

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
CHAPTER 1.0 - USE AND APPLICATION

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	1.1	1.0, 4.1.1.c
A.2	The definitions of E-AVERAGE DISINTEGRATION ENERGY, FRACTION OF RATED THERMAL POWER, GASEOUS RADWASTE TREATMENT SYSTEM, LIMITING CONTROL ROD PATTERN, MEMBER(S) OF THE PUBLIC, PHYSICS TESTS, PURGE-PURGING, REPORTABLE EVENT, ROD DENSITY, SITE BOUNDARY, SOURCE CHECK, VENTILATION EXHAUST TREATMENT SYSTEM, and VENTING are deleted since specific Specifications referring to them no longer contain their use, or no longer are retained in the LaSalle 1 and 2 ITS.	N/A	1.11, 1.15, 1.17, 1.21, 1.25, 1.30, 1.34, 1.37, 1.38, 1.41, 1.42, 1.47, 1.48
A.3	Revises the wording for the definitions of CHANNEL CALIBRATION, CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST and LOGIC SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL TEST to more accurately reflect the intent for OPERABILITY of a channel; i.e., not all channels will have a "required" sensor, alarm, or channel failure trip function, and conversely, some channels may have a "required" display or interlock function. Also, combining the separate definition/requirement for analog and bistable channels, and the phrase "or actual," in reference to the injected signal for the CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST, has been added as an explicit option to the currently required simulated signal.	1.1 CHANNEL CALIBRATION, CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST and LOGIC SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL TEST definitions	1.4, 1.6, 1.23
A.4	Not used.	N/A	N/A
A.5	Incorporates the current definition of CRITICAL POWER RATIO into the proposed definition of MINIMUM CRITICAL POWER RATIO.	1.1 MINIMUM CRITICAL POWER RATIO	1.9
A.6	Modifies the definition of EOC-RPT RESPONSE TIME to include arc suppression time, consistent with CTS 4.3.4.2.3.	1.1 EOC-RPT RESPONSE TIME	1.13
A.7	Deletes the definition of FREQUENCY NOTATION since the abbreviations in Table 1.1 are no longer used; SR Frequencies in the LaSalle 1 and 2 ITS are directly specified.	N/A	1.16, Table 1.1

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
CHAPTER 1.0 - USE AND APPLICATION

A.8	Combines the current definitions for IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE, PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE, and UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE into one proposed defined term: LEAKAGE.	1.1 LEAKAGE definition	1.18, 1.31, 1.46
A.9	Provides clarifications: 1) as specified in the second portion of the current definition of IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE (proposed LEAKAGE definition), the intended leakage is that which occurs into the drywell space (i.e., containment atmosphere); and 2) the "collection systems" specified in the first portion of the definitions are intended to be those for collection of leakages into the drywell space.	1.1 LEAKAGE definition	1.18
A.10	Modifies the ISOLATION SYSTEM RESPONSE TIME definition to not include diesel generator starting and loading times, since they are redundant to the diesel generator Surveillance Requirements in CTS 3.8.1.1	1.1 ISOLATION SYSTEM RESPONSE TIME definition	1.19
A.11	Modifies the definition of LOGIC SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL TEST (LSFT) to exclude the actuated device; the actuated device is to be tested as part of a system functional test.	1.1 LOGIC SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL TEST	1.23
A.12	Moves the definition of OFFSITE DOSE CALCULATION MANUAL to ITS 5.5.1.	5.5.1	1.27
A.13	Modifies the definition of OPERABILITY to only require a normal (offsite) or emergency (onsite) power source. Currently, when one source is not available, the definition of OPERABILITY alone requires the supported features to be declared inoperable. However, CTS LCO 3.0.5 allows the features to be considered OPERABLE provided at least one source of power is still available and their redundant features are OPERABLE. CTS LCO 3.0.5 requirements are incorporated into ITS LCO 3.8.1 ACTIONS for when a diesel or offsite power source is inoperable. Also, "specified function" is changed to "specified safety function(s)."	1.1 OPERABLE-OPERABILITY definition	1.28
A.14	Replaces OPERATIONAL CONDITION-CONDITION with the ITS definition of MODE. Clarifying statements are added to indicate that defined MODES in ITS Table 1.1-1 apply only when fuel is in the reactor vessel and that reactor vessel head closure bolt tensioning is a parameter.	1.1 MODE definition	1.29

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
CHAPTER 1.0 - USE AND APPLICATION

A.15	Deletes the definitions of PRIMARY CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY and SECONDARY CONTAINMENT; all the requirements are specifically addressed in the LCOs for the Primary Containment and Secondary Containment, along with the remainder of the LCOs in the Containment Systems Section.	N/A	1.32, 1.39
A.16	Moves the definition of PROCESS CONTROL PROGRAM to the Administrative Controls Chapter (Chapter 5.0).	5.0	1.33
A.17	Modifies the definition of SHUTDOWN MARGIN to address stuck control rods, consistent with the LaSalle 1 and 2 CTS requirement found in CTS 4.1.1.c to account for the worth of a stuck control rod.	1.1 SHUTDOWN MARGIN definition	1.40, 4.1.1.c
A.18	Modifies the definition of STAGGERED TEST BASIS, allowing the minimum Surveillance interval to be specified in the Surveillance Requirements' Frequency column of the applicable LCOs, independent of the number of subsystems.	1.1 STAGGERED TEST BASIS definition	1.43
A.19	The intent of applying the MODE definition only when fuel is in the vessel, as specified in CTS Table 1.2, footnote *, has been moved to the definition of MODE. In addition, since the vessel head can only be removed if the head closure bolts are less than fully tensioned, there is no purpose in including "or with the head removed."	1.1 MODE definition	Table 1.2 footnote *
A.20	Moves CTS Table 1.2, footnotes #, ##, and *** to LCO requirements in the Special Operations Section.	3.10.1, 3.10.2, 3.10.3	Table 1.2 footnotes #, ##, ***
A.21	Deletes CTS Table 1.2, footnote **, which references Special Test Exception 3.10.3.	N/A	Table 1.2 footnote **
A.22	Adds Sections 1.2, Logical Connector, 1.3, Completion Times, and 1.4 Frequency, to the Technical Specifications to aid in the understanding and use of the new format and presentation style, and to establish positions not previously formalized.	1.2, 1.3, 1.4	N/A

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
CHAPTER 1.0 - USE AND APPLICATION

A.23	The definitions of ECCS RESPONSE TIME , ISOLATION SYSTEM RESPONSE TIME, and RPS RESPONSE TIME have been modified with an allowance to not measure the response times of certain components, provided that the components and methods for verification have been prveiously reviewed and approved by the NRC.	1.1 CHANNEL CALIBRATION, CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST and LOGIC SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL TEST definitions	Table 3.3.1-2 footnote ##, Table 3.3.3-3 footnote #, Table 3.3.2-3 footnote ##
A.24	For CTS Table 3.3.1-1 Functional Unit 9 and CTS Table 3.3.4.2-1 Trip Function 1, Turbine Stop Valve – Closure, the response time of the limit switch is not measured since it is not practical. A test switch in parallel with the limit switch is used to simulate the limit switch function, and the response time downstream of the test switch is measured. Therefore, the definitions of RPS RESPONSE TIME and EOC-RPT RESPONSE TIME have been modified to not require a measurement to be performed for these components.	1.1 ECCS RESPONSE TIME and EOC-RPT RESPONSE TIME definitions.	Table 3.3.1-1 Functional Unit 9 and Table 3.3.4.2-1 Trip Function 1

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
CHAPTER 2.0 - SAFETY LIMITS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised wording.	2.0	2.0
A.2	Moves requirements for the Limiting Safety System Settings to ITS Section 3.3.	3.3	2.2
A.3	Deletes the details contained in the Actions of CTS 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.1.3, and 2.1.4 to comply with the requirements of Specification 6.4, since the ITS format does not include providing cross references. In addition, the reference to Specification 6.4 has been deleted since Specification 6.4 has been deleted from the Technical Specifications.	N/A	2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.1.3, 2.1.4

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.0 - LCO AND SR APPLICABILITY

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.0	3.0, 4.0
A.2	Renumber the CTS 3.0 series to LCO 3.0.X and the CTS 4.0 series to SR 3.0.X.	3.0	3.0, 4.0
A.3	1) Replaces the phrase "Compliance with...is required" with the phrase "LCOs shall be met;" 2) Changes "OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS" to "MODES;" 3) Changes "conditions specified therein" to "specified conditions in the Applicability;" and 4) Changes the phrase "that upon failure to meet the Limiting Conditions for Operation, the associated ACTION requirements shall be met, except as provided in Specification 3.0.6" to "as provided in LCO 3.0.2 and LCO 3.0.7." (LCO 3.0.2 addresses the requirement of meeting the associated ACTIONS when not meeting a Limiting Condition for Operation. LCO 3.0.7 addresses another situation when an LCO requirement is allowed not to be met.)	LCO 3.0.1	3.0.1
A.4	1) Replaces the lead-in sentence "Noncompliance with a Specification shall exist when..." with "Upon discovery of a failure to meet an LCO..."; 2) Changes the phrase "restored" to "met or is no longer applicable;" 3) Changes "time intervals" to "Completion Time(s);" 4) Changes "ACTION requirements" to "Required Action(s);" 5) Adds exception to LCO 3.0.6 due to its inclusion in the LaSalle 1 and 2 ITS; and 6) Adds the phrase "unless otherwise stated" consistent with current LaSalle 1 and 2 TS exceptions found in a few LCOs to avoid potential misapplication of those requirements.	LCO 3.0.2	3.0.2

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.0 - LCO AND SR APPLICABILITY

A.5	1) Replaces the phrase "except as provided in the associated ACTION requirements" with "and the associated ACTIONS are not met, an associated ACTION is not provided, or if directed by the associated ACTIONS" to cover all potential possibilities that require entry into LCO 3.0.3; 2) Changes "OPERATIONAL CONDITION" to "MODE or other specified condition;" 3) Revises the times to reach each MODE to include the 1 hour allowed by CTS 3.0.3 for initiating the shutdown. Also, the time represents the total time allowed from the entry into LCO 3.0.3, replacing the current presentation where each time is referenced as "the next," or "the following," or "the subsequent;" 4) Changes the phrase "under the ACTION requirements...failure to meet the Limiting Condition for Operation" to "in accordance with the LCO or ACTIONS, completion of the actions required by LCO 3.0.3 is not required," to specifically state that LCO 3.0.3 actions do not have to be completed; and 5) Changes "This specification is not applicable in OPERATIONAL CONDITION 4 or 5" to "LCO 3.0.3 is only applicable in MODES 1, 2, and 3."	LCO 3.0.3	3.0.3
A.6	1) Changes the phrase "Entry into an OPERATIONAL CONDITION or other specified CONDITION" to "When an LCO is not met, entry into a MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability..."; 2) Rewords "This provision shall not prevent passage through or to OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS as required to comply with ACTION requirements" to "This Specification shall not prevent changes in MODES or other specified conditions in the Applicability that are required to comply with ACTIONS or that are part of a shutdown of the unit;" 3) Condenses the phrase "when the conditions for the Limiting Conditions for Operations are not met and the associated ACTION requires a shutdown if they are not met within a specified time interval. Entry into an OPERATIONAL CONDITION may be made in accordance with the ACTION requirements when conformance to them permits continued operation of the facility for an unlimited period of time" to "except when the associated ACTIONS to be entered permit continued operation in the MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability for an unlimited period of time;" 4) Changes "Exceptions to these requirements are stated in the individual Specifications" to "Exceptions to this Specification are stated in the individual Specifications;" and 5) Adds the sentence "LCO 3.0.4 is only applicable for entry into a MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability in MODES 1, 2, and 3."	LCO 3.0.4	3.0.4
A.7	Moves the technical content of CTS 3.0.5 to ITS 3.8.1.	3.8.1	3.0.5

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.0 - LCO AND SR APPLICABILITY

A.8	ITS LCO 3.0.6 is added to provide guidance regarding the appropriate ACTIONS to be taken when a single inoperability (a support system) also results in the inoperability of one or more related systems (supported system(s)).	LCO 3.0.6	N/A
A.9	ITS LCO 3.0.7 is added to provide guidance regarding the meeting of Special Operations LCOs in Section 3.10.	LCO 3.0.7	N/A
A.10	ITS SR 3.0.1 is constructed to more completely present the relationship between Surveillance Requirements and meeting the requirements of the LCO. The second sentence of ITS SR 3.0.1, "Failure to meet a Surveillance, whether such failure is experienced during the performance of the Surveillance or between performances of the Surveillance, shall be failure to meet the LCO," is proposed to clarify existing intent that is not explicitly stated. The concept (editorially rewritten) found in the first sentence of CTS 4.0.3, has been moved to the third sentence of ITS SR 3.0.1; "Failure to perform a Surveillance within the specified Frequency shall be failure to meet the LCO, except as provided in SR 3.0.3." The sentence "Surveillance Requirements do not have to be performed on inoperable equipment" is moved from the last sentence of CTS 4.0.3, to ITS SR 3.0.1. Since all LCOs do not deal exclusively with equipment OPERABILITY, a clarifying phrase is also added: "or variables outside specified limits."	SR 3.0.1	4.0.1, 4.0.3
A.11	"The specified Frequency for each Surveillance Requirement is met if the Surveillance is performed within 1.25 times the interval specified in the Frequency, as measured from the previous performance or as measured from the time a specified condition of the Frequency is met," was added to clearly establish what constituted meeting the specified Frequency of each Surveillance Requirement. Also, the sentence "Exceptions to this Specification are stated in the individual Specifications" is added to acknowledge the explicit use of exceptions in various Surveillances.	SR 3.0.2	4.0.2
A.12	1) Changes "Entry into an OPERATIONAL CONDITION or other specified applicable CONDITION" to "Entry into a MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability of an LCO."; 2) Rewords "...passage through or to OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS as required to comply with ACTION requirements" to "entry into MODES or other specified conditions in the Applicability that are required to comply with ACTIONS or that are part of a shutdown of the unit"; and 3) Adds the sentence "SR 3.0.4 is only applicable for entry into a MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability in MODES 1, 2, and 3."	SR 3.0.4	4.0.4
A.13	Moves the technical content of CTS 4.0.5 to ITS 5.5.7.	5.5.7	4.0.5

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.0 - LCO AND SR APPLICABILITY

A.14	ITS LCO 3.0.8 and ITS SR 3.0.5 have been added to reflect the use of the LCOs and SRs for dual unit sites.	LCO 3.0.8, SR 3.0.5	N/A

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.1 - REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
3.1.1, SHUTDOWN MARGIN			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.1.1	3/4.1.1
A.2	Changes the passive CTS 3.1.1 Action b words of "verify...inserted," to the active ITS 3.1.1 Required Actions C.1 and D.1 "Initiate action to insert..."	3.1.1 Required Actions C.1 and D.1	3.1.1 Action b
A.3	Deletes redundant actions of CTS 3.1.1 Actions b and c, which require suspension of activities that could reduce the SDM, when the SDM is not within limits in MODES 3, 4, or 5. In MODES 3 and 4, the vessel head is bolted in place, and the only activity that can significantly reduce SHUTDOWN MARGIN (SDM) is control rod withdrawal, for which a Required Action that ensures control rods remain inserted is provided. In MODE 5, the only activities that can affect SDM are CORE ALTERATIONS and control rod withdrawal, for which Required Actions are provided to suspend CORE ALTERATIONS and ensure control rods remain inserted.	N/A	3.1.1 Actions b and c
A.4	Enhances presentation by requiring actions to be immediately initiated to restore secondary containment boundary (completing the actions as soon as possible) in lieu of current requirement to establish within 8 hours (initiating the actions as soon as possible).	3.1.1 Required Actions D.2, D.3, D.4, E.3, E.4, and E.5	3.1.1 Actions b and c
A.5	Replaces the use of the defined term SECONDARY CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY with the essential elements of that definition.	3.1.1 Required Actions D.2, D.3, D.4, E.3, E.4, and E.5	3.1.1 Actions b and c
A.6	Not used.	N/A	N/A
A.7	Enhances presentation by requiring actions to be immediately initiated to insert all required control rods (completing the actions as soon as possible) in lieu of current requirement to insert the required control rods in 8 hours (initiating the actions as soon as possible).	3.1.1 Required Action E.2	3.1.1 Action c

