

Chief: P GAGE

Facility/Task: A1 EX

Task Start Date: 2/12/01

	ITEM DESCRIPTION	DUE DATE	INIT	DATE
0	Exam/Inspection Schedule Agreement (C.1.a;C.2.a&b)	Aug 16, 2000	JLP	4/4/2000
1	NRC Staff & Fac. Contact Assigned (C.1.c;C.2.e)	Aug 16, 2000	JLP	4/4/2000
2	Facility contact briefed on security & other issues (C.2.c)	Aug 16, 2000	JLP	4/4/2000
3	Corp. Notification Letter Sent (C.2.d) (Exams only)	Aug 16, 2000	<i>Q</i>	8/28/00
3a	Inspection Announcement Letter Sent (PIR & LORT if req'd)	Dec 29, 2000	N/A	N/A
4	Task Expectations, Issues, & Standards Discussed w/ BC	Nov 14, 2000	<i>Q</i>	11/17/00
5#	[Reference Material Due (C.1.d;C.3.c)]	Oct 15, 2000	N/A	N/A
6#	Integrated Exam Outlines Due (C.1.d&e;C.3.d)	Oct 15, 2000	<i>Q</i>	10/18/00
7#	Outlines reviewed by NRC & Feedback Sent (c.2.h;C.3.e)	Oct 29, 2000	<i>Q</i>	11/6/00
8#	Preliminary Applications Due (C.1.j;C.2.g;ES202)	Jan 13, 2001	<i>Q</i>	1/10/01
9#	Draft Exams w/ Doc./Ref. Due (C.1.d/e/f;C.3.d)	Dec 14, 2000	<i>Q</i>	12/14/00
10#	Peer Reviewer Initials As Reviewed All Parts*	Dec 24, 2000	<i>Q</i>	12/20/00
11#	NRC Supervisor. Initials Approving for Fac. Rev. (C.2.h;C.3.f)*	Dec 24, 2000	<i>Q</i>	12/21/00
12#	Exams Reviewed w/ Fac. (C.1.h;C.2.f&h;C.3.g)	Dec 24, 2000	<i>Q</i>	1/3/01
13#	Final Appl. Due & Assign. Sheet Prepared (C.1.j;C.2.h;ES202)	Jan 29, 2001	<i>Q</i>	1/16/01
14#	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams (C.2.i;C.3.h)*	Feb 5, 2001	<i>Q</i>	2/7/01
15#	Final Appl. Rec'd & Waivers Sent (C.2.g)	Feb 5, 2001	<i>Q</i>	2/5/01
16#	Proctor Rules Reviewed w/ Fac. & Written Authorized (C.3.k)	Feb 5, 2001	<i>Q</i>	1/11/01
17	Exam/Insp Material to Team (C.3.i)	Feb 5, 2001	<i>Q</i>	1/22/01
18#	Fac. graded exam & Comments Rec'd	Feb 24, 2001	<i>Q</i>	2/15/01
19#	NRC Written Grading Completed	Feb 27, 2001	<i>Q</i>	2/20/01
20#	Examiners Finished Grading Op. Tests	Feb 27, 2001	<i>Q</i>	2/21/01
21#	NRC Ch. Ex. Review Completed	Mar 9, 2001	<i>Q</i>	2/27/01
22	NRC BC Review Completed*	Mar 10, 2001	<i>Q</i>	2/27/01
23#	RPS/IP # Examinees Updated Before Report Issued	Mar 15, 2001	<i>Q</i>	2/27/01
24	License/Denials Signed & Report Issued	Mar 15, 2001	<i>Q</i>	3/1/01
25	Package Closed Out	Apr 5, 2001	<i>Q</i>	3/1/01
	Final Inspection Report Issued, Exam Package to OLA, Facility. Contact Notified of Results			

Not required for inspections, except as noted.

* Note Supervisor/Peer initials required.

[] Required NRC-auth. exams only.

When complete, for exams, add to pkg & fwd copy to BC, for insp, fwd orig'l to BC.

Last revised 4/4/00.

