

March 7, 2001

MEMORANDUM TO: File

FROM: John F. Stang, Senior Project Manager, Section 1 */RA/*
Project Directorate III
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: DONALD C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 -
ACCEPTANCE REVIEW REGARDING LICENSE AMENDMENT
REQUEST, "TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGE REQUEST
ESSENTIAL SERVICE WATER SYSTEM," DATED AUGUST 18, 2000
(TAC NOS. MA9839 AND MA9840)

During the review of the subject proposed license amendment, the staff determined additional information in addition to that previously documented in a February 2, 2001, memorandum, was necessary to complete its review. Attached is the draft request for additional information (RAI). In accordance with Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) Office Letter 803, the draft RAI will be E-Mailed to the licensee and a conference call will be arranged to discuss the RAI. Once the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff and the licensee have a common understanding of the information required, the RAI will be issued formally to the licensee.

Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316

Attachment: As stated

ACCEPTANCE REVIEW FOR
D. C. COOK UNITS 1 AND 2
SUBMITTAL C0800-03 ESSENTIAL SERVICE WATER SYSTEM, DATED AUGUST 18, 2000

1. The licensee's proposed technical specification (TS) does not say what to do if an essential service water (ESW) loop is inoperable for a unit in Modes 5 and 6, when the other unit is in Modes 1-4. A strict interpretation would require TS 3.0.3 entry.
2. Why doesn't the proposed TS require the service water flowpath associated with support of the other unit's shutdown functions to be operable (instead of "available") consistent with generic letter (GL) 91-13?
3. When both units are in Modes 1-4, why aren't the shutdown flowpaths associated with both service water loops required to be operable consistent with GL 91-13?
4. Why are the requirements of TS 3.0.4 not applicable?
5. The proposed surveillance 4.7.4.1.d, should refer to Unit 1 in the Unit 1 TS, and Unit 2 in the Unit 2 TS; and the surveillance should be applied to all crosstie valves whether open or closed since they must be able to be opened and closed depending on the situation (not just a shutdown flowpath function).

ATTACHMENT

March 7, 2001

MEMORANDUM TO: File

FROM: John F. Stang, Senior Project Manager, Section 1 */RAI/*
Project Directorate III
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: DONALD C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 -
ACCEPTANCE REVIEW REGARDING LICENSE AMENDMENT
REQUEST, "TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGE REQUEST
ESSENTIAL SERVICE WATER SYSTEM," DATED AUGUST 18, 2000
(TAC NOS. MA9839 AND MA9840)

During the review of the subject proposed license amendment, the staff determined additional information in addition to that previously documented in a February 2, 2001, memorandum, was necessary to complete its review. Attached is the draft request for additional information (RAI). In accordance with Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) Office Letter 803, the draft RAI will be E-Mailed to the licensee and a conference call will be arranged to discuss the RAI. Once the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff and the licensee have a common understanding of the information required, the RAI will be issued formally to the licensee.

Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316

Attachment: As stated

DISTRIBUTION

PUBLIC

PDIII-1 Reading

A. Vogel, RIII

Accession No. ML010720158

OFFICE	PDIII-1/PM	PDIII-1/LA
NAME	JStang	THarris
DATE	3/7/01	3/7/01

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY