

From: Peter Tam
To: INTERNET:beveragel@nimo.com; INTERNET:laffeyj@nimo.com;
INTERNET:leonardm@nimo.com; internet:wolniakd@nimo.com
Date: 4/17/01 1:40PM
Subject: Nine Mile Point USAR Rev. 14 Review

Lynn:

We have reviewed the change pages of Rev. 14 of the NMP2 USAR. If you can provide me with short (i.e., one word, a few words, a few sentences) answers to the following questions, I would be glad to close the book on this review. I suggest that we either verbally discuss the answers by phone, or you can e-mail them to me.

Chapter 1

Table 1.3-1, page 19 of 49 -- Item 15 was considerably simplified by removing text. Is this due to a previously approved Tech Spec change, a 10 CFR 50.59 change, or other reasons? Or does the new Item 16 capture the deleted text from Item 15?

Chapter 3

Appendix 3C, page 3C-3 -- The revision reports that there are more restraints now on the main steam piping system (31 + 5) than before (30). Please verify that this design change was per 10 CFR 50.59, or cite the NRC approval document.

Appendix 3C, page 3C-11 -- Is restraint PRR 202 new? Or was it just inadvertently omitted in the previous revision?

Chapter 6

Page 6.2-15 -- "The above cases [regarding the RHR system] were reevaluated....." What led to the reevaluation? When was it done?

Table 6.2-56 -- Changes seem to occur at "length of pipe - cont. to outside isolation valve." How do these measurements change so dramatically from previous values?

Page 6.3-36 -- ADS Testing. A large block of text regarding testing is added. Reason?

Chapter 7

Table 7.4-1 -- Reactor Core Isolation Cooling Instrument Specifications. "Suppression pool level" was deleted. Reason (e.g. design change)?

Chapter 9

Page 9A.3-6 -- Section 9A.3.1.2.4, Summary of Conclusions. What was the reason for deleting the fire loading information?

Chapter 15

Pages 15.4-3 and 15.4-4 -- Sections 15.4.1.2.2, 15.4.1.2.3, 15.4.1.2.4, 15.4.1.2.5 and 15.4.1.3. When was the NUMAC RWM [nuclear measurement analysis and control rod worth minimizer] implemented? The way these sections are re-written conveys the impression that the NUMAC RWM was implemented quite recently. If not, then what was the reason why these sections were not revised earlier?

Peter S. Tam, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate I-1
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

e-mail: pst@nrc.gov Tel.: 301-415-1451

Mail Envelope Properties

(3ADC8015.501 : 10 : 20510)

Subject: Nine Mile Point USAR Rev. 14 Review
Creation Date: 4/17/01 1:40PM
From: Peter Tam

Created By: PST@nrc.gov

Recipients	Action	Date & Time
nimo.com	Transferred	04/17/01 01:41PM
beveragel (INternet:beveragel@nimo.com)		
laffeyj (INternet:laffeyj@nimo.com)		
leonardm (INternet:leonardm@nimo.com)		
wolniakd (internet:wolniakd@nimo.com)		

Post Office	Delivered	Route
nimo.com		INternet

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	4490	04/17/01 01:40PM

Options

Auto Delete:	No
Expiration Date:	None
Notify Recipients:	Yes
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None

Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

To Be Delivered:	Immediate
Status Tracking:	Delivered & Opened