

March 27, 2001

Mr. James A. Hutton  
Director-Licensing  
Exelon Generation Company, LLC  
Nuclear Group Headquarters  
Correspondence Control  
P.O. Box 160  
Kennett Square, PA 19348

SUBJECT: ALTERNATIVES TO ASME SECTION XI INSERVICE INSPECTION PROGRAM  
RELATED TO TRAINING FOR ULTRASONIC TESTING PERSONNEL FOR  
PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3 (TAC NOS.  
MB0901 AND MB0902)

Dear Mr. Hutton:

By letter dated December 21, 2000, PECO Energy Company (PECO), the previous licensee, submitted proposed Alternative VIII-1, Depth Sizing Criterion, and proposed Alternative VIII-2, Annual Training, for the Inservice Inspection (ISI) Program at the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station (PBAPS), Units 2 and 3. This submittal was supplemented by letter dated February 20, 2001, from Exelon Generation Company, LLC (EGC), the current licensee, revising the proposed Alternative VIII-1, Depth Sizing Criteria. By proposed Alternative VIII-2, Annual Training, the licensee proposed to conduct annual ultrasonic testing training in accordance with Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Section 50.55a(b)(2)(xiv) requirements in lieu of Subarticle VII-4240 to Appendix VII of Section XI of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (Code). The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff has reviewed the alternative proposed in Alternative VIII-2. The review of Alternative VIII-1 is still in progress.

PECO was succeeded by EGC as the licensed operator of PBAPS on January 12, 2001. By letter dated January 30, 2001, EGC requested that the NRC staff continue to process and disposition licensing actions previously docketed and requested by PECO.

Based on the information provided, the NRC staff concludes that the alternative proposed by Alternative VIII-2 will provide an acceptable level of quality and safety. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(i), the NRC staff authorizes the ISI Program alternative proposed in Alternative VIII-2 for the third ISI 10-year interval at PBAPS. The NRC staff's safety evaluation is enclosed.

J. Hutton

-2-

If you have any questions, please contact your Project Manager, John P. Boska, at 301-415-2901.

Sincerely,

**/RA/**

James W. Clifford, Chief, Section 2  
Project Directorate I  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-277 and 50-278

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: See next page

J. Hutton

-2-

March 27, 2001

If you have any questions, please contact your Project Manager, John P. Boska, at 301-415-2901.

Sincerely,

**/RA/**

James W. Clifford, Chief, Section 2  
Project Directorate I  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-277 and 50-278

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

MO'Brien	PUBLIC	BElliot
JBoska	ACRS	PDI-2 Reading
OGC	BPlatchek, RGN-I	GHill (4)
EAdensam (EGA1)	JClifford	WBateman
		JShea

ADAMS ACCESSION NUMBER: ML010860453

OFFICE	PM/PD1-2	LA/PD1-2	SC/EMCB	OGC	SC/PD1-2
NAME	JBoska	MO'Brien	BElliot	CMarco	JClifford
DATE	3/14/01	3/16/01	3/16/01	3/22/01	3/26/01

**OFFICIAL RECORD COPY**

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station,  
Units 2 and 3

cc:

J. W. Durham, Sr., Esquire  
Sr. V.P. & General Counsel  
Exelon Corporation  
2301 Market Street, S26-1  
Philadelphia, PA 19101

Exelon Generation Company, LLC  
ATTN: Mr. J. Doering, Vice President  
Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station  
1848 Lay Road  
Delta, PA 17314

Exelon Generation Company, LLC  
ATTN: Regulatory Engineer, A4-5S  
Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station  
1848 Lay Road  
Delta, PA 17314

Resident Inspector  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station  
P.O. Box 399  
Delta, PA 17314

Regional Administrator, Region I  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
475 Allendale Road  
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Mr. Roland Fletcher  
Department of Environment  
Radiological Health Program  
2400 Broening Highway  
Baltimore, MD 21224

A. F. Kirby, III  
External Operations - Nuclear  
Atlantic City Electric Company  
P.O. Box 231  
Wilmington, DE 19899

Exelon Generation Company, LLC  
Plant Manager  
Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station  
1848 Lay Road  
Delta, PA 17314

Chief-Division of Nuclear Safety  
PA Dept. of  
Environmental Resources  
P.O. Box 8469  
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8469

Board of Supervisors  
Peach Bottom Township  
R. D. #1  
Delta, PA 17314

Public Service Commission of Maryland  
Engineering Division  
Chief Engineer  
6 St. Paul Center  
Baltimore, MD 21202-6806

Mr. Richard McLean  
Power Plant and Environmental  
Review Division  
Department of Natural Resources  
B-3, Tawes State Office Building  
Annapolis, MD 21401

Dr. Judith Johnsrud  
National Energy Committee  
Sierra Club  
433 Orlando Avenue  
State College, PA 16803

Manager-Financial Control & Co-Owner  
Affairs  
PSEG Nuclear LLC  
P.O. Box 236  
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038-0236

Manager-Peach Bottom Licensing  
Exelon Generation Company, LLC  
Nuclear Group Headquarters  
Correspondence Control  
P.O. Box 160  
Kennett Square, PA 19348

Mr. Jeffrey A. Benjamin  
Vice President-Licensing  
Exelon Generation Company, LLC  
1400 Opus Place  
Downers Grove, IL 60515