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.1 - REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
A.8	A specific completion time for the SDM test is proposed to clarify <u>when</u> "prior to or during the first startup" applies. Most SDM tests are performed as an in-sequence critical and, therefore, 4 hours after reaching criticality is provided in ITS SR 3.1.1.1 as a reasonable time to perform the required calculations and have appropriate verification completed.	SR 3.1.1.1	4.1.1.a
A.9	Replaces the activity referred to as "refueling" with "fuel movement within the reactor pressure vessel or control rod replacement," since the intent of the Surveillance Requirement is to perform the SDM test after in-vessel activities which could have altered SDM.	SR 3.1.1.1	4.1.1.a
A.10	Moves the CTS 4.1.1.c requirement to perform an SDM test after finding a stuck control rod to ITS 3.1.3.	3.1.3	4.1.1.c
3.1.2, Reactivity Anomalies			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.1.2	3/4.1.2
A.2	Changes "reactivity equivalence of the difference" to "reactivity difference."	LCO 3.1.2, SR 3.1.2.1	3.1.2, 4.1.2
A.3	Adds a specific time for completing the reactivity anomaly surveillance to clarify <u>when</u> "during the first startup" the test must be performed. This test is performed by comparing the difference between the actual critical control rod configuration to the predicted critical control rod configuration as a function of cycle exposure while at steady state reactor power conditions. Therefore, "24 hours after reaching these conditions" is provided as a reasonable time to perform the required calculations and complete the appropriate verification, meeting the intent of the CTS.	SR 3.1.2.1	4.1.2.a
3.1.3, Control Rod OPERABILITY			

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.1 - REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.1.3	3/4.1.3.1, 4.1.1.c, 3/4.1.3.2, 3/4.1.3.6, 3/4.1.3.7
A.2	Reorganized the Control Rod OPERABILITY Specification to include all conditions that can affect the ability of the control rods to provide the necessary reactivity insertion.	3.1.3	3.1.3.1
A.3	Adds a Note, "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each control rod," which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.1.3 ACTIONS Note	3.1.3.1 Actions
A.4	Adds a Note that allows for bypassing the RWM, if needed for continued operations. This note is informative in that the RWM may be bypassed at any time, provided the proper ACTIONS of CTS 3.1.4.1 (ITS 3.3.2.1), the RWM Specification, are taken.	3.1.3 Required Actions A.1 and C.1	N/A
A.5	Replaces "being immovable, as a result of excessive friction or mechanical interference, or known to be untrippable" with the term "stuck," since details of potential mechanisms by which control rods may be stuck are not necessary for inclusion within the Condition.	3.1.3 Condition A	3.1.3.1 Action a, 4.1.1.c
A.6	Numerous footnotes, which permit the directional control valves to be rearmed intermittently, have been deleted since ITS LCO 3.0.5 provides this allowance.	LCO 3.0.5	3.1.3.1 Actions a.1.b), b.1.b), and b.2.a) footnote *, 3.1.3.6 Action a.1.b) footnote **, 3.1.3.7 Action a.3.b) footnote **
A.7	Moves the SDV vent and drain valves requirements to ITS 3.1.8.	3.1.8	3.1.3.1 Actions d and e, 4.1.3.1.1, 4.1.3.1.4

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.1 - REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
A.8	Deletes redundant phrase exempting SR on inoperable control rods since inoperable control rods are already not required to meet this Surveillance (per CTS 4.0.3).	SR 3.0.1	4.1.3.1.2
A.9	Surveillance that "cross-references" other Surveillances is deleted since the listed Surveillances are required by other Specifications.	N/A	4.1.3.1.3
A.10	Moves the SDM allowance to the definition of SDM.	1.1 SHUTDOWN MARGIN definition	4.1.1.c
A.11	Presents the requirement that maximum control rod scram insertion time be ≤ 7 seconds in SR 3.1.3.4, making it a requirement for control rods to be considered OPERABLE, in lieu of an individual Specification.	SR 3.1.4.1	LCO 3.1.3.2
A.12	Deletes the definition of time zero since it is duplicative of the definition of time zero in other CTS and maintained in footnote (a) to ITS Table 3.1.4-1.	Table 3.1.4-1 footnote (a)	LCO 3.1.3.2
A.13	Adds new SR to require SRs in ITS 3.1.4 to be performed, since CTS 4.1.3.2, which provides the scram time testing requirements, is addressed in ITS 3.1.4.	SR 3.1.3.4	4.1.3.2
A.14	Presents the requirement that control rods be coupled to their drive mechanism in SR 3.1.3.5, making it a requirement for control rods to be considered OPERABLE, in lieu of an individual Specification.	SR 3.1.3.5	LCO 3.1.3.6
A.15	Deletes CTS 3.1.3.6 Action a.1.a), which specifies the method of restoring coupling integrity to an uncoupled control rod. ITS does not explicitly detail options to "restore...to OPERABLE." This action is always an option, and is implied in the ITS ACTIONS.	LCO 3.0.2	3.1.3.6 Action a.1.a)
A.16	CTS 4.1.3.6.a, "CORE ALTERATIONS that could have affected the control rod drive coupling integrity" is a subset of CTS 4.1.3.6.c, which is incorporated in ITS SR 3.1.3.5 (performance of the integrity verification prior to control rod OPERABILITY).	SR 3.1.3.5	4.1.3.6.a
A.17	The separate Specification for control rod position is captured by the requirement that each control rod have at least one control rod position indication in SR 3.1.3.1.	SR 3.1.3.1	LCO 3.1.3.7

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.1 - REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
A.18	Moves the requirements for control rod position indication during MODE 5 (refueling) to ITS 3.9.4.	3.9.4	3/4.1.3.7
A.19	Covers the requirements of CTS 3.1.3.7 Action a.3.(a)2) by the Note to ITS 3.1.3 Required Action C.1, which states, in part, that RWM may be bypassed as allowed by ITS LCO 3.3.2.1. LCO 3.3.2.1 provides the requirements of CTS 3.1.3.7 Action a.3.(a)2).	3.1.3 Required Action C.1 Note	3.1.3.7 Action a.3.(a)2)
3.1.4, Control Rod Scram Times			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.1.4	4.1.3.2, 3/4.1.3.3, 3/4.1.3.4
3.1.5, Control Rod Scram Accumulators			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.1.5	3/4.1.3.5
A.2	Moves the control rod scram accumulator OPERABILITY MODE 5 requirements to ITS 3.9.5 and ITS 3.10.7.	3.9.5, 3.10.7	3/4.1.3.5
A.3	Adds ITS Note, "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each control rod scram accumulator," which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.1.5 ACTIONS Note	3.1.3.5
A.4	The revised presentation of CTS 3.1.3.5 Action a.1.a)1) does not explicitly detail options to "restore...to OPERABLE status," since this action is always an option, and is implied in all Actions.	LCO 3.0.2	3.1.3.5 Action a.1.a)1)
A.5	Deletes the "default" action "Otherwise, be in at least HOT SHUTDOWN within the next 12 hours" as there are no circumstances which preclude the possibility of compliance with an ACTION to "Declare the control rod...inoperable."	N/A	3.1.3.5 Action a.1.b)

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.1 - REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
A.6	The method for verifying that a control rod drive pump is operating has been changed from inserting one control rod one notch by drive water pressure within the normal operating range to verifying that charging water header pressure is at least 940 psig. The proposed method for determining charging water header pressure provides added assurance that the charging water pressure is sufficient to insert all control rods, whereas the existing method only assures that one rod can be inserted.	3.1.5 ACTIONS B and C	3.1.3.5 Action a. 2.a)
A.7	CTS 3.1.3.5 Action a.2.b) is redundant to the Actions of CTS 3.1.3.1 (ITS 3.1.3), and has therefore been deleted.	3.1.3 ACTIONS	3.1.3.5 Action a.2.b)
A.8	Deletes the conditions which specify when the accumulator Surveillance does not have to be performed (i.e., when the associated control rod is inserted and disarmed or scrammed), since ITS LCO 3.0.1 provides the allowance.	LCO 3.0.1	4.1.3.5.a
3.1.6, Rod Pattern Control			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
3.1.7, Standby Liquid Control System			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.1.7	3/4.1.5
A.2	Clarifies, for the requirement that the indicated temperature be greater than or equal to 60 degrees F, that the temperature is "the suction piping up to the tank outlet valve."	SR 3.1.7.3	4.1.5.a.2
A.3	Adds "or can be aligned to the correct position" in SR 3.1.7.6 to clarify that it is permissible for the SLC systems' valves to be in the non-accident position and still be considered OPERABLE.	SR 3.1.7.6	4.1.5.b.4
A.4	Rewords the SR that verifies the heat traced piping is unblocked to identify the extent of the system heat traced piping.	SR 3.1.7.9	4.1.5.c.4

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.1 - REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
A.5	Changes the Frequency of verification that the heat traced piping is unblocked such that the Surveillance is required if the piping temperature drops below the lower limit rather than whenever the heat tracing circuit has been found to be inoperable, making the SR apply when meaningful.	SR 3.1.7.9	4.1.5.c.4 footnote **
A.6	Deletes the CTS allowance to perform the test by any series of sequential, overlapping or total flow path steps such that the entire flow path is included, since the test can only be performed in one step; by pumping from the storage tank to the test tank.	N/A	4.1.5.c.4 footnote **
A.7	Changed the term "motor operated suction valve" to "storage tank outlet valve," consistent with current plant terminology.	SR 3.1.7.9	4.1.5.c.4
3.1.8, SDV Vent and Drain Valves			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.1.8	3/4.1.3.1
A.2	Adds an LCO and Applicability statement for the scram discharge volume (SDV) vent and drain valve requirements, explicitly stating existing OPERABILITY requirements.	LCO 3.1.8	3.1.3.1
A.3	Clarifies that the signal used for performing CTS 4.1.3.1.4.a and 4.1.3.1.4.b can be an "actual or simulated" signal.	SR 3.1.8.3	N/A
Current Specification 3/4.1.3.8, Control Rod Drive Housing Support			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Current Specification 3/4.1.6, Economic Generation Control System			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.2 - POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
3.2.1, AVERAGE PLANAR LINEAR HEAT GENERATION RATE			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised renumbering.	3.2.1	3/4.2.1
A.2	Deletes "OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1" from the Applicability of "OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1, when THERMAL POWER is greater than or equal to 25% of RATED THERMAL POWER," since with THERMAL POWER \geq 25% RTP, the unit will always be in MODE 1.	N/A	3.2.1
3.2.2, MINIMUM CRITICAL POWER RATIO			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised renumbering.	3.2.2	3/4.2.3
A.2	Deletes "OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1" from the Applicability of "OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1, when THERMAL POWER is greater than or equal to 25% of RATED THERMAL POWER," since with THERMAL POWER \geq 25% RTP, the unit will always be in MODE 1.	N/A	3.2.3
3.2.3, LINEAR HEAT GENERATION RATE			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised renumbering.	3.2.3	3/4.2.4
A.2	Deletes "OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1" from the Applicability of "OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1, when THERMAL POWER is greater than or equal to 25% of RATED THERMAL POWER," since with THERMAL POWER \geq 25% RTP, the unit will always be in MODE 1.	N/A	3.2.4

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.3 - INSTRUMENTATION

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
3.3.1.1, RPS Instrumentation			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.3.1.1	3/4.3.1, 2.2
A.2	Adds ITS ACTIONS Note "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each channel" and revises the wording for CTS Action a and CTS Action b ("One or more required channels" and "One or more Functions"), which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.3.1.1 ACTIONS Note 1, 3.3.1.1 ACTIONS A, B, and C	3.3.1 Actions
A.3	The response time for some of the RPS Functions are not assumed in any accident analysis, thus their response time is listed as "N/A" (i.e., not applicable) in CTS Table 3.3.1-2. Therefore, the response time tests for these Functions have been deleted by not including the response time SR to Functions that have N/A notes in the Table. In addition, CTS Table 3.3.1-2 footnote ## provides an allowance to exclude the sensor for the Reactor Vessel Steam Dome Pressure – High and Reactor Vessel Water Level – Low, Level 3 Functions for the RPS Response Time tests. The revised response time definition includes the allowance to exclude the sensor, thus the ITS surveillance is not changed to include the footnote allowance.	SR 3.3.1.1.17 Table 3.3.1-2 footnote ##	4.3.1.3
A.4	CTS Table 3.3.1-1 Note (d) states that the Reactor Vessel Steam Dome Pressure—High Function (Functional Unit 3) is not required to be OPERABLE in MODE 2 when the reactor vessel head is removed per CTS 3.10.1. CTS Table 3.3.1-1 Note (f) states that the Primary Containment Pressure—High Function (Functional Unit 7) is not required to be OPERABLE in MODE 2 when PRIMARY CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY is not required in MODE 2 (i.e., when Special Test Exception 3.10.1 is being used). These notes are deleted from CTS Table 3.3.1-1 since the only applicable condition in which these notes would be needed has been deleted.	N/A	Table 3.3.1-1 Notes (d) and (f)
A.5	All MSIV channels are required to be OPERABLE to assure a scram with the worst case single failure. In the ITS, each MSIV contact is viewed as a separate channel (a total of 16 channels). Therefore, the minimum number of channels per trip system is more appropriately specified as "8" in Function 5 of ITS Table 3.3.1.1-1. In addition, the reactor mode switch (CTS Table 3.3.1-1, Functional Unit 11) input to all four logic strings of the RPS trip logic. All four channels of this Function are required to be OPERABLE to assure a manual scram with the worst single failure. Therefore, the minimum channels per trip system is more appropriately specified as "2" in ITS Table 3.3.1.1-1 Function 10.	Table 3.3.1.1-1 Functions 5 and 10	Table 3.3.1-1 Functional Units 5 and 11

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.3 - INSTRUMENTATION

A.6	The Scram Discharge Volume Water Level—High Function (CTS Table 3.3.1-1, Functional Unit 8) has two separate inputs to the RPS logic; a level switch and a transmitter/trip unit. Each of these input into all four logic strings of the RPS trip logic. All four channels of each type are required to be OPERABLE to ensure diversity. Therefore, the Function has been divided into two separate types, each with two channels per trip system.	Table 3.3.1.1-1 Functions 7.a and 7.b	Table 3.3.1-1 Functional Unit 8
A.7	Clarifies the Applicability of ITS 3.3.1.1 Functions 7.a and 7.b, which requires the Functions to be OPERABLE in MODE 5 only with any control rod withdrawn from a core cell containing one or more fuel assemblies, by removing the cross references to the Special Operations LCOs.	Table 3.3.1.1-1 Functions 7.a and 7.b	Table 3.3.1-1 Note (h)
A.8	Not used.		
A.9	Removes the CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST Surveillance Frequency of "S/U" and Note (c) of CTS Table 4.3.1.1-1 for Functional Units 1.a and 2.a "within 24 hours before startup, if not performed within the previous 7 days." These notations are redundant to the requirements of proposed SR 3.0.4, which requires the periodic weekly Surveillances to be performed and current prior to entry into the applicable operational conditions.	LCO 3.0.4	Table 4.3.1.1-1 Functional Units 1.a and 2.a Frequency and Note (c)
A.10	The CTS Table 4.3.1.1-1, Functional Unit 2.b requirement to perform a daily CHANNEL CHECK on the APRM Flow Biased Simulated Thermal Power—Upscale Function has been deleted, since it provides information redundant to other Surveillance Requirements (i.e., CTS 4.4.1.2.1 and 4.4.1.2.2) and the 12 hour channel check is retained.	N/A	Table 4.3.1.1-1 Functional Unit 2.b Frequency and Note (g)
A.11	The CTS Limiting Safety System Settings (Setpoints) Table 2.2.1-1 has been combined with the current RPS Technical Specification (CTS 3/4.3.1). The information in CTS Table 2.2.1-1 is located in ITS Table 3.3.1.1-1.	3.3.1.1, Table 3.3.1.1-1	Table 2.2.1-1, 3/4.3.1
A.12	CTS Table 2.2.1-1 footnote *, referencing Bases Figure B 3/4.3-1, has been deleted since the figure provides design information showing the relative locations of reactor vessel water level instruments. This information is already essentially contained in the Allowable Value column of this Table.	N/A	Table 2.2.1-1 footnote *
A.13	The simulated thermal power time constant associated with the APRM Flow Biased Simulated Thermal Power—Upscale Function, identified in CTS Table 4.3.1.1-1, Note (g) as 6 ± 1 seconds, has been changed to ≤ 7 seconds, since the hardware design prevents setting this constant below 5 seconds.	SR 3.3.1.1.14	Table 4.3.1.1-1 Note (g)

