacity is available either: (1) provide normal cooldown of the facility or (2) mitigate the effects of accident conditions within acceptable limits.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NOS. 126 AND 115 TO

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-76 AND NPF-80

STP NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY, ET AL.

SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNITS 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-498 AND 50-499

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By application dated March 17, 2000, STP Nuclear Operating Company (the licensee), requested changes to the South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2, Technical Specifications (TSs). The proposed changes would revise TS 3/4.7.4, "Essential Cooling Water System," to delete Surveillance Requirement 4.7.4.b.3 and to change Surveillance Requirements 4.7.4.b.1 and 4.7.4.b.2 to incorporate the wording from the Standard Technical Specifications for Westinghouse Plants (NUREG-1431). Surveillance Requirement 4.7.4.b.3 requires verifying at least once per 18 months that each screen wash booster pump and the traveling screen start automatically on a Safety Injection test signal. The Bases for incorporating the change to TS 3/4.7.4 would also be changed.

2.0 DISCUSSION AND EVALUATION

The essential cooling water system (ECWS) consists of three 100-percent capacity independent trains. The ECWS is an open loop cooling system that removes heat from various safety-related systems and rejects it to the essential cooling pond, which is the ultimate heat sink. The ECWS is a Safety Class 3 system which operates during normal plant operation and accident conditions. A traveling water screen is provided upstream of each ECW pump in the ECW intake structure to minimize debris entering the ECWS which could cause damage to the pumps or clog heat exchanger tubes. Trash bars are located in the intake (upstream of the traveling water screens) to protect the screens and pumps from debris. The screen wash booster pumps which take suction from the ECW pump discharge piping, provide water to wash each traveling water screen.

Generally, the traveling screens become loaded with debris very slowly over a prolonged period of time, and operation of the screen wash booster pumps and traveling screens is very infrequent. During normal plant operations, the traveling screens are kept clear of debris by the automatic functioning of the traveling screens and screen wash booster pumps. If the traveling screens should become overly burdened with debris, an annunciator will sound in the control room alerting the operators of this condition. It is very unlikely that the traveling screens will be loaded with debris at the onset of an event. A high differential water level sensed across any traveling screen alarms in the control room and automatically starts the screen wash booster

pump and, after reaching adequate screen wash pressure, starts the traveling screen; therefore, automatic actuation of the traveling screens and screen wash booster pumps by the safety injection actuation signal is not necessary, and the proposed TS change to eliminate SR 4.7.4.b.3 is acceptable.

The proposed changes to SR 4.7.4.b.1 and SR 4.7.4.b.2 changes are editorial in nature. The licensee is proposing to revise some information from the current requirements to make it consistent with NUREG -1431. The proposed revision to SR 4.7.4.b.1 will ensure that the automatic valves in the ECWS flow path actuate to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal to provide ECWS flow to required safety-related equipment [e.g., component cooling water (CCW) heat exchangers, standby diesel generators, essential chillers, and CCW pump supplement coolers]. The proposed revision to SR 4.7.4.b.2 will assure that each ECWS pump starts on an actual or simulated signal. The proposed surveillance requirements in TS 4.7.4 provide adequate assurance that the ECWS will perform its safety function. There are no changes in the operational configuration of the ECWS. Based upon the above, the NRC staff concludes that the proposed changes to SR 4.7.4.b.1 and SR 4.7.4.b.2 are acceptable.

In addition to the changes noted above, the licensee has made changes to the Bases for TS 3/4.7.4.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Texas State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendments. The State official had no comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendments change a requirement with respect to the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and changes surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendments involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (65 FR 21039). The amendment also relates to changes in recordkeeping, reporting, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9) and 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the

Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: K. Desai
D. Jaffe

Date: April 30, 2001

April 30, 2001

Mr. William T. Cottle
President and Chief Executive Officer
STP Nuclear Operating Company
South Texas Project Electric
Generating Station
P. O. Box 289
Wadsworth, TX 77483

SUBJECT: SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNITS 1 AND 2 - ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS
RE: REVISION TO SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE ESSENTIAL
COOLING WATER SYSTEM (TAC NOS. MA8554 AND MA8555)

Dear Mr. Cottle:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 126 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-76 and Amendment No. 115 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-80 for the South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2, respectively. The amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated March 17, 2000.

The amendments revise Technical Specification (TS) 3/4.7.4, "Essential Cooling Water System", to delete Surveillance Requirement 4.7.4.b.3 and to change Surveillance Requirements 4.7.4.b.1 and 4.7.4.b.2 to incorporate the wording from the Standard Technical Specifications for Westinghouse Plants (NUREG-1431). Surveillance Requirement 4.7.4.b.3 requires verifying at least once per 18 months that each screen wash booster pump and the traveling screen start automatically on a Safety Injection test signal. The Bases for TS 3/4.7.4 were also changed.

A copy of our related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly *Federal Register* notice.

Sincerely,
/RA/

Mohan C. Thadani, Senior Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-498 and 50-499

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 126 to NPF-76
2. Amendment No. 115 to NPF-80
3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC

PDIV-1 r/f

G.Hill (4)

RidsNrrDlpmLpdiv (S.Richards)

RidsNrrPMMThadani

RidsNrrDlpmLpdiv1 (R.Gramm)

RidsNrrLAMMcAllister

RidsNrrDripRtsb (W.Beckner)

RidsAcrsAcnwMailCenter

RidsOgcRp

RidsRgn4MailCenter (D. Graves, L. Hurley, D. Bujol)

FAkstulewicz

KDesai

DJaffe

* See previous concurrence

ACCESSION NO: ML

OFFICE	PDIV-1/PM	PDIV-D/LA	SC/SPLB	OGC/NLO	PDIV-1/SC
NAME	MThadani	MMcAllister	GHubbard	NST.Amour*	RGramm
DATE	4/25/01	4/25/01	4/26/01	03/16/2001	4/26/01

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDIV-1\SouthTexas\amdma8554R.wpd

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY