

6/4/99

~ 33 people

G. Bagchi,

M. Cheek

T. Essig

J. Sosnider

G. Hubbard

T R. Barrett

T G. Kelly

T V. Ordoz

T D. Jackson

S. Collins (for first 45 minutes)

T Stu Richards

T = Sat at table

Stu: Technical working group only been working on it for 2 months
Technical working group will be done March 2000.

Diane: We might go back and ask RES to take another look at
CSI-82 -- impact on operating reactors.

Sam: Can have an impact on operating reactors. Will look at it.

TA: Should fuel pool fires be part of the design basis.

Stu: Decommissioning reactors have less ways to get water into a reactor.

R Barrett: For op. reactor, reactor risk bounds span fuel
pool risk

WJ

TA: Ad hoc on site emergency plans to recover.

G Kelly: We included probability to recover

TA: It would be useful to bring out the ^{major} differences between the op. rx. and the decommissioned rx.

R Barrett: We will hear from stakeholders (industry) on Monday as to what their plans are on spent fuel pools.

TA: Time to take action ^{increase} and offset consequences decrease over time

G Kelly: Simplified answer to complicated problem.
Don't plan to go into too much details at the public mtg.

TA: (Jim (gray hair)) How long to boil 22 ft of water?

G Kelly: Days.

Str: We are seeking industry feedback from industry.

TA: Not licensed operators. Have certified fuel handlers.

G Kelly: Certified fuel handlers were licensed operators who did not want to move.

They had no severe accident mitigation procedures.

TA (Jin): Don't want a PR disaster on Monday.

Sen. Zier is only recommending request in process.

Vonnan: Zier has been shut down for 2 years + 3 months.
Zier is attempting to demonstrate better SEC.