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.3 - INSTRUMENTATION

A.14	CTS requirements for the Turbine Stop Valve – Closure and the Turbine Control Valve Fast Closure, Valve Trip System Oil Pressure – Low to be operable in Mode 1 are only required in ITS to be operable when Thermal Power is \geq 25% rated thermal power. Automatic bypasses disable this trip at $<$ 25% RTP.	Table 3.3.1.1-1 Functions 8 and 9	Table 3.3.1-1 and Table 4.3.1.1-1 Functional Units 8 and 9
3.3.1.2, SRM Instrumentation			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.3.1.2	3/4.3.7.6, 3/4.9.2
A.2	CTS requirements to "verify all insertable control rods...inserted," are replaced in ITS 3.3.1.2 Required Action D.1 with an equivalent but more definitive requirement to "Fully insert...."	3.3.1.2 Required Action D.1	3.3.7.6 Action b
A.3	Adds a Note to the Surveillance Requirements to provide direction for proper application of the Surveillance Requirements for Technical Specification compliance.	3.3.1.2 Surveillance Requirements Note	N/A
A.4	Adds to the CTS 3.9.2 Action the phrase, "except for control rod insertion." CTS and ITS definition of a CORE ALTERATION includes control rod insertion and to comply with the CTS action to suspend CORE ALTERATIONS means to stop any <u>additional</u> CORE ALTERATIONS but not control rod insertion.	3.3.1.2 ACTION E	3.9.2 Action
A.5	Deletes the footnote that states the normal or emergency power source may be inoperable since it duplicative of the ITS definition of OPERABILITY.	1.1 OPERABLE-OPERABILITY definition	3.9.2 footnote #
3.3.2.1, Control Rod Block Instrumentation			

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.3 - INSTRUMENTATION

A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.3.2.1	3/4.3.6, 3/4.1.4.1, 3/4.1.4.3
A.2	The reference to "OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1, when THERMAL POWER is greater than or equal to 30% of RATED THERMAL POWER" is not used in the ITS. In both the CTS and ITS with THERMAL POWER \geq 30% RTP, the unit will always be in MODE 1 (Operational Condition 1). In addition, CTS Tables 3.3.6-1 and 4.3.6-1 footnote * and LCO 3.1.4.3 (ITS Table 3.3.2.1-1 Note (a)) have been modified to not require the RBM to be Operable when a peripheral control rod is selected, since this Note explains the RBM design feature which includes an automatic bypass when a peripheral rod is selected.	Table 3.3.2.1-1 Functions 1.a, 1.b, and 1.c, and Note (a)	Tables 3.3.6-1 and 4.3.6-1 Trip Functions 1.a, 1.b, and 1.c, including footnote *, 3.1.4.3
A.3	Deletes the allowance in CTS 3.1.4.1 Action c, which states that the provisions of Specification 3.0.4 are not applicable, since ITS LCO 3.0.4 provides this allowance.	N/A	3.1.4.1 Action c
3.3.2.2, Feedwater System and Main Turbine High Water Level Trip Instrumentation			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.3.2.2	3/4.3.8
A.2	Adds ITS ACTIONS Note "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each channel," which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.3.2.2 ACTIONS Note	3.3.8 Actions
A.3	The revised presentation of CTS 3.3.8 Action b.2 does not explicitly detail options to "restore...to OPERABLE status," since this action is always an option, and is implied in all Actions.	N/A	3.3.8 Action b.2
A.4	Since no separate system functional test is specified, the operation of the breaker and valves is specifically identified and included with the LOGIC SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL TEST of ITS SR 3.3.2.2.4. Therefore, the term "simulated automatic operation" is not needed and has been deleted.	SR 3.3.2.2.4	4.3.8.2
A.5	CTS Table 3.3.8-2 footnote *, referencing Bases Figure B 3/4.3-1, has been deleted since the figure provides design information showing the relative locations of reactor vessel water level instruments. This information is already essentially contained in the Allowable Value column of this Table.	N/A	3.3.8-2 footnote *

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.3 - INSTRUMENTATION

3.3.3.1, Post Accident Monitoring Instrumentation			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.3.3.1	3/4.3.7.5, LCO 3.4.2, 3.4.2 Action b, 4.4.2.1 including footnote **
A.2	Adds ITS ACTIONS Note "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each Function," which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.3.3.1 ACTIONS Note 2	3.3.7.5 Actions
A.3	Moves the details concerning the technical content of the Special Report specified in CTS 3.3.7.5 Action 81.2) to ITS 5.6.	5.6	3.3.7.5 Action 81.2)
A.4	Not used.	N/A	N/A
A.5	Deletes the MINIMUM CHANNELS OPERABLE column of CTS Table 3.3.7.5.-1, since the ITS provides explicit Conditions for the number of inoperable channels.	N/A	Table 3.3.7.5-1
3.3.3.2, Remote Shutdown Monitoring System			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.3.3.2	3/4.3.7.4
A.2	Adds ITS ACTIONS Note "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each Function," which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.3.3.2 ACTIONS Note 2	3.3.7.4 Actions
3.3.4.1, EOC-RPT Instrumentation			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.3.4.1	3/4.3.4.2, 3.2.3, 3.2.3 Action b

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.3 - INSTRUMENTATION

A.2	Adds an additional LCO option to permit a MCPR penalty to be applied in lieu of maintaining the EOC-RPT Instrumentation Operable, consistent with the current licensing basis as indicated in CTS 3.3.4.2 Actions d and e, and CTS 3.2.3 Action a.	LCO 3.3.4.1.b	3.3.4.2 Actions d and e, 3.2.3 Action a
A.3	The reference to "OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1, when THERMAL POWER is greater than or equal to 25% of RATED THERMAL POWER" is not used in the ITS. In both the CTS and ITS with THERMAL POWER \geq 25% RTP, the unit will always be in MODE 1 (Operational Condition 1).	3.3.4.1 Applicability	3.3.4.2 Applicability, 3.2.3 Applicability
A.4	Adds ITS ACTIONS Note "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each channel," which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.3.4.1 ACTIONS Note	3.3.4.2 Actions
A.5	Since no separate system functional test is specified, the operation of the recirculation pump trip breakers is specifically identified and included with the LOGIC SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL TEST of ITS SR 3.3.4.1.3. Therefore, the term "simulated automatic operation" is not needed and has been deleted.	SR 3.3.4.1.3	4.3.4.2.2
A.6	Adds clarifying Note that states: "Breaker arc suppression time may be assumed from the most recent performance of SR 3.3.4.1.6," which is consistent with intent of the CTS.	SR 3.3.4.1.5 Note 1	N/A
3.3.4.2, ATWS-RPT Instrumentation			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.3.4.2	3/4.3.4.1
A.2	Adds ITS ACTIONS Note "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each channel," which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.3.4.2 ACTIONS Note	3.3.4.1 Actions
A.3	Provide an option to restore the channel to Operable status in lieu of tripping the channel. This option is consistent with CTS allowances.	3.3.4.2 Required Action A.1	3.3.4.1 Action b
A.4	Since no separate system functional test is specified, the operation of the recirculation pump trip breakers is specifically identified and included with the LOGIC SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL TEST of ITS SR 3.3.4.2.4. Therefore, the term "simulated automatic operation" is not needed and has been deleted.	SR 3.3.4.2.4	4.3.4.1.2

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.3 - INSTRUMENTATION

A.5	CTS Table 3.3.4.1-2 footnote *, referencing Bases Figure B 3/4.3-1, has been deleted since the figure provides design information showing the relative locations of reactor vessel water level instruments. This information is already essentially contained in the Allowable Value column of this Table.	N/A	Table 3.3.4.1-2 footnote *
3.3.5.1, ECCS Instrumentation			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.3.5.1	3/4.3.3, 4.4.2.2
A.2	The word "trip" in CTS 4.3.3.3 has been deleted for clarity because the ITS definition of ECCS Response Time includes mechanical end devices such as the pump or valve in addition to the instrumentation.	N/A	4.3.3.3
A.3	Adds ITS ACTIONS Note "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each channel," which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.3.5.1 ACTIONS Note	3.3.3 Actions
A.4	If an ADS trip system is not restored within the specified time, ITS 3.3.5.1 ACTION G requires the ADS valves to be declared inoperable and the ACTION provided in the ADS Specification (ITS 3.5.1) to be taken, in lieu of repeating the shutdown Actions in the instrumentation Specification.	3.3.5.1 ACTION G	3.3.3 Action c
A.5	The allowance contained in CTS Table 3.3.3-3 to exclude the ECCS actuation instrumentation from the ECCS Response Time Test is deleted. The revised response time definition includes the allowance to exclude the sensor, thus the ITS surveillance is not changed to include the footnote allowance.	N/A	Table 3.3.3-3 footnote #

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.3 - INSTRUMENTATION

A.6	Moves the technical content of the loss of power instrumentation requirements of CTS Tables 3.3.3-1, 3.3.3-2, and 4.3.3.1-1, Trip Functions D.1 and D.2, including CTS Table 3.3.3-1 Action 37 and footnotes (d) and **, CTS Table 3.3.3-2 footnote #, and CTS Table 4.3.3.1-1 footnote **, to ITS 3.3.8.1, "Loss of Power Instrumentation."	3.3.8.1	Table 3.3.3-1, 3.3.3-2, and 4.3.3.1-1 Trip Functions D.1 and D.2, including Table 3.3.3-1 Action 37 and footnotes (d) and **, Table 3.3.3-2 footnote #, and Table 4.3.3.1-1 footnote **
A.7	CTS Table 3.3.3-1 Actions require declaring the associated system or ADS Trip System inoperable when the time to restore the channel has expired. CTS 3.3.3 Action c provides 72 hours or 7 days to restore the ADS Trip System, depending upon whether or not both RCIC and HPCS systems are Operable. When the restoration time expires, a shutdown is required. In the ITS, the requirement to declare the associated system inoperable has been replaced with the total time to restore the channel. Thus, four CTS Actions are combined into two ITS ACTIONS.	3.3.5.1 ACTIONS E and F	Table 3.3.3-1 Actions 30, 32, and 34, 3.3.3 Action c
A.8	CTS requires, when an associated HPCS channel is inoperable, placing the trip system in the tripped condition within 24 hours. ITS requires placing the channel(s) in trip within 24 hours, since there is no manual pushbutton or switch to place only the associated trip system in trip.	3.3.5.1 Required Action B.3	3.3.3-1 Action 35
A.9	Replaces the CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST of Table 4.3.3.1-1 Trip Functions A.1.h, A.2.g, B.1.f, B.2.f, and C.1.h (the Manual Initiation Functions) with a LOGIC SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL TEST in ITS 3.3.5.1, which is a complete test of the logic, including the Manual Initiation switches and push buttons, and is performed at the same Frequency.	N/A	4.3.3.1 for Table 4.3.3.1-1 Trip Functions A.1.h, A.2.g, B.1.g, B.2.g, and C.1.h

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.3 - INSTRUMENTATION

A.10	CTS Table 3.3.3-2 footnote *, referencing Bases Figure B 3/4.3-1, has been deleted since the figure provides design information showing the relative locations of reactor vessel water level instruments. This information is already essentially contained in the Allowable Value column of this Table.	N/A	Table 3.3.3-2 footnote *
A.11	The CTS footnote containing design information about ADS trip system functions that also initiate the associated division diesel generator is not repeated in the ITS for the common LPCI/LPCS systems functions since the divisions are the same.	Table 3.3.5.1-1	CTS Table 3.3.3-1 Functions A.2.a, A.2.b, B.2.a, B.2.b
3.3.5.2, RCIC System Instrumentation			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.3.5.2	3/4.3.5
A.2	Adds ITS ACTIONS Note "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each channel," which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.3.5.2 ACTIONS Note	3.3.5 Actions
A.3	Changes the column title to be on a per Function basis in ITS Table 3.3.5.2-1 rather than the per Trip System basis in CTS Table 3.3.5-1. Thus, the number of required channels for CTS Table 3.3.5-1 Functional Unit a (Reactor Vessel Water Level—Low Low, Level 2) is changed to "4", since there are two trip systems for this Functional Unit, with two channels per trip system.	Table 3.3.5.2-1	Table 3.3.5-1
A.4	Divides CTS Table 3.3.5-1 Action 51 into two ACTIONS in the ITS, one ACTION requiring restoration within 24 hours and the other ACTION requiring the RCIC System be declared inoperable immediately. The two ITS ACTIONS are consistent with the CTS.	3.3.5.2 ACTIONS C and E	Table 3.3.5-1 Action 51
A.5	Replaces the CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST of Table 4.3.5.1-1 Functional Unit c (the Manual Initiation Function) with a LOGIC SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL TEST in ITS 3.3.5.1, which is a complete test of the logic, including the Manual Initiation switch and push button, and is performed at the same Frequency.	SR 3.3.5.2.4	4.3.5.1 for Table 4.3.5.1-1 Functional Unit c
A.6	CTS Table 3.3.5-2 footnote *, referencing Bases Figure B 3/4.3-1, has been deleted since the figure provides design information showing the relative locations of reactor vessel water level instruments. This information is already essentially contained in the Allowable Value column of this Table.	N/A	Table 3.3.5-2 footnote *

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.3 - INSTRUMENTATION

3.3.6.1, Primary Containment Isolation Instrumentation			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.3.6.1	3/4.3.2
A.2	Adds ITS ACTIONS Note "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each channel," and revises the wording for CTS Action b and CTS Action c ("One or more channels" and "One or more automatic Functions"), which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.3.6.1 ACTIONS Note and ACTIONS A and B	3.3.2 Actions
A.3	Response time testing for Primary Containment Isolation Functions, except CTS Table 3.3.2-3 Trip Functions A.1.a.3), A.1.c.2), and A.1.c.3) are deleted since they are listed as "N/A" in CTS Table 3.3.2-3.	N/A	4.3.2.3
A.4	Deletes the statement concerning the details on the frequency of performing CTS 4.3.2.3, the Isolation System Response Time test, since it is covered by the definition of STAGGERED TEST BASIS.	N/A	4.3.2.3
A.5	The list of individual CTS primary containment isolation instrumentation Functions are divided into five sections: Main Steam Line Isolation, Primary Containment Isolation, RCIC System Isolation, RWCU System Isolation, and RHR Shutdown Cooling System Isolation.	Table 3.3.6.1-1 Functions 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5	Tables 3.3.2-1, 3.3.2-2, and 4.3.2.1-1
A.6	Moves the requirements identified in CTS Tables 3.3.2-1, 3.3.2-2, 3.3.2-3, and 4.3.2.1-1 related to Secondary Containment Isolation (as described in footnotes (c), (e), **, and # to Table 3.3.2-1 and footnotes ** and # to Table 4.3.2.1-1) to ITS 3.3.6.2, "Secondary Containment Isolation Instrumentation."	3.3.6.2	Tables 3.3.2-1 (including footnotes (c), (e), **, and #), 3.3.2-2, 3.3.2-3, and 4.3.2.1-1 (including footnotes ** and #)
A.7	CTS Table 3.3.2-2 identifies the Allowable Value for the RCIC Steam Line Flow — High Trip Function as " \leq 295% of rated flow, 185" H ₂ O". These are equivalent values and considered redundant. ITS retains only the Allowable Value in terms of inches water.	Table 3.3.6.1-1, Function 3.a	Table 3.3.2-2 Trip Function 4.a

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.3 - INSTRUMENTATION

A.8	The CTS action to "declare the affected system inoperable" is deleted since this instruction is essentially a "cross reference" between Technical Specifications.	N/A	Table 3.3.2-1 Actions 22, 25, and 26
A.9	Provides the actual number of channels for the SLCS Initiation Function, in lieu of the CTS "N/A." In addition, footnote (b) has been added which states that the channels only input into one of two trip systems, consistent with CTS Table 3.3.2-1 footnote (f).	Table 3.3.6.1-1 Function 4.I, including footnote (b)	Table 3.3.2-1 Trip Function A.3.d and footnote (f)
A.10	The revised response time definition includes the allowance to exclude the sensor, thus the ITS surveillance is not changed to include the footnote allowance.	N/A	Table 3.3.2-3 footnote ##
A.11	CTS Table 3.3.2-2 footnote *, referencing Bases Figure B 3/4.3-1, has been deleted since the figure provides design information showing the relative locations of reactor vessel water level instruments. This information is already essentially contained in the Allowable Value column of this Table.	N/A	Table 3.3.2-2 footnote *
A.12	Replaces the CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST of Table 4.3.2.1-1 Trip Function A.3.d, SLCS Initiation, and for the Manual Initiation Trip Function B, with a LOGIC SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL TEST in ITS 3.3.6.1, which is a complete test of the logic, including the Manual Initiation switches and push buttons, and is performed at the same Frequency.	SR 3.3.6.1.5	4.3.2.1 for Table 4.3.2.1-1 Trip Functions A.3.d and B
3.3.6.2, Secondary Containment Isolation Instrumentation			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.3.6.2	3/4.3.2, 4.6.5.3.d.2
A.2	Response time testing for Secondary Containment Isolation Functions are deleted since they are listed as "N/A" in CTS Table 3.3.2-3.	N/A	4.3.2.3
A.3	Adds ITS ACTIONS Note "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each channel," and revises the wording for CTS Action b and CTS Action c ("One or more channels" and "One or more automatic Functions"), which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.3.6.2 ACTIONS A and B	3.3.2 Actions

