

EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM: DUE: 02/23/01 EDO CONTROL: G20010070
DOC DT: 02/07/01
FINAL REPLY:

Representative Sue W. Kelly

TO:

Chairman Meserve

FOR SIGNATURE OF : ** PRI **

CRC NO: 01-0104

Chairman

DESC:

ROUTING:

Indian Point 2

Travers
Paperiello
Miraglia
Norry
Reiter
Craig
Burns/Cyr
Collins, NRR

DATE: 02/13/01

ASSIGNED TO: CONTACT:

RI

Miller

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Feb 12, 2001 16:35

PAPER NUMBER: LTR-01-0104 LOGGING DATE: 02/09/2001
ACTION OFFICE: EDO

AUTHOR: SUE KELLY
AFFILIATION: REP
ADDRESSEE: RICHARD MESERVE
SUBJECT: INDIAN POINT 2

ACTION: Signature of Chairman
DISTRIBUTION: RF, SECY/RAS, OCA TO ACK

LETTER DATE: 02/07/2001

ACKNOWLEDGED: No
SPECIAL HANDLING:

NOTES: COMMISSION CORRESPONDENCE

FILE LOCATION: ADAMS

DATE DUE: ^{2/27/01}
~~03/07/2001~~ DATE SIGNED:

EDO --G20010070

SUE W. KELLY
19TH DISTRICT, NEW YORK

COMMITTEE ON
TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE
SUBCOMMITTEE ON SURFACE TRANSPORTATION
SUBCOMMITTEE ON WATER RESOURCES
AND ENVIRONMENT

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS
CHAIRMAN, SUBCOMMITTEE ON REGULATORY
REFORM AND PAPERWORK REDUCTION

COMMITTEE ON BANKING AND
FINANCIAL SERVICES
SUBCOMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
AND CONSUMER CREDIT

SUBCOMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND
COMMUNITY OPPORTUNITY

ASSISTANT MAJORITY WHIP

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-3219

PLEASE REPLY TO:
☐ 1122 LONGWORTH HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
(202) 225-5441
☐ 21 OLD MAIN STREET, ROOM #205
FISHKILL, NEW YORK 12524
(914) 897-5200
☐ 116 RADIO CIRCLE DRIVE
MT. KISCO, NEW YORK 10549
(914) 241-6340

February 7, 2001

Chairman Richard A. Meserve
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555


Dear Chairman Meserve:

It has come to my attention that a consultant hired by Consolidated Edison to assist in inspecting the Indian Point 2 nuclear power facility has resigned his post because of his serious concerns with plant officials' assessment of the reactor protection system.

A document released by Con Ed indicates that "a consulting engineer at the plant tendered a resignation letter because of a differing professional opinion regarding the reactor protection system." Though Con Ed maintains that the problems identified by the consultant pose no threat to the plant's operations, I respectfully request that the NRC fully investigate the circumstances surrounding this difference in opinion to determine if in fact a serious operational problem exists.

Your immediate attention to this matter is appreciated.

Sincerely,


Sue W. Kelly
Member of Congress