S:\DRS\OB\Boilerplate\MISC-BOX\assignment-sheet.wpd

Facility: ARKANSAS NUCLEAR ONE – UNIT ONE		Date of Examination: 2/12/01		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	per	AB	M
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all knowledge and ability categories are appropriately sampled.	per	AB	M
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	per	AB	M
	d. Assess whether the repetition from previous examination outlines is excessive.	per	AB	M
2. S I M	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, and major transients.	DS	AB	M
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants audit test(s)*, and scenarios will not be repeated over successive days.	DS	AB	M
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	DS	AB	M
3. W / T	a. Verify that: the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks, (1) no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination, (3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and (4) no more than 80% of any operating test is taken directly from the licensee's exam banks.	per	AB	M
	b. Verify that: the tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301, (1) one task is conducted in a low-power or shutdown condition, (2) 40% of the tasks require the applicant to implement an alternate path procedure, (3) one in-plant task tests the applicant's response to an emergency or abnormal condition, and (4) the in-plant walk-through requires the applicant to enter the RCA.	per	AB	M
	c. Verify that the required administrative topics are covered, with emphasis on performance-based activities.	per	AB	M
	d. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on successive days.	per	AB	M
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	per	AB	M
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	per	AB	M
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	per	AB	M
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	per	AB	M
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	per	AB	M
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	per	AB	M
a. Author		Printed Name / Signature		Date
John W. Cork / <i>John W. Cork</i>		Daniel E. Smith / <i>Daniel E. Smith</i>		10/16/00
b. Facility Reviewer (*)		Gerald R. Giles / <i>Gerald R. Giles</i>		10/16/00
c. Chief Examiner		RYAN ANTE / <i>Ryan Ante</i> PAUL GAGE / <i>Paul Gage</i>		12/19/00 11/8/00
d. NRC Supervisor		STEPHEN L. MCCOY / <i>Stephen L. McCoy</i> <i>Paul Gage acting</i>		11/8/00
(*) Not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.				

Facility: ANO Unit One		Date of Exam: 2/9/01		Exam Level: <u>RO/SRO</u>														
Item Description	Initial																	
	a	b*	c*															
1. Questions and answers technically accurate and applicable to facility	yes	18	20m															
2. a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available	yes	18	20m															
3. RO/SRO overlap is no more than 75 percent, and SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.d of ES-401	yes	18	20m															
4. No more than 25 questions are duplicated from [practice exams, quizzes, and] the last two NRC licensing exams; enter the actual number of duplicated questions at right	NRC	Other	-															
4. [No (Less than 5 percent) Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam (if independently written)] was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: - the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or - the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or - the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or - the license exam was prepared by the NRC.	yes	18	20m															
5. Bank use meets limits (no more than 50 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right	Bank 50	Modified 24	New 26															
6. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right	Memory 34	C/A 66																
7. References/handouts provided do not give away answers	yes	18	20m															
8. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the distribution meets previously approved examination outline; deviations are justified	yes	18	20m															
9. Question psychometric quality and format meet ES, Appendix B, guidelines	yes	18	20m															
10. The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet	yes	18	20m															
<table border="0"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Printed Name / Signature</td> <td>Date</td> </tr> <tr> <td>a. Author</td> <td>John W. Cork</td> <td>12/11/00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>b. Facility Reviewer(**)</td> <td>Gerald R. Giles</td> <td>12/11/00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>c. NRC Chief Examiner(**)</td> <td>Thomas J. Gage</td> <td>12/20/00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d. NRC Regional Supervisor(**)</td> <td>John J. Gage</td> <td>12/20/00</td> </tr> </table>					Printed Name / Signature	Date	a. Author	John W. Cork	12/11/00	b. Facility Reviewer(**)	Gerald R. Giles	12/11/00	c. NRC Chief Examiner(**)	Thomas J. Gage	12/20/00	d. NRC Regional Supervisor(**)	John J. Gage	12/20/00
	Printed Name / Signature	Date																
a. Author	John W. Cork	12/11/00																
b. Facility Reviewer(**)	Gerald R. Giles	12/11/00																
c. NRC Chief Examiner(**)	Thomas J. Gage	12/20/00																
d. NRC Regional Supervisor(**)	John J. Gage	12/20/00																
<p>Note: * The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for NRC-developed examinations; two independent NRC reviews are required. # See special instructions (Section E.2.c) for Items 1, 4, 5, and 68. [] The items in brackets do not apply to NRC prepared examinations.</p>																		

Facility: ANO Unit One		Date of Exam: 2/9/01		Exam Level: RO/SRO		
Item Description				Initial		
				a	b*	c#
1. Questions and answers technically accurate and applicable to facility				yes	18	70m
2. a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available				yes	18	70m
3. RO/SRO overlap is no more than 75 percent, and SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.d of ES-401				yes	18	70m
4. No more than 25 questions are duplicated from [practice exams, quizzes, and] the last two NRC licensing exams; enter the actual number of duplicated questions at right						-
4. [No (Less than 5 percent) Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam (if independently written)] was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: - the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or - the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or - the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or - the license exam was prepared by the NRC.				yes	18	70m
5. Bank use meets limits (no more than 50 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right				Bank	Modified	New
				50	18	32
6. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right				Memory	CIA	
				29	71	
7. References/handouts provided do not give away answers				yes	18	70m
8. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the distribution meets previously approved examination outline; deviations are justified				yes	18	70m
9. Question psychometric quality and format meet ES, Appendix B, guidelines				yes	18	70m
10. The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet				yes	18	70m
Printed Name / Signature a. Author <u>John W. Cork</u> b. Facility Reviewer(*) <u>Gerald R. Giles</u> c. NRC Chief Examiner(*) <u>T.O. McKernan</u> d. NRC Regional Supervisor(*) <u>John R. Ellis</u>				Date <u>12/11/00</u> <u>12/11/00</u> <u>12/20/00</u> <u>12/21/00</u>		
Note: * The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for NRC-developed examinations; two independent NRC reviews are required. # See special instructions (Section E.2.c) for Items 1, -4, 5, and 68. [-] The items in brackets do not apply to NRC-prepared examinations.						

Facility: ANO Unit One		Date of Examination: 2/12/01		Operating Test Number: 1	
1. GENERAL CRITERIA		Initials			
		a	b	c	
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	SNY	H	2000	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	SNP	H	2000	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s) (see Section D.1.a).	SNP	H	2000	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between operating test categories is within acceptable limits.	SNY	H	2000	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	SNP	H	2000	
2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY A & B) CRITERIA		-	-	-	
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	SNP	H	2000	
b.	The prescribed questions in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet the criteria in Attachment 1 of ES-301.	SNP	H	2000	
c.	Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity.	SNP	H	2000	
d.	At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified.	SNP	H	2000	
3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA		-	-	-	
a.	The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	DS	H	2000	
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> <p>Printed Name / Signature</p> <p>a. Author <u>DANIEL E. Smith / Daniel E. Smith</u></p> <p>b. Facility Reviewer(*) <u>Gerald R. Giles / Gerald R. Giles</u></p> <p>c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) <u>Tom Furr / Tom Furr</u></p> <p>d. NRC Supervisor (*) <u>John Pellet / John Pellet</u></p> </div> <div> <p>Date</p> <p><u>12/11/00</u></p> <p><u>12/11/00</u></p> <p><u>12/11/00</u></p> <p><u>12/21/00</u></p> </div> </div>					
(*) The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

Facility: ANO-1		Date of Exam: 2/13/01		Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3		Operating Test No.: 1	
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initials				
			a	b	c		
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	DL	/b	JM			
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	DL	/b	JM			
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	① DL	/b	JM			
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	DL	/b	JM			
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	DL	/b	JM			
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	① DL	/b	JM			
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	DL	/b	JM			
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	DL	/b	JM			
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Any open simulator performance deficiencies have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	① DL	/b	JM	val, wk		
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.4 of ES-301.	DL	/b	JM			
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	DL	/b	JM			
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	DL	/b	JM			
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	DL	/b	JM			
TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.4.D)		Actual Attributes		-	-	-	
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	7/ 7/ 6		DL	/b	JM	
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2/ 1/ 2		DL	/b	JM	
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	4/ 3/ 3		DL	/b	JM	
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1/ 1/ 1		DL	/b	JM	
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	3/ 2/ 1		DL	/b	JM	
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1/ 2/ 0		DL	/b	JM	
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	2/ 2/ 3		DL	/b	JM	

① Specific attributes will be completed when the scenarios are validated during the validation scheduled for the week of January 15, 2001.

OPERATING TEST NO.: 1

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number			
			1	2	3	4
RO	Reactivity	1	4/5	3	5	
	Normal	1	2	2	1	
	Instrument / Component	4	1/3/4/ 5/7/8	1/4/5/ 7	2/3/4/ 7	
	Major	1	6	6	6	

As RO	Reactivity	1	N/A	3	N/A	
	Normal	0	N/A	2	N/A	
	Instrument / Component	2	N/A	1/4/5/ 7	N/A	
	Major	1	N/A	6	N/A	
SRO-I	Reactivity	0	4/5	N/A	N/A	
	Normal	1	2	N/A	N/A	
	Instrument / Component	2	1/3/4/ 5/7/8	N/A	N/A	
	Major	1	6	N/A	N/A	

SRO-U	Reactivity	0	4/5	3	5	
	Normal	1	2	2	1	
	Instrument / Component	2	1/3/4/ 5/7/8	1/4/5/ 7	2/3/4/ 7	
	Major	1	6	6	6	

- Instructions:
- (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
 - (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or controlled abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
 - (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author:

Chief Examiner:

Daniel E. Smith
for P. Gage

Operating Test No: 1

Competencies	CBOR Applicant #1 RO/SRO-I/SRO-U				CBOT Applicant #2 RO/SRO-I/SRO-U				CRS Applicant #3 RO/SRO-I/SRO-U			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms	1/2/3/ 4/5/6	1/2/3 4/5/6	3/5 6/7	N / A	1/2 3/4 5/6	1/2/3 4/5/6	3/5 6/7	N / A	1/2/3 4/5/6	1/2/3 4/5/6	3/5 6/7	N/ A
Diagnose Events And Conditions	1/2/3/ 4/6/7 8	1/2/3 4/5/6 7	3/4 5/6	N / A	1/2/ 5/6 7/8	1/2/3 4/5/6	2/3 5/6 7	N / A	1/2/3 4/5/6 7/8	1/2/3 4/5/6	3/5 6/7	N/ A
Understand Plant And System Response	1/2/3 4/5/6 7/8	1/2/3 4/5/6 7	3/4 5/6 7	N / A	1/2 3/4 5/6 7/8	1/2/3 4/5/6 7	2/3 4/6 7/8	N / A	1/2/3 4/5/6 7/8	1/2/3 4/5/6 7	1/2 3/4 5/6 7	N/ A
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1/2/3 4/5/6 7	1/2/3 4/5/6 7/8	3/4 5/6 7	N / A	1/2 3/4 5/6 7/8	1/2/3 4/5/6 7	1/2 3/4 5/6 7	N / A	1/2/3 4/5/6 7/8	1/2/3 4/5/6 7	1/2/3 4/5/6 7	N/ A
Operate Control Boards (2)	1/2/3 4/5/6 7/8	1/2/3 4/5/6 7	3/4 5/6 7	N / A	1/2 3/4 5/6 7/8	1/2/3 4/5/6	3/5 6/7	N / A	N/A	N/A	N / A	N/ A
Communicate and Interact With the Crew	1/2/3 4/5/6 7/8	1/2/3 4/5/6 7	3/4 5/6 7	N / A	1/2 3/4 5/6 7/8	1/2/3 4/5/6 7	1/2 3/5 6/7	N / A	1/2/3 4/5/6 7/8	1/2/3 4/5/6 7	1/2/3 4/5/6 7	N/ A
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	N/A	N/A	N / A	N / A	N/A	N/A	N / A	N / A	1/2/3 4/5/6 7/8	1/2/3 4/5/6 7	1/2/3 4/5/6 7	N/ A
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	N/A	N/A	N / A	N / A	N/A	N/A	N / A	N / A	1/6	6	6	N/ A

Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
 (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
 (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter the event numbers that test the competency for each scenario in the set.

Author:

Chief Examiner:

Daniel E. Smith
for P. Gage

Facility:		Exam Level: RO/SRO		
Date of Exam:				
Item Description	Initials			
	a	b	c	
1. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	ju	16	2	
3. Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in detail	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	N/A	N/A	N/A	
5. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	ju	16	2	
Printed Name / Signature		Date		
a. Grader	John W. Cork / John W. Cork	2/9/01		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Gerald R. Giles / [Signature]	2/9/01		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	PAUL GAGE / Paul Gage	2/21/01		
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	[Signature] / [Signature]	2/27/01		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.				

02/27/2001

08:34:47

Report 21

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 10/01/2000 To 09/30/2001

Region: 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates		Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
01/08/2001	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000313 / 2001301			Prep		GAGE, PAUL C.	GAGE, PAUL C.
02/12/2001	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000313 / 2001301	RO - 3 SROU - 3	SROI - 1	Admin	FFF	GAGE, PAUL C.	GAGE, PAUL C. LANTZ, RYAN E. MCKERNON, THOMAS O.
07/09/2001	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000313 / Procedure #: 7111111B					GAGE, PAUL C.	GAGE, PAUL C. MURPHY, MICHAEL E.
07/09/2001	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / Procedure #: 7111111B					GAGE, PAUL C.	GAGE, PAUL C. MURPHY, MICHAEL E.

