

Diane Jackson (at 3:30pm):

10/6/99

- Peer reviews done in November.

- Next version of report is being written in December.

- Will be in the final report. Also, need it for completeness.

- Sending it out for public comment. (early January).

23/1/00

**From:** Diane Jackson : *DR*  
**To:** Jason Schaperow  
**Date:** Wed, Oct 6, 1999 9:57 AM  
**Subject:** Re: revised conseq. report

How about November 10?

Diane

>>> Jason Schaperow 10/06 9:27 AM >>>

All of the prompt fatalities occur within 10 miles of the site, and the expanded report will state this. I do not know if October 29 is practicable, in part because I am getting ready for the Water Reactor Safety Meeting which is the last week of October.

>>> Diane Jackson 10/06 9:08 AM >>>

And adding the 10-mile doses that we talked about earlier?

Let's set a date for your final - how about October 29?

We are getting closer to the end of the next phase for a writeup and I want to have all the pieces in.

Diane

>>> Jason Schaperow 10/06 8:29 AM >>>

I hope to finish the expanded report in the next couple of weeks. The purpose of this report is to provide more detail than what was in the May 25, 1999, summary report. The main changes since the summary report are (1) addition of the MACCS input files as an appendix and (2) documentation of follow-up calculations that show the importance of cesium (30 year half-life) in the offsite consequences. None of the results have changed since the summary report.

>>> Diane Jackson 10/05 3:47 PM >>>

Hi Jason -

I hadn't heard from you lately - How is the revised/ expanded report going? Do you have a projected date for completion? When you are getting close, I think it may be helpful to get you, me and Glenn together to discuss what will be in the report.

Diane