



Entergy Nuclear Northeast  
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.  
Indian Point 3 NPP  
P.O. Box 308  
Buchanan, NY 10511  
Tel 914 736 8001 Fax 736 8012

Robert J. Barrett  
Vice President, Operations-IP3

March 30, 2001  
IPN-01-027

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
ATTN: Document Control Desk  
Mail Stop O-P1-17  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

SUBJECT: Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant  
Docket No. 50-286  
License No. DPR-64  
**Update on 10 CFR 50.54(f) Information Regarding the  
Adequacy and Availability of Design Bases Information**

REFERENCES: See page 3.

By this letter, Entergy is informing the NRC that it has identified and corrected the risk significant FSAR discrepancies found at the Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant (IP3) during Phases 1 and 2 of the IP3 10 CFR 50.54(f) FSAR design basis discrepancy identification project. IP3 is currently tracking some non-safety related items that are still open from Phase 3 of this effort. These items are being tracked (in our ACTS and the 10 CFR 50.54(f) database) for completion in the future, with due dates prioritized according to the significance of the item, including in comparison with other work priorities.

October 9, 1996, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued a letter (Reference 1) requesting information pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(f) regarding the adequacy and availability of design bases information. The Power Authority (then the owner of IP3) committed to submit a letter to the NRC detailing the Authority's commitments with regard to design basis information (Reference 2). In Reference 3, the Authority provided the NRC with a description of the initiatives, including scope and schedule, of the implementation to improve the availability of the IP3 design basis information.

The Authority committed to implement a three (3) phase program to identify and correct any discrepancies between the FSAR and the as-built configuration and operation of the plant. Phase one (1) of this program included the development of a "pilot" validation process. Phase two (2) was the application of the validation program to the safety-related plant systems and Phase 3 was to apply and complete the FSAR validation program and to identify and correct any FSAR discrepancies not covered by Phases 1 and 2. (Reference 3)


A074

In Reference 4 the Authority revised and clarified the scope of the IP3 project to identify and correct FSAR discrepancies. This clarification including reducing the scope of Phase 3. In the revised scope, Phase 3 was limited to only non-safety-related systems that are risk significant or are in the Maintenance Rule. Additionally, normal work practices and existing processes would be used to document and correct discrepancies identified in the UFSAR during Phases 1, 2 or 3, and open items would be resolved based on their safety significance, any potential effects on the plant operation, and the availability of resources to resolve them.

IP3 completed identifying and correcting all of the risk significant FSAR discrepancies identified in Phases 1 and 2 by March 30, 2000. In June of 2000, IP3 submitted an FSAR Amendment Update to the NRC correcting the risk significant items in the IP3 FSAR which were identified and corrected during Phases 1 and 2. As the remaining open items are corrected and closed they will be reflected in future IP3 FSAR Amendment Updates.

Entergy is not making any new commitments in this letter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Ken Peters at (914) 736-8349.

Very truly yours



Robert J. Barrett  
Vice President Operations  
Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant

cc: See next page

References:

1. NRC letter, James M. Taylor to Robert G. Schoenberger, dated October 9, 1996 regarding "Request for Information Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(f) Regarding Adequacy and Availability of Design Bases Information"
2. New York Power Authority letter, H. P. Salmon, Jr. to USNRC dated February 7, 1997 regarding the same subject
3. New York Power Authority letter, J. Knubel to USNRC dated March 10, 1997, "Response to Request for Additional Information Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(f) Regarding Adequacy and Availability of Design Bases Information"
4. New York Power Authority letter, J. Knubel to USNRC dated July 15, 1998, "Status of Authority Initiative to Improve the Adequacy and Availability of Design Bases Information"

cc: Regional Administrator  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
475 Allendale Road  
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Resident Inspector's Office  
Indian Point Unit 3  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P.O. Box 337  
Buchanan, NY 105011

Mr. William M. Flynn, President  
New York State Energy, Research, and Development Authority  
Corporate Plaza West  
286 Washington Avenue Extension  
Albany, NY 12203-6399

Mr. R. Laufer, Project Manager  
Project Directorate I  
Division of Reactor Projects I/II  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop 8 G9  
Washington, DC 20555