02/27/2001 08:34:47

Report 21

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 10/01/2000 To 09/30/2001

Region: 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Summary By Date

01/2001	ANO - Arkansas Nuclear One				
	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Arkansas Nuclear One: 0
01/2001					
	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for 01/2001: 0
02/2001	ANO - Arkansas Nuclear One				
	RO - 3	SROI - 1	SROU - 3	LSRO - 0	Total for Arkansas Nuclear One: 7
02/2001					
	RO - 3	SROI - 1	SROU - 3	LSRO - 0	Total for 02/2001: 7
07/2001	ANO - Arkansas Nuclear One				

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 10/01/2000 To 09/30/2001

Region: 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Summary By Site

ANO - Arkansas Nuclear One

RO - 3

SROI - 1

SROU - 3

LSRO - 0

Total for Arkansas Nuclear One: 7

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 10/01/2000 To 09/30/2001

Region: 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Summary By Region

Region 4

RO - 3

SROI - 1

SROU - 3

LSRO - 0

Total for Region 4: 7

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2/12/01 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2/12/01. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. John V. Cork	Ops Instructor / Outline Prep	[Signature]	8/16/00	[Signature]	2/15/01	
2. Gerald R. Giles	Supervisor Operations Training	[Signature]	8/22/00	[Signature]	2/15/01	
3. STEVEN R. HILL	Control Room S.p. / Exam Writer	[Signature]	8/23/00	[Signature]	2/15/01	
4. ROBERT A. SOUTHWICK	OPS INSTRUCTOR / NLO CONT.	[Signature]	9/14/00	[Signature]	2/15/01	
5. DANIEL E. SMITH	Sr. Ops inst. / Simulator	[Signature]	9/14/00	[Signature]	2/15/01	
6. Scott G. Carr	Simulator Engineer	[Signature]	10/3/00	[Signature]	2/15/01	
7. Steven A. Stambaugh	Shift Manager	[Signature]	12/6/00	[Signature]	2/26/01	
8. Kenneth A. Royal	Reactor Operator	[Signature]	12/7/00	[Signature]	2/16/01	
9. Donald E. Walls	Reactor Operator	[Signature]	12-7-00	[Signature]	2-20-01	
10. John C. Hanson	Senior Reactor Operator	[Signature]	12-7-00	[Signature]	2/15/01	
11. JOHN A. SILVA	SHIFT MANAGER	[Signature]	12-8-00	[Signature]	2-20-01	
12. John N. Miller, Jr.	U-1 Ops MANAGER	[Signature]	12/10/00	[Signature]	02/19/01	
13. JOHN P. MORGAN	Reactor OPERATOR	[Signature]	12/11/00	[Signature]	2/16/01	
14. LARRY ADAMS	Sim Software ENG.	[Signature]	1/9/01	[Signature]	2/15/01	
15. ROBERT VIRGOEN	SIM SOFTWARE SPEC	[Signature]	1/9/01	[Signature]	2/15/01	

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 3/12/01 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2/12/01. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Richard Loveland	Reactor Operator	<i>Richard D Loveland</i>	Jan. 9, 2001	<i>Richard D Loveland</i>	Feb. 15, 2001	
2. James B. Keys	Central Room Supervisor	<i>James B Keys</i>	1/9/01	<i>James B Keys</i>	2-16-01	
3. Donald R Phillips	SRE-1 Supervisor	<i>Donald R Phillips</i>	1/15/01	<i>Donald R Phillips</i>	2-19-01	
4. Robert C. Cherry	Trainer	<i>Robert C Cherry</i>	1/20/01	<i>Robert C Cherry</i>	2-16-01	
5. Steven W Avery	QA CRS	<i>Steven W Avery</i>	2/6/01	<i>Steven W Avery</i>	2-16-01	
6. Kenneth W. Canine	TRAINER	<i>Kenneth W Canine</i>	2/13/01	<i>Kenneth W Canine</i>	2-16-01	
7. Donald E. Walls	Reactor Operator	<i>Don Walls</i>	2-16-01	<i>Don Walls</i>	2-16-01	
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES: