

March 09, 2001

MEMORANDUM TO: William D. Beckner, Acting Chief
Generic Issues, Environmental, Financial and Rulemaking Branch
Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs

FROM: Eileen M. McKenna, Senior Reactor Engineer/**RA**/
Generic Issues, Environmental, Financial and Rulemaking Branch
Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF PUBLIC WORKSHOP ON "OPTION 2" - RISK-
INFORMING SPECIAL TREATMENT REQUIREMENTS IN
10 CFR PART 50

On February 21-22, 2001, NRC conducted a public workshop on Option 2, an activity intended to develop an alternative set of regulations that would vary treatment requirements applied to structures, systems and components, based upon a risk-informed categorization process. The attendees at the meeting are listed in Attachment 1. Attachment 2 is the slides used by the NRC staff that summarize the objectives, agenda and discussion topics for the workshop. Attachment 3 is the presentation materials used by other workshop participants, including those used by Frank Miraglia, Deputy Executive Director, during his keynote remarks.

Following opening remarks, the keynote address focused upon the overall direction of risk-informed regulation at NRC. This served as a backdrop for the workshop that was directed at one initiative, commonly known as "Option 2" of 3 options for risk-informing 10 CFR Part 50. The objectives of the workshop were to inform stakeholders of status and to solicit input on several topics important to the Option 2 rulemaking.

Presentations were made by representatives of the Boiling Water Reactor Owners Group, the Westinghouse Owners Group and the Combustion Engineering Owners Group (CEOG) about their pilot plant activities. The first two are somewhat farther along in the pilot process than the CEOG. Pilot plants and the set of systems to be categorized as part of the pilot have been selected by the Owners Group. Other efforts have focused upon identifying what program and process changes would be needed to implement the approach, and also to understand the cost-benefit implications.

Presentations were also made by representatives of ASME task groups who are working on code cases in the areas of risk-informed classification for repair and replacement activities, and for alternative repair/replacement rules to apply to low safety-significant structures. Systems and components (SSC). They noted that for purposes of repair and replacement (where the physical condition of the component could be modified, as compared to inservice inspection activities), the risk categorization focuses only upon consequences and not failure potential. It is expected that the classification code case will be tested by some pilot plants over the next few months.

NEI stated that their approach with the industry guidelines and other supporting information generated for Option 2 is to document why they believe commercial seismic standards, environmental qualification (EQ) experience and the Code cases are sufficient treatment for low safety-significant SSC. NEI stated that it was working with EPRI to develop the supplemental information for the seismic and EQ areas that they would provide to NRC at a later date. NEI also expressed concern with a categorization issue arising in the South Texas exemption review about consideration of large late releases. There was significant discussion on this issue with some commenters stating that late containment failure is not tied to quantitative health objectives in the safety goal, and it was unclear how a licensee would make the categorization decision for particular SSC that contribute to containment performance. The staff has indicated that functionality of SSC that contribute significantly to containment performance is part of defense-in-depth and therefore that this is consistent with the principles in Regulatory Guide 1.174. The staff also indicated that it wanted the integrated decision panel to at least consider the impact of the SSC on containment performance. A separate public meeting to discuss this topic in more detail was suggested.

During the session on February 22, the participants discussed specific topics (as noted in the attached slides). For instance, the group discussed what form of submittal and what type of NRC review would be appropriate for a licensee proposing to implement the Option 2 approach. The model of risk-informed inservice inspection requests, with a letter from the Director of NRR approving the request, was suggested.

The staff raised the issue of the risk-informed safety classification (RISC) "box chart." That is, whether the division between the RISC-1 and RISC-2 boxes should be based on whether the SSC are safety-related, or are "important to safety." Some stakeholders expressed concern about complexity and difficulty in explaining the concept. A more fundamental concern is the relative extent of requirements that would result for the RISC-3 box. That is, requirements beyond the existing treatment might be imposed on SSC "important to safety" that are of low significance. It became evident through comments made by industry representatives that if treatment issues are dealt with in a manner that minimizes the need for new program requirements, the debate about the box chart would likely evaporate.

There was some discussion about selective implementation of SSC and of rules. As noted during discussions on the review process, what is being approved (the essence of Option 2) is a process for categorization of SSC and adjustment of treatment of SSC that will be occurring over a period of years. Once initial investments in the PRA and other development needs are met, licensees would be motivated to complete the process so that they are not under multiple programs longer than needed. Since functional requirements still exist for the SSC regardless of whether under existing rules or under the new 50.69 (Option 2), selective implementation for SSC may turn out not to be a concern. It was also noted that many of what would become the RISC-2 requirements are already in place as a result of the maintenance rule. Thus, there is no imbalance where licensees would select RISC-3 SSC before RISC-2 SSC during implementation. Similarly, licensees would likely apply the process to all of the rules within the scope of the new 50.69 for a similar reason. There may be exceptions, as for instance, inservice inspection, where the categorization process is not well suited to the adjustments, such that a different means of risk-informing the requirements might be undertaken.

In the area of treatment, considerable comment was voiced about what was known of the interchanges with South Texas on their exemption request. For example, there was the view that what was being expected for "inservice" testing for RISC-3 SSC was more stringent than is currently required for RISC-1 SSC. The view was expressed that the "show me how" and the "absolute" level of assurance that seemed to be requested to support the exemption was not consistent with the Option 2 intent for low safety-significant SSC. The topic of seismic and EQ and whether design/procurement controls without testing are sufficient was also raised (as noted above). Finally, with respect to the program and process controls for RISC-3, pilot plant representatives expressed the view that if existing processes were not sufficient, the need to develop and implement a regulatory "third program" in some aspects, for a subset of SSC, would likely add sufficient implementation complexity and cost as to dissuade plants from undertaking Option 2.

The meeting was adjourned somewhat early because of inclement weather. In summary, the following next steps were identified: NRC to provide feedback to NEI on their draft guidelines (NEI 00-04), in the April time frame, and schedule future meetings on the topics of large late release (TBD), EQ guidelines (planned for March 22), and seismic guidelines (planned for April 5). It was further agreed that issuance of the final safety evaluation report on the South Texas exemption requests would also illuminate where resolution of certain of the technical issues involved in Option 2 may be headed.

Attachments: As stated

In the area of treatment, considerable comment was voiced about what was known of the interchanges with South Texas on their exemption request. For example, there was the view that what was being expected for "inservice" testing for RISC-3 SSC was more stringent than is currently required for RISC-1 SSC. The view was expressed that the "show me how" and the "absolute" level of assurance that seemed to be requested to support the exemption was not consistent with the Option 2 intent for low safety-significant SSC. The topic of seismic and EQ and whether design/procurement controls without testing are sufficient was also raised (as noted above). Finally, with respect to the program and process controls for RISC-3, pilot plant representatives expressed the view that if existing processes were not sufficient, the need to develop and implement a regulatory "third program" in some aspects, for a subset of SSC, would likely add sufficient implementation complexity and cost as to dissuade plants from undertaking Option 2.

The meeting was adjourned somewhat early because of inclement weather. In summary, the following next steps were identified: NRC to provide feedback to NEI on their draft guidelines (NEI 00-04), in the April time frame, and schedule future meetings on the topics of large late release (TBD), EQ guidelines (planned for March 22), and seismic guidelines (planned for April 5). It was further agreed that issuance of the final safety evaluation report on the South Texas exemption requests would also illuminate where resolution of certain of the technical issues involved in Option 2 may be headed.

Attachments: As stated

DISTRIBUTION:

ADAMS

PUBLIC

S. Collins/R. Zimmerman

B. Sheron

J. Johnson

D. Matthews/S. Newberry

G. Holahan/T. Collins

J. Strosnider/R. Wessman

B. Boger/F. Gillespie

W. Beckner

S. West

E. McKenna

T. Reed

J. Golla

See previous concurrence

G:\RGEB\RIP50\sum221.wpd

OFFICE	RGEB	SC:RGEB
NAME	E. McKenna:nyc	S. West
DATE	3/02/01	3/09/01

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

RISK-INFORMING SPECIAL TREATMENT REQUIREMENTS WORKSHOP ATTENDEES

**February 21-22, 2001
TWFN Auditorium
Rockville, MD**

Name	Affiliation	Address	Phone	E-mail
1. Alford, David	Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Co.	P.O. Box 411 Burlington, KS 66839	(620)364-8831 ext. 4548	daalfor1@wcnoc.com
2. Bagchi, Goutam	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-3298	gxb1@nrc.gov
3. Balkey, Ken	Westinghouse Electric Co.	P.O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355	(412)374-4633	balkeykr@westinghouse.com
4. Balmain, Peter	NRC\NRR\DIPM	11545 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-3697	pab1@nrc.gov
5. Bergman, T.	NRC/OEDO	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-1725	tav@nrc.gov
6. Bradley, Biff	NEI	1776 I Street, NW, Ste. 400 Washington, DC 20006		
7. Brooks, Tony	NEI	1776 I Street, NW, Ste. 400 Washington, DC 20006	(202)739-8022	Acb@nei.org
8. Brown, Jason	Westinghouse Electric Co.	P.O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, PA 15230	(412)374-4205	brownja@westinghouse.com
9. Burchill, William	Exelon	1400 Opus Place, Ste. 400 Downers Grove, IL 60515	(630)663-2684	william.burchill@exeloncorp.com
10. Campbell, Patricia	Winston & Strawn	1400 "L" Street, NW Washington, DC 20005	(202)371-5828	pcampbell@winston.com
11. Carpenter, Cynthia	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-1282	cac@nrc.gov
12. Chapman, Nancy	Serch/Bechtel	5275 Westview Dr. Frederick, MD 21703	(301)228-6025	ngchapma@bechtel.com
13. Cheok, Mike	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-8380	mcc2@nrc.gov
14. Corbin, Carl B.	TXU Electric /Regulatory Affairs	P.O. Box 1002 Glen Rose, TX 76043	(254)897-0121	ccorbin1@txu.com
15. Dyckman, Dennis	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Bureau of Radiation Protection, Division of Nuclear Safety	P. O. Box 8469 Harrisburgh, PA 17105-8469	(717)783-4546	ddyckman@state.pa.us
16. Fair, John	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-2759	jrf@nrc.gov
17. Floyd, Steve	NEI	1776 I Street, NW, Ste. 400 Washington, DC 20006		
18. Frantz, Steve	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	1800 M St. NW Washington, DC 20036	(202)4677460	sfrantz@morganlewis.com

19. Golla, Joseph	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-1002	jag2@nrc.gov
20. Graybeal, Robin	EES	120 Colchis Ct. Cary, NC 27513	(919)462-3103	graybeal@asme.org
21. Heck, Ken	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-2682	kch1@nrc.com
22. Heymer, Adrian	NEI	1776 I Street, NW, Ste. 400 Washington, DC 20006		
23. Holahan, Gary	NRR	11545 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-2884	gmh@nrc.gov
24. Holden, Cornelius	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-1288	chf@nrc.gov
25. Holston, William	Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant	General Supervisor Design Engineering Calvert Cliffs NPP NEF-2 Lusby, MD 20657	(410)495-4466	william.c.holston@ccnppi.com
26. Houston, Roger	Licensing Support Services	4204 Christine PL. Alexandria, VA 22311	(703)671-9738	roger@licensingssupport.com
27. Howey, Neill	IL Dept. Nuclear Safety	1035 Outer Park Drive Springfield, IL 62704	(217)785-3275	howey@idns.state.il.us
28. Imbro, Gene	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-3288	exi@nrc.gov
29. Jebesen, Eric R.	Exelon Corp, Quad Cities Generating Station	22710 206 th Avenue, North Cordova, IL 61242-9740	(309)654-2241 ext. 3327	eric.jebesen@exeloncorp.com
30. Knapnik, F.	Knapnik, McGrawhill			mknapp@mh.com
31. Leonard, Steve	Niagara Mohawk	P.O. Box 62 Lycoming, NY	(315)349-4039	leonardm@nimo.com
32. Levinson, Stanley	Framatome ANP	3315 Old Forest Rd/ of 54 Lynchburg, VA	(804)832-2768	slevinson@framatech.com
33. Loftus, Patricia	Exelon Generation Co., LLC	1400 Opus Place Downers Grove, IL	(630)663-7250	patricia-loftus@exeloncorp.com
34. Magruder, Stu	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-3139	slm1@nrc.gov
35. Markley, Mike	NRR/ACRS	11545 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-6885	mtm@nrc.gov
36. Markley, Tony	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-3165	awm@nrc.gov
37. McKenna, Eileen	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-2189	emm@nrc.gov
38. Millar, Dana	Entergy Operations, Inc	1340 Echelon Parkway Jackson, MS	(601)368-5445	dmillar@entergy.com
39. Miller, Gary D.	Dominion	5000 Dominion Blvd. (Mail stop IN-2SE) Glen Allen, VA 23060	(804)273-2771	gary_miller@dom.com

40. Mitzuno, Geary	OGC	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-1639	gsm@nrc.gov
41. Nevius, Bryan	Dominion	5000 Dominion Blvd., I-NW Glen Allen, VA 23060	(804)273-3478	bryan_nevius@dom.com
42. Newberry, Scott	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-1199	sfn@nrc.gov
43. Palla, Robert	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-1095	rlp3@nrc.gov
44. Pietrangelo, Tony	NEI	1776 I Street, NW, Ste. 400 Washington, DC 20006		arp@nei.org
45. Petro, Jim	Winston& Strawn	1400 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005	(202)371-5847	jpetro@winston.com
46. Reed, Tim	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-1462	tar@nrc.gov
47. Rubin, Mark	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-3234	mpr@nrc.gov
48. Sellers, Craig	ITS Corp	12220 Beach Ct. P.O. Box 1196 Lusby, MD 20657	(410)394-1504	cds@itsc.com
49. Shemanski, Paul	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-1377	pcs@nrc.gov
50. Sherman, B	State of Vermont	112 State St. Montpelier, VT 05602	(802)828-3349	shermanw@psd.state.vt.us
51. Shuaibi, Mohammed	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-2859	mas4@nrc.gov
52. Sowers, Gerald	Arizona Public Service		(623)393-5647	gsowers@apsc.com
53. Stamm, John	Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Co.	P.O. Box 411 Burlington, KS 66839	(620)364-8831 ext. 8312	jostamm@wcnoc.com
54. Strosnider, Jack	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-3288	jrs2@nrc.gov
55. Taminami, Tatsuya	Tokyo Electric Power Co. Inc.	1901 L Street, NW, Ste. 720 Washington, DC 20036	(202)457-0790	taminami@tepcocom
56. Thatcher, Dale	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-3260	dft@nrc.gov
57.True, Doug	ERIN engineering & Research, Inc.	2033 N. Main Street, #1000 Walnut Creek, CA 94596	(925)943-7077	detrue@erineng.com
58. West, Steven	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-1220	ksw@nrc.gov
59. Williams, Joe	NRC/NRR/DLPM	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-1470	jfw1@nrc.gov

60. Wine-Brenner, John	Dominion	5000 Dominion Blvd., (IN-3SE) Glen Allen, VA 23060	(804)273-2822	ionh_winebrenner@dom.com
61. Young, Ron	NRR	11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738	(301)415-2852	rmy@nrc.gov
62. Zigler, Gilbert	ITS Corp	6000 Uptown Blvd, NE Albuquerque, NM 87109	(505)872-1089	gziegler@itsc.com