



Tennessee Valley Authority, Post Office Box 2000, Soddy-Daisy, Tennessee 37384-2000

March 19, 2001

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
ATTN: Document Control Desk  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

In the Matter of	)	Docket Nos. 50-327
Tennessee Valley Authority	)	50-328

**SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT - UNITS 1 AND 2 - COMMITMENT SUMMARY  
REPORT**

The purpose of this letter is to provide the Sequoyah Commitment Summary Report for the period of May 31, 1999 to November 14, 2000, as required by the NRC endorsed Nuclear Energy Institute's (NEI) "Guideline For Managing NRC Commitments." This report summarizes docketed commitments that TVA has evaluated and revised using administrative controls that incorporate the guidelines.

If you should have any questions, please contact me at (423) 843-7170 or J. D. Smith at (423) 843-6672.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Pedro Salas", written over a large, stylized circular flourish.

Pedro Salas  
Licensing and Industry Affairs Manager

DO30

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Page 2  
March 19, 2001

Enclosure

cc: Mr. R. W. Hernan, Project Manager  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
One White Flint, North  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852-2739

NRC Resident Inspector  
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant  
2600 Igou Ferry Road  
Soddy-Daisy, Tennessee 37384-3624

Regional Administrator  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Region II  
Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center  
61 Forsyth St., SW, Suite 23T85  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303-3415

**ENCLOSURE  
SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT  
COMMITMENT SUMMARY REPORT**

<b>Commitment Evaluation #/ Commitment Tracking #</b>	<b>Source Document</b>	<b>Summary of Original Commitment</b>	<b>Summary of Commitment Changes</b>	<b>Basis/Justification For Changes</b>
16-022 NCO880167010	TVA revised response to NRC Bulletin 88-04 dated March 15, 1990	TVA will conduct full-flow testing of SQN's Unit 1 motor driven auxiliary feedwater (MDAFW) pumps to ensure these pumps meet or exceed their design requirements. This testing will be completed during startup from the Unit 1 Cycle 4 refueling outage.	Decommit	The testing was performed. Full flow testing of the MDAFW pumps is no longer required. This is based on the installation of additional mini-flow capacity for these pumps during SQN's Cycle 5 refueling outage for each unit. Full flow testing was completed following the installation of additional mini-flow capacity. The test was acceptable.
16-023 NCO870279001	TVA letter to NRC dated September 24, 1987	TVA will revise plant surveillance instructions to require full flow testing of the MDAFW pumps every refueling outage. These revised instructions will be in place by the Unit 2 Cycle 3 refueling outage for Unit 2 and the Unit 1 Cycle 4 refueling outage for Unit 1.	Decommit	The testing was performed. Full flow testing of the MDAFW pumps is no longer required. This is based on the installation of additional mini-flow capacity for these pumps during SQN's Cycle 5 refueling outage for each unit. Full flow testing was completed following the installation of additional mini-flow capacity. The test was acceptable.
16-025 NCO910009002	TVA response to Generic Letter 90-06 dated November 21, 1991	TVA will submit a technical specification change to incorporate provisions for testing PORVs prior to unit shutdown for refueling while in Mode 3 or Mode 4. This change will be submitted by the end of the Unit 1 Cycle 5 refueling outage.	Decommit	Technical Specification Change 91-13 was submitted by TVA letter dated November 21, 1991 and approved by NRC letter dated March 10, 1992. The provisions for testing PORVs prior to unit shutdown for refueling while in Mode 3 or Mode 4, is no longer applicable based on SQN Technical Specification Change 98-01 and a revised commitment for PORV testing approved by NRC. The PORV test conditions defined by SQN Technical Specification Change 98-01 supersede the previous testing commitment and establishes PORV testing in Modes 3, 4, or 5 with a steam bubble in the pressurizer. These test conditions are acceptable for assessing PORV performance and are discussed in NRC letters to Watts Bar dated March 1, 1999 and SQN dated November 19, 1998.