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

THIRD 10-YEAR INTERVAL INSERVICE INSPECTION PROGRAM PLAN

PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE VIII-2

EXELON GENERATION COMPANY, LLC

PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3

DOCKET NOS. 50-277 AND 50-278

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The inservice inspection of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (Code) Class 1, Class 2, and Class 3 components is to be performed in accordance with Section XI of the ASME Code and applicable editions and addenda as required by Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Section 50.55a(g), except where specific written relief has been granted by the Commission pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(i). Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3), alternatives to the requirements of paragraph (g) may be used, when authorized by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), if the licensee demonstrates that: (i) the proposed alternatives would provide an acceptable level of quality and safety, or (ii) compliance with the specified requirements would result in hardship or unusual difficulty without a compensating increase in the level of quality and safety.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(4), ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 components (including supports) shall meet the requirements, except the design and access provisions and the preservice examination requirements, set forth in the ASME Code, Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components," to the extent practical within the limitations of design, geometry, and materials of construction of the components. The regulations require that inservice examination of components and system pressure tests conducted during the first 10-year interval and subsequent intervals comply with the requirements in the latest edition and addenda of Section XI of the ASME Code incorporated by reference in 10 CFR 50.55a(b) on the date 12 months prior to the start of the 120-month interval, subject to the limitations and modifications listed therein. The inservice inspection (ISI) Code of record for Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station (PBAPS), Units 2 and 3, for the third 10-year interval is the 1989 Edition of the ASME Code. The components (including supports) may meet the requirements set forth in subsequent editions and addenda of the ASME Code incorporated by reference in 10 CFR 50.55a(b) subject to the limitations and modifications listed therein and subject to Commission approval.

The NRC staff has reviewed the information submitted by PECO Energy Company, the previous licensee, in a letter dated December 21, 2000, with a supplement dated February 20, 2001, submitted by Exelon Generation Company, LLC, the current licensee, requesting relief from certain ASME Code-required ultrasonic testing (UT) criteria. One of the licensee's proposed alternatives to the ASME Code requirements is proposed Alternative VIII-2 for the third 10-year ISI interval at PBAPS. Alternative VIII-2 proposed changes to the annual training criteria for UT personnel.

ENCLOSURE

## 2.0 ALTERNATIVE VIII-2, SUBARTICLE VII-4240 SUPPLEMENTAL TRAINING FOR UT PERSONNEL

### 2.1 ASME Code Requirements for which Relief is Requested

The licensee is requesting relief from the 1995 Edition with 1996 Addenda, Appendix VII to Section XI of the ASME Code, Subarticle VII-4240 for Appendix VIII qualified UT personnel and the 1989 Edition of Appendix VII to Section XI, Subarticle VII-4240 for non-Appendix VIII qualified UT personnel. Subarticle VII-4240 requires a minimum of 10 hours of annual UT training.

### 2.2 Licensee's Proposed Alternative to ASME Code

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(i), the licensee proposed conducting annual UT training in accordance with 10 CFR 50.55a(b)(2)(xiv) requirements in lieu of Subarticle VII-4240 to Appendix VII of Section XI of the ASME Code.

### 2.3 Evaluation

Subarticle VII-4240, Appendix VII of Section XI of the ASME Code requires 10 hours of annual training to impart knowledge of new developments, material failure modes, and any pertinent technical topics as determined by the licensee. No hands-on training or practice is required to be included in the 10 hours of training. This training is required of all UT personnel qualified to perform examinations of ASME Code, Class 1, 2, and 3 systems. Independent of the ASME Code, 10 CFR 50.55a(b)(2)(xiv) imposes the requirement that 8 hours of hands-on training with flawed specimens containing cracks be performed no earlier than 6 months prior to performing examinations at a licensee's facility. The licensee contends that maintaining two separate UT annual training programs create confusion, redundancies, and extra paper work.

As part of the NRC staff's rulemaking effort to revise 10 CFR 50.55a(b)(2), the issue of UT annual training requirements was reviewed. This review was included in the summary of comments on the changes to 10 CFR 50.55a, Codes and Standards, published on September 22, 1999, in the *Federal Register* (64 FR 51370). In the review, the NRC staff determined that the 10 hours of annual training requirement specified in the ASME Code was inadequate for two reasons. The first reason is that the training does not require practice with flawed specimens. Practice with flaws is necessary because signals can be difficult to interpret. The second reason is related to the length of training and its frequency. Studies have shown that an examiner's capability begins to diminish within 6 months if skills are not maintained. Therefore, examiners must practice on a frequent basis to maintain their capability for proper interpretation of flaws.

Based on resolution of public comments for the above rulemaking, the NRC staff accepted an industry initiative advanced by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), which proposed 8 hours of hands-on practice with flawed specimens containing cracks. The practice would occur no earlier than 6 months prior to performing examinations at a licensee's facility. The initiative was adopted in 10 CFR 50.55a(b)(2)(xiv) for personnel maintaining their Appendix VIII qualifications. The NRC staff believes that the proposed alternative to use 10 CFR 50.55a(b)(2)(xiv) in lieu of Subarticle VII-4240 will maintain the skill and proficiency of UT personnel at or above the level provided in the ASME Code for annual UT training, thereby providing an acceptable level of quality and safety.

## 2.4 Conclusion

Based on the discussion above, the staff concludes that the alternative proposed in Alternative VIII-2 for the third 10-year interval will provide an acceptable level of quality and safety. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(i), the NRC staff authorizes the proposed alternative for the third 10-year interval.

Principal Contributor: J. Boska

Date: March 27, 2001