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.3 - INSTRUMENTATION

A.4	Section A, Automatic Initiation title in CTS Tables 3.3.2-1, 3.3.2-2, and 4.3.2.1-1 has been deleted since only the secondary containment isolation functions are being included in proposed ITS 3.3.6.2.	N/A	Tables 3.3.2-1, 3.3.2-1, and 4.3.2.1-1 Section A Title
A.5	The CTS replaces the use of the term SECONDARY CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY with the elements of that term and clarifies the need to isolate SCIVs and start the associated SGT subsystem(s).	3.3.6.2 Required Actions C.1.1 and C.2.1	Table 3.3.2-1 Action 24
A.6	CTS Table 3.3.2-2 footnote *, referencing Bases Figure B 3/4.3-1, has been deleted since the figure provides design information showing the relative locations of reactor vessel water level instruments. This information is already essentially contained in the Allowable Value column of this Table.	N/A	Table 3.3.2-1 footnote *
A.7	Replaces the CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST for Table 4.3.2.1-1 Trip Functions B.3 and B.4 (the Manual Initiation Functions), with a LOGIC SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL TEST in ITS 3.3.6.2, which is a complete test of the logic, including the Manual Initiation switches and push buttons, and is performed at the same Frequency.	SR 3.3.6.2.4	4.3.2.1 for Table 4.3.2.1-1 Trip Functions B.3 and B.4
A.8	Divides the technical content of CTS 4.6.5.3.d.2, the system functional test of the SGT System, into two Surveillances.	SR 3.3.6.2.4, 3.6.4.3 Surveillance Requirements	4.6.5.3.d.2
A.9	The shutdown requirement of CTS Table 3.3.2-1 Action 26.a has been deleted since the requirements of Action 26.b can always be taken	N/A	Table 3.3.2-1 Action 26.a
3.3.7.1, CRAF System Isolation Instrumentation			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.3.7.1	3/4.3.7.1, 4.7.2.d

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.3 - INSTRUMENTATION

A.2	CTS 3/4.3.7.1 specifies requirements on radiation monitoring instrumentation. The only instrumentation listed is the Main Control Room Atmospheric Control System Radiation Monitoring subsystem. In ITS 3.3.7.1, this instrumentation is known as the Control Room Area Filtration (CRAF) System Instrumentation. Therefore, the title, the LCO statement, Actions, Surveillance Requirement, and Tables have been modified to require this Function. In addition, the alarm/trip setpoint column in CTS Table 3.3.7.1-1 has been changed to an Allowable Value in ITS SR 3.3.7.1.3.	3.3.7.1	3/4.3.7.1
A.3	Adds ITS ACTIONS Note "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each channel," which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.3.7.1 ACTIONS Note	3.3.7.1 Actions
A.4	Deletes the allowance that states that the provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable, since the Conditions and Required Actions of ITS 3.3.7.1 will adequately cover all potential conditions for inoperable equipment in the system.	N/A	3.3.7.1 Action c
A.5	Deletes the footnote that states the normal or emergency power source may be inoperable for the instrumentation since it duplicative of the ITS definition of OPERABILITY.	1.1 OPERABLE- OPERABILITY definition	3.3.7.1 footnote *
A.6	Divides the technical content of CTS 4.7.2.d.2, the system functional test of the CRAF System, into two Surveillances.	SR 3.3.7.1.4, 3.7.4 Surveillance Requirements	4.7.2.d.2
3.3.8.1, Loss of Power Instrumentation			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.3.8.1	3/4.3.3.3
A.2	A new LCO, ITS 3.3.8.1, has been written specifically for the Loss of Power (LOP) Instrumentation. The LOP Function from the current ECCS instrumentation Specification (CTS 3/4.3.3) is incorporated into this LCO. ITS 3.3.8.1 requires the instruments listed in ITS Table 3.3.8.1-1 to be OPERABLE, and the Table has the appropriate Functions from CTS Table 3.3.3-1 listed.	3.3.8.1	3/4.3.3

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.3 - INSTRUMENTATION

A.3	Deletes the ECCS Response Time Surveillance for this instrumentation since there is no requirement to measure Loss of Power instrumentation response time.	N/A	4.3.3.3, Table 3.3.3-3 Item 5
A.4	Adds ITS ACTIONS Note "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each channel," which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.3.8.1 ACTIONS Note	3.3.3 Actions
A.5	Deletes references to "take the ACTION required by..." in CTS Table 3.3.3-1 Action 37, since the format of the ITS does not include providing "cross references." The individual Specifications adequately prescribe the Required Actions for inoperable systems, subsystems, trains, components, and devices without such references.	N/A	Table 3.3.3-1 Action 37
3.3.8.2, RPS Electric Power Monitoring			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.3.8.2	3/4.8.3.4
A.2	The revised presentation of CTS 3.8.3.4 Actions a and b does not explicitly detail options to "restore...to OPERABLE status," since this action is always an option, and is implied in all Actions.	LCO 3.0.2	3.8.3.4 Actions a and b
A.3	A new ACTION is provided that requires a shutdown if the Required Actions of Condition A or B are not met when the unit is in MODE 1, 2, or 3. This action is functionally equivalent to the CTS 3.0.3, which is currently required if CTS 3.8.3.4 Actions a and b are not met (although CTS 3.0.3 does provide an additional 1 hour to commence the shutdown).	3.3.8.2 ACTION C	3.8.3.4 Actions a and b
A.4	CTS 4.8.3.4.b includes RPS electric power monitoring assembly "setpoints," It is proposed to re-label these "setpoints" as "Allowable Values," since under current plant procedures and practices, the overvoltage, undervoltage, and underfrequency trip setpoints specified in CTS 4.8.3.4.b are applied as Allowable Values.	SR 3.3.8.2.2	4.8.3.4.b
Current Specification 3/4.3.7.3, Meteorological Monitoring Instrumentation			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.3 - INSTRUMENTATION

Current Specification 3/4.3.7.11, Explosive Gas Monitoring Instrumentation			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Current Specification 3/4.3.7.12, Loose Part Detection System			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.4 - REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
3.4.1, Recirculation Loops Operating			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.4.1	3.4.1.1, 3/4.4.1.3, 3/4.4.1.5
A.2	CTS 3.4.1.1 has been rewritten into two distinct options, with the first option requiring two recirculation loops and the second option only requiring one recirculation loop with the added requirements of CTS 3.4.1.1 ACTIONS a.1.c), a.1.d and a.1.e).	LCO 3.4.1, 3.4.1 ACTION G	LCO 3.4.1.1, 3.4.1.1 Action a
A.3	Deletes: 1) CTS 3.4.1.1 Action a, which requires compliance with Specification 3.4.1.5 when only one reactor coolant recirculation loop is in operation; 2) CTS 3.4.1.1 Action b.1, which requires performing the Actions of Specification 3.4.1.5 when no reactor coolant recirculation loops are in operation; and 3) CTS 3.4.1.5 Action a.2.c), which requires performing Specification 3.4.1.1 Action b.2 when no recirculation loops are in operation. ITS prescribes the necessary conditions for compliance without such references.	N/A	3.4.1.1 Actions a, and b.1, 3.4.1.5 Action a.2.c)
A.4	Deletes the requirement to increase the MCPR safety limit per CTS 2.1.2 when only one recirculation loop is in operation, since the Safety Limit requirement is currently specified as the single loop limit; thus, when the plant is in single loop, the limit applies immediately, not in 4 hours as allowed by CTS 3.4.1.1 Action a.1.b).	N/A	3.4.1.1 Action a.1.b)
A.5	Deletes the requirement to reduce the Average Power Range Monitor (APRM) Rod Block Setpoints since this function has been relocated to the Technical Requirements Manual. In addition, deletes reference to APRM Scram and RBM Trip Setpoints since the trip setpoints are an operational detail.	N/A	3.4.1.1 Action a.1.d)
A.6	Revises wording to specify "jet pump" flow mismatch rather than "recirculation loop" flow mismatch. The flow in the recirculation loop and jet pump loop is proportional, and the measurement of jet pump loop flow versus recirculation loop flow is consistent with the assumptions of the LOCA analysis cited in UFSAR.	LCO 3.4.1, SR 3.4.1.1	LCO 3.4.1.3, 4.4.1.3
A.7	Deletes the requirement to restore the recirculation loop flows to within the limits if they are not within the limits. ITS does not explicitly detail options to "restore...to within the specified limit" when an alternate ACTION is provided that allows continued operation.	N/A	3.4.1.3 Action a

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.4 - REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

A.8	Deletes duplicative requirement CTS 3.4.1.5.a, which requires the total core flow to be $\geq 45\%$ of rated core flow during forced core circulation operation. It is encompassed by CTS 3.4.1.5.b, which requires THERMAL POWER to be within Region III of CTS Figure 3.4.1.5-1.	N/A	LCO 3.4.1.5.a
A.9	Moves CTS LCO 3.4.1.5.c and 4.4.1.5 requirements into an ACTION in the ITS and editorially revises them for clarity.	3.4.1 ACTION A	LCO 3.4.1.5.c, 4.4.1.5
A.10	Deletes the requirement to reduce thermal power to below 36% RTP by "inserting control rods" when no recirculation loops are in operation, since the only acceptable operational method of reducing thermal power to below 36% RTP when no recirculation loops are in operation is by the insertion of the control rods.	N/A	3.4.1.5 Action a.2.a)
A.11	Not used.	N/A	N/A
A.12	Clarified that the total time allowed to complete the APRM and LPRM neutron flux noise levels verification Surveillance is 45 minutes.	3.4.1 ACTION A	4.4.1.5.1.b
A.13	Clarifies that forced circulation is required to be maintained with flow within the limits of CTS Figure 3.4.1.5-1 in MODE 2, as well as the currently specified MODE 1, to be consistent with the current requirement that two recirculation loops shall be in operation. Since the region of instability is $> 30\%$ RTP, it is not operationally possible to be in the region of instability in MODE 2, therefore, this change is considered administrative.	LCO 3.4.1	3.4.1.5
A.14	Deletes CTS 3.4.1.3 Action b, referencing CTS 3.4.1.1, since the statement only serves as a cross reference.	N/A	3.4.1.3 Action b
3.4.2, Flow Control Valves			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.4.2	4.4.1.1
A.2	Adds new LCO, Applicability, and ACTIONS to clarify the CTS intent for OPERABILITY of the Recirculation System flow control valves.	3.4.2	4.4.1.1
3.4.3, Jet Pumps			

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.4 - REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.4.3	3/4.4.1.2
A.2	Modifies the term "loop flow" to "loop drive flow" to provide clarification of the CTS intent.	SR 3.4.3.1.a	4.4.1.2.1.a, 4.4.1.2.2.a
A.3	Adds the word "calculated" to CTS 4.4.1.2.1.b and CTS 4.4.1.2.2.b to differentiate between the indicated total core flow and the calculated total core flow.	SR 3.4.3.1.b	4.4.1.2.1.b, 4.4.1.2.2.b
3.4.4, Safety/Relief Valves			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.4.4	3/4.4.2
A.2	Moves the requirements associated with safety valve position indication to ITS 3.3.3.1.	3.3.3.1	LCO 3.4.2, 3.4.2 Action b, 4.4.2.1 (including footnote **)
A.3	Moves the requirements associated with the ADS function instrumentation to ITS 3.3.5.1.	3.3.5.1	4.4.2.2
A.4	Adds a Surveillance Requirement to verify the proper lift setpoints of the required S/RVs are within limits in accordance with the Inservice Testing Program, since CTS 4.0.5 currently requires this type of testing.	SR 3.4.4.1	N/A
3.4.5, RCS Operational Leakage			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.4.5	3/4.4.3.2
A.2	Editorially changes "any 24 hour period" to "the previous 24 hour period."	LCO 3.4.5.c, LCO 3.4.5.d	LCO 3.4.3.2.c, LCO 3.4.3.2.e
A.3	Moves the RCS pressure isolation valve and high/low pressure interface valve leakage pressure monitors requirements to ITS	3.4.6	LCO 3.4.3.2.d, 3.4.3.2 Actions c and d, 4.4.3.2.2

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.4 - REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

A.4	Adds an option to reduce the leakage to within the limit in lieu of identifying the source, since restoring compliance with the LCO is always an option.	3.4.5 ACTION B	N/A
3.4.6, RCS Pressure Isolation Valve Leakage			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.4.6	3/4.4.3.2
A.2	Adds ITS Notes "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each flow path" and "Enter applicable Conditions and Required Actions for systems made inoperable by PIVs," which are consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.4.6 ACTIONS Notes 1 and 2	3.4.3.2 Actions
A.3	(Unit 1 only) Deletes the one time exception to the surveillance test requirement for certain pressure isolation valves, which applied until the first refueling outage.	N/A	Table 3.4.3.2-1 footnote *
3.4.7, RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.4.7	3/4.4.3.1
A.2	Adds an Action to explicitly identify that LCO 3.0.3 is required to be entered if all required RCS leakage detection systems are inoperable, which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.4.7 ACTION F	3.4.3.1, 3.0.3
A.3	(Unit 1 only) Deletes the one time exception to the surveillance test requirement for the drywell sump flow monitoring system channel calibration, which applied until the first refueling outage.	N/A	4.4.3.1.b footnote *
3.4.8, RCS Specific Activity			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.4.8	3/4.4.5
A.2	Deletes CTS 3.4.5 Action c requiring increased sampling under certain conditions (as specified in CTS Table 4.4.5-1, Item 4.b) when the LCO 3.4.5.a limit is exceeded. CTS 3.4.5 Action b already requires the same sampling to be performed every 4 hours at all times when the LCO 3.4.5.a limit is not met, not just when the special conditions specified in Action c are met.	N/A	3.4.5 Action c

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.4 - REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

3.4.9, RHR Shutdown Cooling System - Hot Shutdown			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.4.9	3/4.4.9.1
A.2	Deletes allowance to remove the RHR shutdown cooling loop from operation during hydrostatic tests since these tests are not performed in MODE 3.	N/A	3.4.9.1 footnote ##
A.3	Adds ITS Note "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each. RHR shutdown cooling subsystem," which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.4.9 ACTIONS Note 2	3.4.9.1 Actions
A.4	Deletes the requirement to demonstrate every 24 hours the OPERABILITY of at least one alternate method capable of decay heat removal for each inoperable RHR shutdown cooling loop. It is unnecessary since the Specification requires that reactor be in MODE 4 within 24 hours (which exits this Specification), and CTS 3.4.9.2 and the ITS 3.4.10 both require the periodic verification of the availability of an alternate decay heat removal method.	N/A	3.4.9.1 Action a
A.5	Deletes the requirement which allows the unit to maintain reactor coolant temperature as low as practical in lieu of attaining MODE 4, when two or more RHR subsystems are inoperable and the unit is unable to attain MODE 4.	N/A	3.4.9.1 Footnote **
3.4.10, RHR Shutdown Cooling System - Cold Shutdown			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.4.10	3/4.4.9.2
A.2	Not used.	N/A	N/A
A.3	Adds ITS Note, "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each RHR shutdown cooling subsystem," which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.4.10 ACTIONS Note	3.4.9.2 Actions
A.4	Deletes the footnote that states the normal or emergency power source may be inoperable for the RHR pump since it duplicative of the ITS definition of OPERABILITY.	1.1 OPERABLE-OPERABILITY definition	LCO 3.4.9.2 footnote *

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.4 - REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

3.4.11, RCS Pressure and Temperature Limits			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.4.11	3/4.4.6.1, 3/4.4.1.4
A.2	Clarifies Action to "perform an engineering evaluation..." with Notes that state the determination that the acceptability of the RCS for continued operation must be completed any time the requirements of the LCO are not met.	3.4.11 Conditions A and C Notes	3.4.6.1 Action
A.3	Changes the CTS Action to "restore...within 30 minutes" to "initiate action to restore ...Immediately" for conditions other than MODES 1, 2, and 3, which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.4.11 Required Action C.1	3.4.6.1 Action
A.4	Deletes the reactor vessel material specimen Surveillance since it is a duplication of the regulations found in 10 CFR 50 Appendix H.	N/A	4.4.6.1.3
A.5	Adds Notes to clarify the current intent in CTS 4.4.6.1.4.a (periodic verification that reactor vessel flange and head flange temperatures are within limits) of allowing entry into the applicable conditions (i.e., when $\leq 92^{\circ}\text{F}$ for Unit 1 and $\leq 106^{\circ}\text{F}$ for Unit 2, and $\leq 77^{\circ}\text{F}$ for Unit 1 and $\leq 91^{\circ}\text{F}$ for Unit 2) without having performed these SRs.	SR 3.4.11.6 Note, SR 3.4.6.7 Note	4.4.6.1.4.a
A.6	Deletes the requirement to verify the reactor vessel and head flange temperatures within 30 minutes prior to tensioning of the head bolting studs, since it is duplicative of ITS SR 3.0.1.	SR 3.0.1	4.4.6.1.4.b
A.7	The idle recirculation loop startup requirements have been combined into the RCS Pressure and Temperature Limits Specification, with the words "and the recirculation pump starting temperature requirements" added to the ITS 3.4.11 LCO statement. The actual description of the requirements and the limits are found in the Surveillance Requirements.	LCO 3.4.11, SR 3.4.11.3, SR 3.4.11.4	LCO 3.4.1.4
A.8	Deletes the requirement to monitor the temperature difference between an idle loop and an operating loop, since they are redundant to the loop-to-coolant requirement of CTS 3.4.1.4.a and 4.4.1.4 (ITS SR 3.4.11.4).	SR 3.4.11.4	LCO 3.4.1.4.b
A.9	Provides changes in the LaSalle ITS consistent with the Technical Specifications Change Request submitted to the NRC for approval per ComEd letter dated February 29, 2000.	3.4.11	3/4.4.6.1

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.4 - REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

3.4.12, Reactor Steam Dome Pressure			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.4.12	3/4.4.6.2
Current Specification 3/4.4.8, Structural Integrity			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.5 - ECCS AND RCIC SYSTEM

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
3.5.1, ECCS-Operating			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised renumbering.	3.5.1	3/4.5.1, 4.3.3.3, Table 3.3.3-3
A.2	Deletes Applicability footnote #, which provides a cross reference to CTS 3.10.6, since LCO 3.0.7 adequately prescribes the use of the Special Operations LCOs without such references.	N/A	3.5.1 footnote #
A.3	Deletes the statements in CTS 3.5.1 Actions a, b, c, d, and e that require the opposite division equipment ("provided that.."). ITS 3.5.1 ACTION G provides direction for various interrelationships between the Division 1 and/or Division 2 ECCS subsystems and the Division 3 system. ITS ACTION G requires entry into LCO 3.0.3 for various combinations of inoperable components, which is consistent with the present Actions for the same combinations.	3.5.1 ACTION G	3.5.1 Actions a, b, c, d, and e
A.4	Deletes CTS 3.5.1 Actions b.3 and d.3, footnote *, which allows the unit to maintain reactor coolant temperature as low as practical, in lieu of attaining MODE 4, when two or more RHR subsystems are inoperable and the unit is unable to attain MODE 4, since it provides unnecessary duplication of the ACTIONS required by ITS 3.4.9.	N/A	3.5.1 Actions b.3 and d.3 footnote *
A.5	Not used.		
A.6	Deletes CTS 3.5.1 LCO footnote **, referencing CTS 3.3.3, since the footnote only serves as a cross reference.	N/A	LCO 3.5.1 footnote **
3.5.2, ECCS-Shutdown			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised renumbering.	3.5.2	3/4.5.2, 3/4.5.3, 4.3.3.3, Table 3.3.3-3
A.2	Replaces the use of the defined term SECONDARY CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY with the essential elements of that definition.	3.5.2 ACTION D	3.5.2 Action b, 3.5.3 Action b
A.3	Not Used.		

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.5 - ECCS AND RCIC SYSTEM

A.4	Removes superfluous statement that the ECCS is not required to be OPERABLE provided "that the reactor vessel head is removed, the cavity is flooded," since the other requirements of the note can only be accomplished if the vessel head is removed and the cavity flooded.	N/A	3.5.2 footnote *, 3.5.3 footnote *
A.5	Rewords SRs such that the applicable SRs for low pressure ECCS systems and for HPCS are presented in the SRs for this Specification, versus referring to the SRs in ITS 3.5.1.	SR 3.5.2.3, SR 3.5.2.4, SR 3.5.2.5, SR 3.5.2.6	4.5.2.1
A.6	Moves CTS 3.5.3.a and associated Applicability, Action a, and CTS 4.5.3.1 to ITS 3.6.2.2.	3.6.2.2	3.5.3.a, 3.5.3 Action a, 4.5.3.1
A.7	As an enhanced presentation of current intent, deletes CTS 4.5.3.2, which requires periodic verification that the specified conditions of Applicability footnote * are met when the suppression pool is inoperable.	N/A	4.5.3.2
A.8	Deletes CTS 3/4.5.3 footnote #, referencing CTS 3.6.2.1, since the footnote only serves as a cross reference.	N/A	3/4.5.3 footnote #
A.9	Not used.		
3.5.3, RCIC System			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised renumbering.	3.5.3	3/4.7.3
A.2	Allows deferral of the RCIC flow tests until 12 hours after adequate steam pressure and flow are available. Footnote currently allows deferral until adequate steam pressure is available.	SR 3.5.3.3, SR 3.5.3.4	4.7.3.b and 4.7.3.c.2 footnote *
A.3	Moves the RCIC DC bus and battery requirements to ITS 3.8.4, 3.8.6, and 3.8.7.	3.8.4, 3.8.6, 3.8.7	4.7.3.d
A.4	Clarifies the intent of the RCIC pump flow Surveillance to include the criteria of verifying pump flow against a system head corresponding to the reactor pressure.	SR 3.5.3.4	4.7.3.c.2

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.6 - CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
3.6.1.1, Primary Containment			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.6.1.1	3/4.6.1.1, 3/4.6.2.1
A.2	Replaces the definition of PRIMARY CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY and the references to it in CTS 3/4.6.1.1 with the requirement for primary containment to be OPERABLE, since all the requirements are specifically addressed in ITS 3.6.1.1 for the primary containment along with the remainder of the LCOs in the Primary Containment Section.	3.6.1.1, 3.6.1.2 3.6.1.3 3.6.2.1 3.6.2.2	3/4.6.1.1
A.3	Deletes the cross reference to CTS 3.10.1, since the format of the ITS does not include providing "cross references".	N/A	3.6.1.1 Applicability footnote *
A.4	CTS 4.6.1.1.a (including footnote **), relating to the position verification of PCIVs, has been moved to ITS 3.6.1.3.	3.6.1.3	4.6.1.1.a including footnote **
A.5	Deletes Surveillance Requirements 4.6.1.1.c and 4.6.1.1.d, which cross reference to the requirements for the air lock and the suppression chamber. Requirements for the air lock and suppression chamber remain within the ITS; however, providing a cross reference to them only adds confusion when evaluating compliance with Primary Containment OPERABILITY.	N/A	4.6.1.1.c, 4.6.1.1.d
A.6	The drywell-to-suppression chamber bypass leakage requirement of CTS 3.6.2.1.b is presented as a supporting Surveillance for Primary Containment OPERABILITY.	SR 3.6.1.1.3	3.6.2.1.b
3.6.1.2, Primary Containment Air Lock			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.6.1.2	3/4.6.1.3
A.2	Deletes the cross reference to CTS 3.10.1, since the format of the ITS does not include providing cross references.	N/A	3.6.1.3 Applicability footnote *

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.6 - CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

A.3	<p>Two Notes are proposed to be added to the ITS to facilitate use and understanding of the intent of the ITS and are consistent with the intent of the CTS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) (For ACTIONS Note 2) considering the primary containment inoperable in the event air lock leakage results in the acceptance criteria being not met. 2) (For SR 3.6.1.2.1 Note 1) the overall air lock acceptance criteria when one air lock door is inoperable. Since the inoperability is known to be only affecting one door, the barrel and the other OPERABLE door are providing a sufficient containment barrier. Even though the overall test could not be satisfied, the Note clarifies the intent that the previous test <u>not</u> be considered "not met." <p>In addition, ITS 3.6.1.2 Required Action C.1 will ensure that the primary containment overall leakage is evaluated, against the acceptance criteria, if an air lock is inoperable.</p>	3.6.1.2 ACTIONS Note 2, SR 3.6.1.2.1 Note 1, 3.6.1.2 Required Action C.1	3.6.1.3 ACTIONS, 4.6.1.3.a
A.4	Adds ITS Required Action Note "Required Actions...are not applicable if...Condition C is entered", recognizing that if both doors in the air lock are inoperable, then an "OPERABLE" door does not exist to be closed (ITS 3.6.1.2 Required Actions A.1, A.2, and A.3 cannot be met).	3.6.1.2 Required Action A Note1	3.6.1.3 Actions
A.5	The revised presentation of CTS 3.6.1.3 Action a.1 does not explicitly detail options to "restore...to OPERABLE status," since this action is always an option, and is implied in all Actions.	LCO 3.0.2	3.6.1.3 Action a.1
A.6	The requirement for performing the overall air lock leakage test is a requirement of 10 CFR 50 Appendix J, and this requirement is embodied in ITS SR 3.6.1.2.1. It is possible that the test would not be able to be performed with an inoperable air lock door, and a plant shutdown would be required due to the inability to perform the required Surveillance. However, this restriction on continued operation need not be specified (i.e., CTS 3.6.1.3 Action a.2 is deleted) since it exists inherently as a result of the required Appendix J testing. Since the ITS ACTIONS are revised to eliminate the reference to this Surveillance restriction, the exception to Specification 3.0.4 applicability (CTS 3.6.1.3 Action a.4) is not necessary and is deleted, because ITS 3.0.4 allows MODE changes provided continued operations is allowed in the ACTIONS.	SR 3.6.1.2.1	3.6.1.3 Actions a.2 and a.4
3.6.1.3, Primary Containment Isolation Valves			

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.6 - CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.6.1.3	3/4.6.1.1 3/4.6.3, 3/4.4.7, including footnote **, 3/4.6.1.8
A.2	Adds ITS ACTIONS Note "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each penetration flow path," which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.6.1.3 ACTIONS Note 2	3/4.6.1.1 3.6.3 Actions, 3.4.7 Actions, 3.6.1.8 Actions
A.3	Adds ITS ACTIONS Notes to facilitate the use and understanding of the intent for a system made inoperable by inoperable PCIVs; i.e., that the applicable ACTIONS for that system also apply. This requirement is currently located in CTS 3.6.3 Action b.1.b), but it does not cover all situations. Therefore, ITS 3.6.1.3 ACTIONS Note 3 has been added to cover all situations. ITS 3.6.1.3 ACTIONS Note 4 clarifies that these "systems" include the primary containment.	3.6.1.3 ACTIONS Notes 3 and 4	3.6.3 Action b.1.b), 3.6.1.8 ACTIONS
A.4	CTS 3.6.3 Action a and CTS 3.4.7 Action 1 do not specify penetrations with one or two isolation valves, except for reactor instrumentation line excess flow check valves. However, ITS 3.6.1.3 Condition A applies if the affected penetration has two valves, and only one is inoperable. This inherently ensures maintaining "at least one isolation valve OPERABLE." In the case of containment penetrations designed with only one isolation valve, the system boundary is considered an adequate barrier and the penetration is not considered "open" when the single isolation valve is open.	3.6.1.3 Condition A	3/4.6.1.1 3.6.3 Action a, 3.4.7 Action 1
A.5	The revised presentation of CTS 3.6.3 Actions a.1.a) and b.1.a) and CTS 3.4.7 Action 1.a) does not explicitly detail options to "restore...to OPERABLE status," since this action is always an option, and is implied in all Actions.	LCO 3.0.2	3.6.3 Actions a.1.a) and b.1.a), 3.4.7 Action 1.a)
A.6	Deletes the LCO 3.0.3 statement in CTS 3.6.3 Action b.1 since it is redundant to the "Otherwise..." action. That is, LCO 3.0.3 is not applicable anyway since a shutdown action has been provided.	N/A	3.6.3 Action b.1
A.7	Incorporate the requirements, provisions, actions, and associated restoration times for MSIVs and purge valves into ITS 3.6.1.3, the primary containment isolation valve Specification.	3.6.1.3	3/4.4.7, 3/4.6.1.8, 3/4.6.3

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.6 - CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

3.6.1.4, Drywell and Suppression Chamber Pressure			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.6.1.4	3/4.6.1.6
3.6.1.5, Drywell Air Temperature			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.6.1.5	3/4.6.1.7
3.6.1.6, Suppression Chamber-to-Drywell Vacuum Breakers			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.6.1.6	3/4.6.4
3.6.2.1, Suppression Pool Average Temperature			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.6.2.1	3/4.6.2.1
A.2	CTS 3.6.2.1.a.2 appears to require the 105°F limit to apply at all times in Operational Mode 1 or 2. However, this limit actually only applies when THERMAL POWER is > 1% RTP. This is shown by CTS 3.6.2.1.a.2.a), which states that 110°F is the limit when ≤ 1% RTP. Therefore, the ITS LCO for this limit has been clarified to be at > 1% RTP, and the ACTION has been modified to only require power to be decreased to ≤ 1% RTP in lieu of the CTS 3.6.2.1 Action b to shutdown the unit. Once THERMAL POWER is ≤ 1% RTP, the LCO is met if suppression pool temperature is ≤ 110°F, thus, a shutdown to MODE 3 and MODE 4 is not required, as stated in CTS 3.0.2.	LCO 3.6.2.1.a, 3.6.2.1 ACTION B	3.6.2.1.a.2, 3.6.2.1.a.2.a), 3.6.2.1 Action b
A.3	Moves the requirements in CTS 3.6.2.1.b, CTS 3.6.2.1 Action e, and CTS 4.6.2.1.d, relating to the drywell-to-suppression chamber bypass leakage limit, to ITS 3.6.1.1.	3.6.1.1	3.6.2.1.b, 3.6.2.1 Action e, 4.6.2.1.d

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.6 - CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

3.6.2.2, Suppression Pool Water Level			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.6.2.2	3/4.6.2.1, 3/4.5.3
A.2	Deletes the cross references to CTS 3.5.3 and 3.6.2.1, since the format of the ITS does not include providing cross references.	N/A	3.5.3 footnote # 3.6.2.1 footnote #,
A.3	Moves the requirements in CTS 3.5.3.b, 3.5.3 Action b, 4.5.3.1.a.2, and 4.5.3.2, relating to the suppression pool level requirements while in MODES 4 and 5, to ITS 3.5.2.	3.5.2	3.5.3.b, 3.5.3 Action b, 4.5.3.1.a.2, 4.5.3.2
3.6.2.3, RHR Suppression Pool Cooling			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.6.2.3	3/4.6.2.3
A.2	Not used.		
A.3	The CTS requires verification that each suppression pool cooling valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, is in its correct position. The CTS recognizes that the suppression pool cooling function is manually actuated and is interpreted that "in the correct position" allows the valves to be in a non-accident position provided they can be realigned to the correct position. In the ITS, the words "in the correct position" mean that the valves must be in the accident position, unless they can be automatically aligned on an accident signal. Thus, for RHR suppression pool cooling, the additional words "or can be aligned to the correct position" have been added to clarify that it is permissible for this systems' valves to be in the non-accident position and still be considered OPERABLE. In addition, since there are no automatic valves for the suppression pool cooling mode, the reference to check automatic valves has been deleted.	SR 3.6.2.3.1	4.6.2.3.b
3.6.2.4, RHR Suppression Pool Spray			

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.6 - CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.6.2.4	3/4.6.2.2
A.2	Not used.		
A.3	The CTS requires verification that each suppression pool spray valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, is in its correct position. The CTS recognizes that the suppression pool spray function is manually actuated and is interpreted that "in the correct position" allows the valves to be in a non-accident position provided they can be realigned to the correct position. In the ITS, the words "in the correct position" mean that the valves must be in the accident position, unless they can be automatically aligned on an accident signal. Thus, for RHR suppression pool spray, the additional words "or can be aligned to the correct position" have been added to clarify that it is permissible for this systems' valves to be in the non-accident position and still be considered OPERABLE. In addition, since there are no automatic valves for the suppression pool spray mode, the reference to check automatic valves has been deleted.	SR 3.6.2.4.1	4.6.2.2.a
3.6.3.1, Primary Containment Hydrogen Recombiners			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.6.3.1	3/4.6.6.1
3.6.3.2, Primary Containment Oxygen Concentration			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.6.3.2	3/4.6.6.2
A.2	Deletes the cross reference to CTS 3.10.5, since the format of the ITS does not include providing cross references.	N/A	3.6.6.2 Applicability footnote *
A.3	Revises the presentation of the ACTIONS to be consistent with the Applicability. The ITS only requires shutdown to 15% RTP. Below 15% RTP, the Applicability is exited and the ACTIONS are no longer required.	3.6.3.2 ACTION B	3.6.6.2 Applicability and Action

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.6 - CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

A.4	Deletes CTS 4.6.6.2, which requires oxygen concentration in primary containment to be verified within limit prior to entering the Applicability of CTS 3.6.6.2 (within 24 hours after THERMAL POWER is greater than 15% of RTP). This requirement does not need to be repeated as a separate Surveillance Frequency.	SR 3.0.4	4.0.4 4.6.6.2
3.6.4.1, Secondary Containment			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.6.4.1	3/4.6.5.1
A.2	Replaces the definition of SECONDARY CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY and the references to it in CTS 3/4.6.5.1 with the requirement for secondary containment to be OPERABLE, since all the requirements are specifically addressed in the ITS and associated Bases for the Secondary Containment (3.6.4.1), the Secondary Containment Isolation Valves (3.6.4.2), and Standby Gas Treatment System (3.6.4.3).	3.6.4.1, 3.6.4.2, 3.6.4.3	3/4.6.5.1
A.3	Modifies the requirement to verify that one door in each access is closed to require one door in each access opening to be closed. The LaSalle 1 and 2 design includes more than two doors on some of the accesses, and the current LaSalle 1 and 2 interpretation of this requirement is that for these accesses, there are multiple access openings, and that each access opening must have at least one door closed.	SR 3.6.4.1.2	4.6.5.1.b.1
A.4	Moves the requirements in CTS 4.6.5.1.b.2, relating to the position of secondary containment isolation valves, to ITS 3.6.4.2.	3.6.4.2	4.6.5.1.b.2
3.6.4.2, Secondary Containment Isolation Valves			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.6.4.2	3/4.6.5.1, 3/4.6.5.2
A.2	Adds ITS ACTIONS Note "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each penetration flow path." Additionally, adds ITS ACTIONS Note that facilitates the use and understanding of the intent to consider the affect of inoperable isolation valves on other systems. For a system made inoperable by inoperable SCIVs the applicable ACTIONS for that system also apply. This is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.6.4.2 ACTIONS Notes 2 and 3	3.6.5.2 Actions

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.6 - CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

A.3	The CTS 3.6.5.2 Action does not specify penetrations with one or two isolation valves. However, ITS 3.6.4.2 Condition A only applies if one valve in a penetration is inoperable. This inherently ensures maintaining "at least one isolation valve OPERABLE."	3.6.4.2 Condition A	3.6.5.2 Action
A.4	The revised presentation of the CTS 3.6.5.2 Action does not explicitly detail options to "restore...to OPERABLE status," since this action is always an option, and is implied in all Actions.	LCO 3.0.2	3.6.5.2 Action
3.6.4.3, Standby Gas Treatment System			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.6.4.3	3/4.6.5.3
A.2	Deletes the footnote that states the normal or emergency power source may be inoperable for each SGT subsystem since it duplicative of the ITS definition of OPERABLE-OPERABILITY.	1.1 Definition - OPERABLE- OPERABILITY	LCO 3.6.5.3 footnote #
A.3	Adds an ACTION that directs entry into LCO 3.0.3 if both SGT subsystems are inoperable in MODE 1, 2, or 3, consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.6.4.3 ACTION D	3.0.3, 3.6.5.3 Actions
A.4	Revises the terminology associated with the heater status from "OPERABLE" to "operating," since it is necessary for the heaters to actually operate to reduce moisture from the adsorbers and HEPA filters.	SR 3.6.4.3.1	4.6.5.3.a
A.5	Divides CTS 4.6.5.3.d.2, which verifies each SGT subsystem starts on the appropriate automatic initiation signals, into two Surveillances. The majority of the instrumentation testing will be performed in SR 3.3.6.2.4, and the actual system functional test portion, which will ensure the SGT System starts on an initiation signal, will be performed as SR 3.6.4.3.3.	SR 3.3.6.2.4, SR 3.6.4.3.3	4.6.5.3.d.2

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.7 - PLANT SYSTEMS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
3.7.1, Residual Heat Removal Service Water System			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.7.1	3/4.7.1.1
A.2	Deletes the requirement which allows the unit to maintain reactor coolant temperature as low as practical in lieu of attaining MODE 4, when two or more RHR subsystems are inoperable and the unit is unable to attain MODE 4.	N/A	3/4.7.1.1 footnote *
A.3	Adds "or can be aligned to the correct position" in SR 3.7.1.1 to clarify that it is permissible for the RHR service water systems' valves to be in the non-accident position and still be considered OPERABLE.	SR 3.7.1.1	4.7.1.1
3.7.2, Diesel Generator Cooling Water System			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.7.2	3/4.7.1.2
A.2	Adds ITS Note, "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each DGCW subsystem," which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.7.2 ACTIONS Note	3.7.1.2 Action
A.3	Deletes CTS 3.7.1.2 Action statement referencing CTS 3.8.1.1, since the statement only serves as a cross reference.	N/A	3.7.1.2 Action
3.7.3, Ultimate Heat Sink			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.7.3	3/4.7.1.3
3.7.4, Control Room Area Filtration System			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.7.4	3/4.7.2

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.7 - PLANT SYSTEMS

A.2	Deletes the footnote that states the normal or emergency power source may be inoperable for the CRAF subsystem in MODES or other specified condition other than MODE 1, 2, or 3, since it duplicative of the ITS definition of OPERABILITY.	1.1 OPERABLE- OPERABILITY definition	LCO 3.7.2 footnote #
A.3	Adds an ACTION to clarify intent of current requirements by directing entry into LCO 3.0.3 if both CRAF subsystems are inoperable in MODE 1, 2, or 3.	3.7.4 ACTION D	3.7.2 Actions
A.4	Enhances presentation by requiring actions to be immediately initiated to suspend OPDRVs versus the CTS action of immediately "suspend...operations with a potential for draining the reactor vessel."	3.7.4 ACTION E	3.7.2 Action b
3.7.5, Control Room Area Ventilation Air Conditioning System			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
3.7.6, Main Condenser Offgas			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.7.6	3/4.11.2.2
A.2	Clarifies the CTS by adding to the LCO the 30 minute decay period for the radioactivity rate of noble gases downstream of the recombiner to be $\leq 340,000$ microcuries/second. This is appropriate because the accident analysis that assumes the radioactivity rate of 340,000 microcuries/second also assumes that the radioactivity rate is after a 30 minute decay period.	LCO 3.7.6	LCO 3.11.2.2
3.7.7, Main Turbine Bypass System			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.7.7	3/4.7.10
A.2	Adds an LCO option has been added to permit a MCPR penalty to be applied in lieu of maintaining the Main Turbine Bypass System OPERABLE, consistent with the current licensing basis as indicated in CTS 3.7.10, Actions 1.a)2) and 2.a).	LCO 3.7.7	3.7.10 Actions 1.a)2) and 2.a)

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.7 - PLANT SYSTEMS

A.3	Deletes "OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1" from the Applicability of "OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1 when THERMAL POWER is 25% or more of RATED THERMAL POWER," since with THERMAL POWER \geq 25% RTP, the unit will always be in MODE 1.	N/A	3.7.10
A.4	Adds an option to restore the Main Turbine Bypass System to OPERABLE status, since this is always an option.	3.7.7 ACTION A	N/A
3.7.8, Spent Fuel Storage Pool Water Level			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.7.8	3/4.9.9
A.2	Clarifies that the Applicability is limited to circumstances when irradiated fuel assemblies are being moved in the spent fuel storage pool or when new fuel is being moved in the spent fuel storage pool with irradiated fuel assemblies in the spent fuel storage pool. This is acceptable since the purpose of the LCO is to ensure sufficient water is above the irradiated fuel assemblies to meet the assumptions of a fuel handling accident.	LCO 3.7.8	LCO 3.9.9
A.3	The CTS requirement establishes the top of active fuel as the reference point for measuring spent fuel pool depth, the ITS requirement uses the top of the fuel bundle - which is located at the top of the fuel bundle bail handle. Thus, the ITS provides an equivalent requirement, that is stated in terms of the depth of water that shall be maintained over the "irradiated fuel assemblies" seated in the spent fuel pool storage racks.	LCO 3.7.8	LCO 3.9.9
Current Specification 3/4.7.4, Sealed Source Contamination			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Current Specification 3/4.7.7, Area Temperature Monitoring			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.7 - PLANT SYSTEMS

Current Specification 3/4.7.8, Structural Integrity of Class 1 Structures			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Current Specification 3/4.7.9, Snubbers			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.8 - ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
3.8.1, AC Sources - Operating			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.8.1	3/4.8.1.1, LCO 3.0.5, LCO 3.8.2.1.d, 3.8.2.1 Action c
A.2	Moves the details in CTS LCO 3.8.1.1.b.1 and LCO 3.8.1.1.b.2 relating to the required day tank level to ITS SR 3.8.1.4.	SR 3.8.1.4	LCO 3.8.1.1.b.1, LCO 3.8.1.1.b.2
A.3	Moves the technical content of the fuel oil storage and starting air requirements in CTS LCO 3.8.1.1.b.1.b), LCO 3.8.1.1.b.2, 4.8.1.1.2.a.2, 4.8.1.1.2.a.7, 4.8.1.1.2.c, and 4.8.1.1.2.f to ITS 3.8.3.	3.8.3	LCO 3.8.1.1.b.1.b), LCO 3.8.1.1.b.2, 4.8.1.1.2.a.2, 4.8.1.1.2.a.7, 4.8.1.1.2.c, 4.8.1.1.2.f
A.4	Adds two Notes to the ITS Applicability. In the event the HPCS System is inoperable, a Note allows the Division 3 DG to be inoperable. In addition, certain safety related components (e.g., one standby gas treatment subsystem) are powered from Division 2 of the opposite unit. In the event all these required safety related components powered from the opposite unit are inoperable, a second Note allows the opposite unit Division 2 AC sources to not be required to be OPERABLE. The effect is to continue to allow the ACTIONS to be applied to other AC sources inoperabilities, without the complexity of also having the AC Sources Specification address concurrent Division 3 DG or opposite unit Division 2 AC source inoperability.	3.8.1 Applicability Notes 1 and 2	N/A
A.5	Deletes the statement in footnote * to CTS LCO 3.8.1.1.b, which states that CTS 4.8.1.1.1.a is not required to be performed when the common DG is inoperable for maintenance, modification, and/or Surveillance testing, since there are two separate ACTIONS in the ITS, one for when the common DG is inoperable for the above listed reasons and one for when it is inoperable for other reasons, and each ITS ACTION provides the proper requirements with respect to performing CTS 4.8.1.1.1.a.	N/A	LCO 3.8.1.1.b, footnote *

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.8 - ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

A.6	The CTS requires the DG to reject the single largest load while maintaining the engine speed increase $\leq 75\%$ of the difference between nominal speed and the overspeed trip setpoint or $\leq 15\%$ of the nominal speed, whichever is less. These two possible values for the overspeed trip point are fixed by the design of the DG unit. The appropriate value (i.e., the most limiting, which is 66.7 Hz) is presented in ITS SR 3.8.1.9.	SR 3.8.1.9	4.8.1.1.2.d.2
A.7	In the event AC Sources are inoperable such that a distribution subsystem were inoperable, ITS LCO 3.0.6 would allow taking only the AC Sources ACTIONS; taking exception to complying with the AC Distribution System ACTIONS. Since the AC Sources ACTIONS may not be sufficiently conservative in this event (an entire division may be without power), specific direction to take appropriate ACTIONS for the Distribution System is added when there is no power for a division.	3.8.1 ACTION E Note	3.8.1.1 Actions
A.8	Deletes references to "take the ACTION required by..." in CTS 3.8.1.1 Actions d and g, since the format of the ITS does not include providing "cross references." The individual Specifications adequately prescribe the Required Actions for inoperable systems, subsystems, trains, components, and devices without such references.	N/A	3.8.1.1 Actions d and g
A.9	Not used.		
A.10	With three or more required AC sources inoperable (e.g., two offsite circuits and one DG), ACTIONS would be taken in accordance with ITS 3.8.1, and ITS LCO 3.0.3 entry conditions would not be met. Since CTS 3.8.1.1 does not provide Actions for these conditions, ITS 3.8.1 ACTION H is added to direct entry into ITS LCO 3.0.3, to preserve the existing intent for CTS 3.0.3 entry.	3.8.1 ACTION H	3.8.1.1 Actions
A.11	CTS 4.8.1.1.2.a.4, 4.8.1.1.2.a.5, 4.8.1.1.2.d.2, 4.8.1.1.2.d.3, and 4.8.1.1.2.d.8 specify requirements for testing of a DG (0 diesel generator) that is common to both units. Therefore, a Note is added to the applicable ITS SRs to clearly state the current plant interpretation, i.e., a single test of the common DG at the specified Frequency will satisfy the Surveillance for both units.	SR 3.8.1.2 Note 3, SR 3.8.1.3 Note 5, SR 3.8.1.7 Note 2, SR 3.8.1.9 Note 2, SR 3.8.1.10 Note 2, SR 3.8.1.14 Note 4, SR 3.8.1.15 Note 3	4.8.1.1.2.a.4, 4.8.1.1.2.a.5, 4.8.1.1.2.d.2, 4.8.1.1.2.d.3, 4.8.1.1.2.d.8

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.8 - ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

A.12	CTS 4.8.1.1.2 footnote *, which allows DG engine pre-lubrication when starting diesel generators, is referenced by numerous CTS Surveillance Requirements that define requirements for operating DGs. Therefore, the Note has been deleted from these Surveillance Requirements.	N/A	footnote * to 4.8.1.1.d.2, 4.8.1.1.d.3, 4.8.1.1.2.d.8, 4.8.1.1.2.d.9, 4.8.1.1.2.d.10, 4.8.1.1.2.d.11
A.13	CTS 4.8.1.1.2.d.7 requires a verification that all automatic trips except engine overspeed, generator differential current, and emergency manual stop are automatically bypassed on an ECCS actuation signal. The emergency manual stop is not an automatic DG trip. This trip manually trips the fuel racks, and must be manually initiated by an operator. Therefore, this trip is not included in the ITS.	N/A	4.8.1.1.2.d.7
A.14	CTS 4.8.1.1.2.d.12 references load sequence timers. LaSalle 1 and 2 design does not include load sequencer timers. Specific safety related loads are sequenced onto the emergency busses by time delay relays. As such, the wording of ITS SR 3.8.1.18 has been modified to reference time delay relays.	SR 3.8.1.18	4.8.1.1.2.d.12
A.15	If CTS 4.8.1.1.2.d.8 (the DG restart test portion) fails after the performance of the 24 hour DG load test, CTS 4.8.1.1.2.d.8 footnote ** allows the diesel generator to be operated at 2600 kW for 2 hours or until operating temperature has stabilized. ITS SR 3.8.1.15 Note 1 only includes a requirement that load must be ≥ 2400 kW and ≤ 2600 kW for 2 hours within 5 minutes of starting the SR. Operation ≥ 2400 kW and ≤ 2600 kW for 2 hours has been the accepted manufacturer's recommendation to achieve hot conditions (i.e., a stabilized operating temperature).	SR 3.8.1.15 Note 1	4.8.1.1.2.d.8 footnote **
A.16	CTS 3.0.5 has been incorporated into the ACTIONS of ITS 3.8.1. ITS 3.8.1 is only applicable in MODES 1, 2, and 3. Therefore, the statement in CTS 3.0.5, which states that the Specification is not applicable in Operational Condition (MODE) 4 or 5, is no longer necessary and is deleted.	N/A	3.0.5

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.8 - ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

A.17	CTS 3.8.2.1.d requires the opposite unit Division 1 4.16 kV bus and cross-tie breaker be OPERABLE and CTS 3.8.2.1 Action c provides a 7 day restoration time if the opposite unit Division 1 4.16 kV bus or cross-tie breaker is inoperable. However, the bus and breaker are also part of the alternate offsite circuit pathway and only a 72 hour restoration time is allowed in CTS 3.8.1.1, Action a. In ITS, this bus and cross-tie breaker are only identified in the ITS 3.8.1 Bases as part of the alternate offsite circuit pathway to the given unit. This change simply clarifies that this requirement is associated with the ITS AC Sources Specifications.	LCO 3.8.1.a, 3.8.1 ACTION A	LCO 3.8.2.1.d, 3.8.2.1 Action c, 3.8.1.1 Action a
A.18	Two Notes have been added to the Surveillance Requirements to clearly define the applicability of Surveillances to both units. An additional Surveillance has also been added to ensure the opposite unit's power sources are properly tested.	Surveillance Requirement Table Notes 1 and 2, SR 3.8.1.21	4.8.1.1.1, 4.8.1.1.2
A.19	The requirements that the auto-connected emergency loads be energized "through the load sequencer" for Division 1 and 2 is changed to "including through delay relays, where applicable", since the LaSalle design does not include load sequencers, but includes time delay relays.	SR 3.8.1.19	4.8.1.1.2.d..6.a) 2)
A.20	The CTS 4.8.1.1.1.b and 4.8.1.1.2.d existing limitation on 18-month surveillances to perform them "during shutdown" is more specifically presented in the proposed Surveillances. Each proposed SR contains a specific Note limiting the performance in MODES 1 and 2. Additionally, the ITS Note clearly presents the allowance of the current practice of taking credit for unplanned events, provided the necessary data is obtained.	SR 3.8.1.8, SR 3.8.1.9 SR 3.8.1.10 SR 3.8.1.11 SR 3.8.1.12 SR 3.8.1.13 SR 3.8.1.14 SR 3.8.1.16 SR 3.8.1 17 SR 3.8.1.8 and SR 3.8.1.19	4.8.1.1.1.b, 4.8.1.1.2.d
3.8.2, AC Sources - Shutdown			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.8.2	3/4.8.1.2

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.8 - ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

A.2	Moves the details relating to the required day tank level in CTS LCO 3.8.1.2.b.1.a) and LCO 3.8.1.2.b.2 to ITS SR 3.8.2.1.	SR 3.8.2.1	LCO 3.8.1.2.b.1.a), LCO 3.8.1.2.b.2
A.3	Moves the technical content of the fuel oil storage requirements in CTS LCO 3.8.1.2.b.1.b) and LCO 3.8.1.2.b.2, and certain requirements of CTS SR 4.8.1.2 to ITS 3.8.3.	3.8.3	LCO 3.8.1.2.b.1.b), LCO 3.8.1.2.b.2, 4.8.1.2
A.4	In the event AC Sources are inoperable such that a distribution subsystem were inoperable, ITS LCO 3.0.6 would allow taking only the AC Sources ACTIONS; taking exception to complying with the AC Distribution System ACTIONS. Since the AC Sources ACTIONS may not be sufficiently conservative in this event (e.g., RHR-SDC could be inoperable), specific direction to take appropriate ACTIONS for the Distribution System is added when there is no power for a required division.	3.8.2 ACTION A Note	3.8.1.2 Actions
A.5	Deletes the references in CTS 3.8.1.2 Action b to "take the ACTION required by Specification 3.5.2 and 3.5.3" and in CTS 3.8.1.2 Action c to "take the ACTION required by Specifications 3.6.5.3 and 3.7.2," since the format of the ITS does not include providing "cross references." ITS 3.5.2, 3.6.4.3, 3.7.4, and 3.7.5 adequately prescribe the Required Actions for an inoperable HPCS System, SGT subsystem, control room area filtration subsystem, or control room area ventilation air conditioning subsystem, respectively, without such references.	N/A	3.8.1.2 Action b, 3.8.1.2 Action c
A.6	Deletes from CTS 4.8.1.2 the reference to CTS 4.8.1.1.3, since CTS 4.8.1.1.3 was deleted in Amendments 109 (Unit 1) and 94 (Unit 2).	N/A	4.8.1.2
A.7	For clarity, adds an exception to CTS 4.8.1.1.2.e (ITS SR 3.8.1.20), which is consistent with the intent of the CTS. This Surveillance is currently not required since it ensures all the DGs are OPERABLE (and no more than two unit DGs are required while in MODES 4 and 5 and handling irradiated fuel assemblies in the secondary containment). In addition, two other exceptions have been included for clarity. CTS 4.8.1.1.1.b (ITS SR 3.8.1.8) is excluded since only one offsite circuit is required to be OPERABLE. CTS 4.8.1.1.2.d.11 (ITS SR 3.8.1.17), the requirement to verify the DG capability to return to the ready-to-load condition when in the test mode and an ECCS initiation signal is present, is also excluded since the required DG is not required by CTS to undergo periods of being synchronized to the offsite circuit.	SR 3.8.2.1	4.8.1.2

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.8 - ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

3.8.3, Diesel Fuel Oil and Starting Air			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.8.3	3/4.8.1.1, 3/4.8.1.2
A.2	The fuel oil and starting air requirements of CTS 3/4.8.1.1 and 3/4.8.1.2 have been moved to a new ITS LCO 3.8.3. An LCO Statement has been provided requiring fuel oil storage and starting air. The Applicability of this new LCO is "when associated DG is required to be OPERABLE." This covers the current MODES 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 and fuel handling requirements of CTS 3/4.8.1.1 and 3/4.8.1.2.	3.8.3	3/4.8.1.1, 3/4.8.1.2
A.3	Moves the details relating to the required storage tank levels in CTS 3.8.1.1.b.1.b), CTS 3.8.1.1.b.2, CTS 3.8.1.2.b.1.b), and CTS 3.8.1.2.b.2 to SR 3.8.3.1.	SR 3.8.3.1	3.8.1.1.b.1.b), 3.8.1.1.b.2, 3.8.1.2.b.1.b), 3.8.1.2.b.2
A.4	Moves the technical content of CTS 4.8.1.1.2.c, which provides the DG fuel oil sampling requirements, to ITS 5.5.10. In addition, adds a Surveillance Requirement to clarify that the tests of the Diesel Fuel Oil Testing Program must also be completed and passed for determining Operability of the DGs.	SR 3.8.3.1, 5.5.10	4.8.1.1.2.c
3.8.4, DC Sources - Operating			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.8.4	3/4.8.2.3, 4.7.3.d
A.2	The battery hardware components (battery and charger) of CTS 3.8.2.3 remain in the DC Sources LCO (ITS 3.8.4). Therefore, a new LCO statement has been provided reflecting this. The ITS presents the DC distribution in a separate LCO (ITS 3.8.7), moves the technical content of CTS Table 4.8.2.3.2-1 (including CTS 4.8.2.3.2.a.1 and 4.8.2.3.2.b.1), the battery cell parameter requirements and CTS 4.8.2.3.2.b.3, the average electrolyte temperature requirements to ITS 3.8.6.	3.8.4, 3.8.6, 3.8.7	3.8.2.3, Table 4.8.2.3.2-1, 4.8.2.3.2.a.1, 4.8.2.3.2.b.1, 4.8.2.3.2.b.3

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.8 - ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

A.3	Deletes the reference in CTS 3.8.2.3 Action b to "take the ACTION required by Specification 3.5.1," since the format of the ITS does not include providing "cross references." ITS 3.5.1 adequately prescribes the Required Actions for an inoperable ECCS without such references.	N/A	3.8.2.3 Action b
A.4	CTS 4.8.2.3.2.c.4 requires performance of a battery charger capacity test to verify that the 125 V 1E battery chargers will supply a load equal to the manufacturer's rating for the test duration. Since the battery charger rating does not change, the appropriate values (amps and voltage) have been included in ITS SR 3.8.4.6.	SR 3.8.4.6	4.8.2.3.2.c.4
A.5	Two Notes have been added to the Surveillance Requirements to clearly define the applicability of Surveillances to both units. An additional Surveillance has also been added to ensure the opposite unit's power sources are properly tested.	Surveillance Requirement Table Notes 1 and 2, SR 3.8.4.9	4.8.2.3.2
A.6	The Division 1 250V DC battery and battery charger have been moved from the RCIC Specification (CTS 3/4.7.3) to the DC Sources Specification (proposed ITS 3.8.4). This requirement is covered by the ITS 3.8.4 LCO statement, which requires the Division 1 DC electrical power subsystem to be OPERABLE. In CTS 3/4.7.3, if the Division 1 250V DC battery or charger is inoperable, RCIC and one of the RCIC PCIVs would be considered inoperable and the appropriate ACTIONS would be entered. Therefore, new ITS 3.8.4 ACTION C is also being added to declare RCIC and the RCIC PCIVs (i.e., the associated supported features) inoperable immediately when the Division 1 250V DC battery is inoperable.	LCO 3.8.4, 3.8.4 ACTION C	LCO 3.7.3, 3.7.3 Action
A.7	CTS 4.7.3.d.1.d) requires the overall battery voltage to be verified \geq 250V every 7 days. ITS SR 3.8.4.1 adds a requirement that the battery be verified while on float charge, since this is the current manner in which the battery is verified.	SR 3.8.4.1	4.7.3.d.1.d)
3.8.5, DC Sources - Shutdown			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.8.5	3/4.8.2.4
A.2	The battery hardware components (battery and charger) of CTS 3.8.2.4 remain in the DC Sources LCO (ITS 3.8.5). Therefore, a new LCO statement has been provided reflecting this. The ITS presents the DC distribution in a separate LCO (ITS 3.8.8).	3.8.5, 3.8.8	3.8.2.4

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.8 - ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

A.3	Deletes the references in CTS 3.8.2.4 Action b to "take the ACTION required by Specification 3.5.2 and 3.5.3" and in CTS 3.8.2.4 Action d to "take the ACTION required by Specifications 3.6.5.3 and 3.7.2," since the format of the ITS does not include providing "cross references." ITS 3.5.2, 3.6.4.3, 3.7.4, and 3.7.5 adequately prescribe the Required Actions for an inoperable HPCS System, SGT subsystem, control room area filtration subsystem, or control room area ventilation air conditioning subsystem, respectively, without such references.	N/A	3.8.2.4 Action b, 3.8.2.4 Action d
A.4	In lieu of declaring the standby gas treatment (SGT) subsystem and control room and auxiliary electric equipment room emergency filtration subsystem inoperable and taking the Actions of the appropriate LCO as required by CTS 3.8.2.4 Action d, three new Required Actions have been provided for when the opposite unit's Division 2 DC source is inoperable. ITS 3.8.5 Required Actions B.2.1, B.2.2, and B.2.3 require suspension of CORE ALTERATIONS, movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the secondary containment, and OPDRVs, and are the same as the Actions found in the individual System Specifications when both SGT subsystems or both control room auxiliary electric equipment room emergency filtration subsystems are inoperable.	3.8.5 Required Actions B.2.1, B.2.2, and B.2.3	N/A
3.8.6, Battery Cell Parameters			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.8.6	3/4.8.2.3, 3/4.8.2.4, 4.7.3.d
A.2	Presents the 125 VDC battery cell parameters limits in a separate LCO with appropriate ACTIONS and SRs. CTS 4.8.2.4.2 is being deleted since its provisions only reference requirements in CTS 4.8.2.3.2, which are contained in ITS 3.8.6.	3.8.6	3/4.8.2.3, 3/4.8.2.4
A.3	Applicability presented as "when associated DC electrical power subsystem is required to be OPERABLE," covering the current MODES 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 and fuel handling requirements (actually more restrictive for the DC power subsystems since more than one of the batteries may be required in MODES 4 and 5 since the DC sources Applicability has been changed - see DOC M.1 for ITS 3.8.5).	3.8.6 Applicability	3.8.2.3 Applicability, 3.8.2.4 Applicability
A.4	Adds ITS ACTIONS Note "Separate condition entry is allowed for each battery," which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.8.6 ACTIONS Note	3.8.2.3 Actions, 3.8.2.4 Actions

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.8 - ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

A.5	Adds a specific Condition to explicitly require the battery to be declared inoperable when the temperature is not within limit or when Category A or B limits have not been restored within the applicable time, since this is the obvious intent of the CTS.	3.8.6 ACTION B	3.8.2.3 Actions, 3.8.2.4 Actions, Table 4.8.2.3.2-1 Notes
A.6	Presents the 250 VDC battery cell parameters limits in a separate LCO. In addition, the Applicability is presented as "when associated DC electrical power subsystem is required to be OPERABLE," covering the current RCIC System Applicability of MODES 1, 2, and 3 with reactor steam dome pressure greater than 150 psig (actually more restrictive for the 250 VDC electrical power subsystem since the 250 VDC electrical power subsystem Applicability has been changed - see DOC M.1 for ITS 3.8.4).	LCO 3.8.6, 3.8.6 Applicability	4.7.3.d
3.8.7, Distribution Systems - Operating			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.8.7	3/4.8.2.1, 3/4.8.2.3, 4.7.3.d
A.2	CTS LCO 3.8.2.1.d requires the opposite unit Division 1 4.16 kV bus (141Y and 241Y) and supply breaker (1414 and 2414) to be OPERABLE. These buses/breakers provide the method to tie the alternate offsite circuit to the given unit. Therefore, this requirement, including the portion of CTS 3.8.2.1 Action c concerning the opposite unit Division 1 buses/breakers is being moved to ITS 3.8.1.	3.8.1	LCO 3.8.2.1.d, 3.8.2.1 Action c
A.3	Deletes the reference in CTS 3.8.2.1 Action b and 3.8.2.3 Action b to "take the ACTION required by Specification 3.5.1," since the format of the ITS does not include providing "cross references." ITS 3.5.1 adequately prescribes the Required Actions for an inoperable HPCS System without such references.	N/A	3.8.2.1 Action b, 3.8.2.3 Action b
A.4	Moves the 250 VDC motor control center requirements RCIC Specification (CTS 3/4.7.3) to the Distribution Systems – Operating Specification (ITS 3.8.7). This requirement is covered by the ITS LCO 3.8.7, which requires the Division 1 DC distribution subsystems to be OPERABLE. In addition, ITS 3.8.7 ACTION F is also being added to declare RCIC and the RCIC PCIVs (i.e, the associated supported features) inoperable immediately when the 250 VDC motor control center is inoperable, consistent with the current requirements.	LCO 3.8.7, 3.8.7 ACTION F	3/4.7.3

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.8 - ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

A.5	Adds a clarification to the requirements in CTS 3.8.2.1 for opposite unit Division 2 AC electrical power distribution buses and CTS 3.8.2.3 for opposite unit Division 2 DC electrical power distribution buses, to describe the equipment required to be supported by the opposite unit Division 2 AC and DC electrical power distribution buses, i.e., equipment required to be OPERABLE by LCO 3.6.3.1, "Primary Containment Hydrogen Recombiners," LCO 3.6.4.3, "Standby Gas Treatment (SGT) System, LCO 3.7.4, "Control Room Area Filtration (CRAF) System," LCO 3.7.5, "Control Room Area Ventilation Air Conditioning (AC) System, and LCO 3.8.1, "AC Sources – Operating.	LCO 3.8.7.d	LCO 3.8.2.1, LCO 3.8.2.3
A.6	A Note has been added to enter the applicable Conditions and Required Actions of LCO 3.8.1 when Condition C results in the inoperability of a required offsite circuit. The opposite unit Division 2 distribution subsystem can be part of the circuit path for the alternate offsite circuit. Due to addition of ITS LCO 3.0.6 the Note is needed to ensure the ACTIONS of LCO 3.8.1 are entered when an offsite circuit is also rendered inoperable.	3.8.7 Required Action C.1	3.8.2.3 Action c
3.8.8, Distribution Systems - Shutdown			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.8.8	3/4.8.2.2, 3/4.8.2.4
A.2	Deletes the references in CTS 3.8.2.2 Action b and 3.8.2.4 Action b to "take the ACTION required by Specifications 3.5.2 and 3.5.3," and in CTS 3.8.2.2 Action c and 3.8.2.4 Action d to "take the ACTION required by Specifications 3.6.5.3 and 3.7.2," since the format of the ITS does not include providing "cross references." ITS 3.5.2, 3.6.4.3, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, and 3.5.3 adequately prescribe the Required Actions for an inoperable HPCS System, SGT subsystem, control room area filtration subsystem, or control room area ventilation air conditioning subsystem, respectively without such references.	N/A	3.8.2.2 Actions b and c, 3.8.2.4 Actions b and d

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.8 - ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

A.3	In lieu of declaring the standby gas treatment subsystem and control room and auxiliary electric equipment room emergency filtration subsystem inoperable and taking the Actions of the appropriate LCO as required by CTS 3.8.2.2 Action c and 3.8.2.4 Action d, three new Required Actions have been provided for when the opposite unit's Division 2 DC distribution subsystem is inoperable. ITS 3.8.8 Required Actions A.2.1, A.2.2, and A.2.3 require suspension of CORE ALTERATIONS, movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the secondary containment, and OPDRVs, and are the same as the Actions found in the individual System Specifications.	3.8.8 Required Actions A.2.1, A.2.2, and A.2.3	N/A
Current Specification 3/4.8.3.1, AC Circuits Inside Primary Containment			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Current Specification 3/4.8.3.2, Primary Containment Penetration Conductor Overcurrent Protective Devices			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Current Specification 3/4.8.3.3, Motor Operated Valves Thermal Overload Protection			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.9 - REFUELING OPERATIONS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
3.9.1, Refueling Equipment Interlocks			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.9.1	3/4.9.1
A.2	Moves the Refuel Position One-Rod-Out Interlock requirements to ITS 3.9.2.	3.9.2	3/4.9.1
A.3	Since one-rod-out interlock requirements are moved to ITS 3.9.2, restrictions on equipment to be used during CORE ALTERATIONS in ITS 3.9.1 are rewritten, where the Applicability addresses the only CORE ALTERATIONS remaining, i.e., fuel movement.	3.9.1	LCO 3.9.1.b
A.4	Lists each actual refuel platform hoist in the Surveillance Requirement of ITS SR 3.9.1.1, versus the CTS requirement for the refuel platform "hoists" fuel loaded interlocks be Operable.	SR 3.9.1.1	LCO 3.9.1.b.3
A.5	Changed the Applicability to specify "during in-vessel fuel movement...", as currently found in CTS 3.9.1.b.	LCO 3.9.1	3.9.1
A.6	Deletes Applicability footnote that provides a cross reference to CTS 3.10.1 and 3.10.3, since the format of the ITS does not include providing cross references.	N/A	3.9.1 footnote *
A.7	Deletes the Applicability footnote that states that the reactor shall be maintained in Operational Condition 5 whenever fuel is in the reactor vessel with the vessel head closure bolts less than fully tensioned or with the head removed, since this equipment is an explicit part of the definition of MODE 5.	N/A	3.9.1 footnote #
A.8	Moves, to ITS 3.10.1, the allowance in the footnote to place the reactor mode switch in the Run or Startup/Hot Standby position to test switch interlock functions while in MODE 5.	3.10.1	3.9.1 footnote ##
3.9.2, Refuel Position One-Rod-Out Interlock			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.9.2	3/4.9.1
A.2	Deletes the requirement that the reactor mode switch shall be in the Shutdown or Refuel position, since it is an explicit part of the definition of MODE 5.	N/A	LCO 3.9.1
A.3	Moves the Refueling Equipment Interlock requirements to ITS 3.9.1.	3.9.1	3/4.9.1

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.9 - REFUELING OPERATIONS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
A.4	The ITS Applicability reflects the current requirements for the one-rod-out interlock to be Operable in MODE 5 with the reactor mode switch in the refuel position and any control rod withdrawn.	3.9.2	LCO 3.9.1.a
A.5	Deletes Applicability footnote that provides a cross reference to CTS 3.10.1 and 3.10.3, since the format of the ITS does not include providing cross references.	N/A	3.9.1 footnote *
A.6	Deletes the Applicability footnote that states that the reactor shall be maintained in Operational Condition 5 whenever fuel is in the reactor vessel with the vessel head closure bolts less than fully tensioned or with the head removed, since this equipment is an explicit part of the definition of MODE 5.	N/A	3.9.1 footnote #
A.7	Moves, to ITS 3.10.1, the allowance in the footnote to place the reactor mode switch in the Run or Startup/Hot Standby position to test switch interlock functions while in MODE 5.	3.10.1	3.9.1 footnote ##
3.9.3, Control Rod Position			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.9.3	3/4.9.3
A.2	Deletes footnote that provides a cross reference to CTS 3.9.10.1 and 3.9.10.2, since the format of the ITS does not include providing cross references. In addition, the allowances that fuel can be loaded into the core when a rod is withdrawn under control of the reactor mode switch refuel position one-rod-out interlock has been deleted since the interlock will preclude fuel loading with a rod withdrawn.	N/A	LCO 3.9.3, 3.9.3 Action, 4.9.3.a.2
A.3	Deletes Applicability footnote that provides a cross reference to CTS 3.10.3, since the format of the ITS does not include providing cross references.	N/A	3.9.3 footnote **
3.9.4, Control Rod Position Indication			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.9.4	3/4.1.3.7

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.9 - REFUELING OPERATIONS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
A.2	Deletes footnote that provides a cross reference to CTS 3.9.10.1 and 3.9.10.2, since the format of the ITS does not include providing cross references.	N/A	3.1.3.7 footnote *
A.3	Adds ITS Note "Separate Condition entry is allowed for each required channel," which is consistent with the intent of the CTS.	3.9.4 ACTIONS Note	3.1.3.7 Actions
3.9.5, Control Rod OPERABILITY - Refueling			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.9.5	3/4.1.3.5
A.2	Revises the Operational Condition 5 requirements to say "Each withdrawn control rod shall be OPERABLE," since ITS 3.9.5 includes requirements other than accumulator requirements.	3.9.5	3.1.3.5
A.3	Deletes footnote that provides a cross reference to CTS 3.9.10.1 and 3.9.10.2, since the format of the ITS does not include providing cross references.	N/A	3.1.3.5 footnote *
A.4	Deletes the action to disarm the associated directional control valves. During MODE 5 with an accumulator associated with a withdrawn control rod inoperable, the control rod is required to be inserted. Once the control rod is fully inserted, the accumulator is no longer required to be OPERABLE and the entry conditions for the ACTIONS are no longer applicable, thus no additional ACTIONS are required.	N/A	3.1.3.5 Action b.1
A.5	Moves, to ITS 3.10.7, the requirements for when more than one control rod is withdrawn with the associated scram accumulators inoperable or no control rod drive pump operating.	3.10.7	3.1.3.7 Action b.2
A.6	Deletes "unless the control rod is inserted and disarmed or scrambled," since stating the conditions for an exception to performance of the accumulator Surveillance that are equivalent to the Applicability of the LCO is unnecessary.	N/A	4.1.3.5.a
3.9.6, RPV Water Level - Irradiated Fuel			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.9.6	3/4.9.8

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.9 - REFUELING OPERATIONS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
A.2	Moves, to ITS 3.9.7, the requirements for handling new fuel assemblies and control rods.	3.9.7	3/4.9.8
A.3	Deletes "while in OPERATIONAL CONDITION 5" from the Applicability since the Specification deals only with handling irradiated fuel assemblies, and the only MODE where it is possible to move irradiated fuel assemblies within the reactor pressure vessel is MODE 5.	N/A	3.9.8
3.9.7, RPV Water Level - New Fuel or Control Rods			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.9.7	3/4.9.8
A.2	Deletes "while in OPERATIONAL CONDITION 5" from the Applicability since the Specification deals only with handling new fuel assemblies or control rods, and the only MODE where it is possible to move new fuel assemblies or handle control rods within the reactor pressure vessel is MODE 5.	N/A	3.9.8
3.9.8, Residual Heat Removal - High Water Level			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.9.8	3/4.9.11.1
A.2	Requires only that loading of irradiated fuel assemblies into the reactor pressure vessel be suspended versus CTS requirement that all operations involving an increase in the reactor decay heat load be suspended, since this is the only practical method of increasing the reactor decay heat load.	3.9.8 Required Action B.1	3.9.11.1 Action a
A.3	Enhances presentation by requiring actions to be immediately initiated to restore secondary containment boundary (completing the actions as soon as possible) in lieu of current requirement to establish within 4 hours (initiating the actions as soon as possible).	3.9.8 Required Actions B.2, B.3, and B.4	3.9.11.1 Action a
A.4	Replaces the use of the defined term SECONDARY CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY with the essential elements of that definition.	3.9.8 Required Actions B.2, B.3, and B.4	3.9.11.1 Action a

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.9 - REFUELING OPERATIONS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
A.5	Deletes the footnote that states the normal or emergency power source may be inoperable for the RHR pump since it duplicative of the ITS definition of OPERABILITY.	1.1 OPERABLE- OPERABILITY definition	LCO 3.9.11.1 footnote #
3.9.9, Residual Heat Removal - Low Water Level			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	3.9.9	3/4.9.11.2
A.2	Deletes the footnote that states the normal or emergency power source may be inoperable for the RHR pump since it duplicative of the ITS definition of OPERABILITY.	1.1 OPERABLE- OPERABILITY definition	LCO 3.9.11.2 footnote #
Current Specification 3/4.9.4, Decay Time			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Current Specification 3/4.9.5, Communications			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Current Specification 3/4.9.6, Crane and Hoist			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Current Specification 3/4.9.7, Crane Travel			

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.9 - REFUELING OPERATIONS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.10 - SPECIAL OPERATIONS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
3.10.1, Reactor Mode Switch Interlock Testing			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised renumbering.	3.10.1	Table 1.2 footnote #, 3.9.1 footnote ##
3.10.2, Single Control Rod Withdrawal - Hot Shutdown			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised renumbering.	3.10.2	Table 1.2 footnote ***
3.10.3, Single Control Rod Withdrawal - Cold Shutdown			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised renumbering.	3.10.3	3/4.9.10.1, Table 1.2 footnotes ## and ***
A.2	Deletes statements that require compliance with the Specification "until a control rod and associated control rod drive mechanism are reinstalled and the control rod is fully inserted in the core," since such statements are fundamentally true for all Specifications and do not need to be stated in each individual Specification.	N/A	LCO 3.9.10.1, 4.9.10.1
A.3	Since the MODE 4 requirements for SRM OPERABILITY and Surveillance testing are adequate without explicit reference to them, the CTS 3.9.10.1.b and 4.9.10.1.b references are redundant to the current and proposed requirement, and therefore, have been deleted.	N/A	LCO 3.9.10.1.b, 4.9.10.1.b
A.4	CTS 3.9.10.1.c.1 and CTS 3.9.10.1.c.2 refer to an exception to the current normal SDM requirements, which requires additional margin for immovable control rods. ITS 3.10.3 does not explicitly include the last half of existing c.1 or any of the existing c.2, but only identifies that the withdrawn rod is considered to be the "highest worth control rod," which in the CTS definition and in the ITS definition of SHUTDOWN MARGIN is assumed to be fully withdrawn.	N/A	LCO 3.9.10.1.c.1, LCO 3.9.10.1.c.2

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.10 - SPECIAL OPERATIONS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
A.5	Separates the CTS 3.9.10.1 ACTION into two ACTIONS, dependent on whether the affected control rod is insertable or not. ITS 3.10.3 ACTIONS are a more detailed presentation of the existing requirement to "initiate action to satisfy the above requirements."	3.10.3 ACTIONS	3.9.10.1 Action
A.6	Four new Notes have been added for clarity in ITS 3.10.3. The ITS 3.10.3 ACTIONS Note has been added to clarify that the requirement to enter the applicable condition of the affected Specification applies for each of the affected Specifications. ITS 3.10.3 Required Action A.1 Note 1 has been added to clarify that if an affected Specifications ACTIONS state to fully insert all insertable control rods, this includes placing the reactor mode switch in the Shutdown position. ITS 3.10.3 Required Action A.1 Note 2 has been added to clarify that this Required Action is only applicable if the requirement not met is an LCO, since it is written only for an LCO, not a "requirement." ITS SR 3.10.3.2 Note has been added clarifying that if proposed SR 3.10.3.1 is satisfied for ITS 3.10.3.c.1 requirements, then ITS SR 3.10.3.2 is not required to be performed.	3.10.3 ACTIONS Note, 3.10.3 Required Action A.1 Notes 1 and 2, SR 3.10.3.2 Note	N/A
3.10.4, Single Control Rod Drive Removal - Refueling			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised renumbering.	3.10.4	3/4.9.10.1
A.2	Deletes statements that require compliance with the Specification "until a control rod and associated control rod drive mechanism are reinstalled and the control rod is fully inserted in the core," since such statements are fundamentally true for all Specifications and do not need to be stated in each individual Specification.	N/A	LCO 3.9.10.1, 4.9.10.1
A.3	Since the MODE 5 requirements for SRM OPERABILITY and Surveillance testing are adequate without explicit reference to them, the CTS 3.9.10.1.b and 4.9.10.1.b references are redundant to the current and proposed requirement, and therefore, have been deleted.	N/A	LCO 3.9.10.1.b, 4.9.10.1.b
A.4	CTS 3.9.10.1.c.1 and CTS 3.9.10.1.c.2 refer to an exception to the current normal SDM requirements, which requires additional margin for immovable control rods. ITS 3.10.4 does not explicitly include the last half of existing c.1 or any of the existing c.2, but only identifies that the withdrawn rod is considered to be the "highest worth control rod," which in the CTS definition and in the ITS definition of SHUTDOWN MARGIN is assumed to be fully withdrawn.	N/A	LCO 3.9.10.1.c.1, LCO 3.9.10.1.c.2

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.10 - SPECIAL OPERATIONS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
A.5	Added a MODE 5 Applicability requirement in ITS 3.10.4 ("with LCO 3.9.5 not met") that is derived from the intent of CTS 3.9.10.1, which says "the associated control rod drive mechanism may be removed from ... the reactor pressure vessel..." When the control rod drive mechanism is removed, ITS 3.9.5, which requires all withdrawn control rods to be OPERABLE, is not met.	LCO 3.10.4	LCO 3.9.10.1
A.6	Adds an alternative Required Action (which results in effectively exiting this Special Operations LCO and restores operation consistent with normal requirements for failure to meet the LCOs which were suspended by the Special Operations LCO) to initiate action to fully insert all control rods immediately, in lieu of meeting the requirements of the LCO.	3.10.4 Required Action A.2.1	N/A
3.10.5, Multiple Control Rod Withdrawal - Refueling			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised renumbering.	3.10.5	3/4.9.10.2
A.2	Deletes statements that require compliance with the Specification "until all control rods and control rod drive mechanisms are reinstalled and all control rods are inserted in the core," since such statements are fundamentally true for all Specifications and do not need to be stated in each individual Specification.	N/A	LCO 3.9.10.2, 4.9.10.2.1
A.3	Since the MODE 5 requirements for SRM OPERABILITY and Surveillance testing are adequate without explicit reference to them, the CTS 3.9.10.2.b and 4.9.10.2.1.b references are redundant to the current and proposed requirement, and therefore, have been deleted.	N/A	LCO 3.9.10.2.b, 4.9.10.2.1.b
A.4	Deletes redundant references, since the current MODE 5 requirements for SHUTDOWN MARGIN (SDM) in CTS 3.1.1 and Surveillance testing in CTS 4.1.1 are adequate without explicit reference to them.	N/A	LCO 3.9.10.2.c, 4.9.10.2.1.c
A.5	Adds a MODE 5 Applicability requirement in ITS 3.10.5 ("with LCO 3.9.4 or LCO 3.9.5 not met") is derived from the intent of CTS 3.9.10.2, which says "Any number of control rods and/or control rod drive mechanisms may be removed from the core and/or reactor pressure vessel..." During the performance of these activities, ITS 3.9.4 (which requires each control rod full-in position indication channel for each control rod to be OPERABLE), and ITS 3.9.5 (which requires all withdrawn control rods to be OPERABLE) are not met.	LCO 3.10.5	LCO 3.9.10.2

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.10 - SPECIAL OPERATIONS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
A.6	Adds an alternative Required Action (which results in effectively exiting this Special Operations LCO and restores operation consistent with normal requirements for failure to meet the LCOs which were suspended by the Special Operations LCO) to initiate action to fully insert all control rods immediately, in lieu of meeting the requirements of the LCO.	3.10.5 Required Action A.3.1	N/A
3.10.6, Control Rod Testing - Operating			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised renumbering.	3.10.6	3/4.10.2
A.2	Includes the statement "The requirements of LCO 3.1.6, "Rod Pattern Control," may be suspended..." for use if the special test sequence deviates from the specified sequence of ITS 3.1.6. The proposed requirements also allow, if the capability exists, the special test sequence to be programmed into the RWM, with the RWM still considered OPERABLE, i.e., no exception to RWM OPERABILITY is needed. In addition, a new SR has been added to verify, prior to control rod movement, that the proper control rod sequence for the test has been input into the RWM.	LCO 3.10.6, LCO 3.10.6.a, SR 3.10.6.2	LCO 3.10.2
A.3	Deletes the verification of control rod movement by an individual "who is present at the reactor control console," since this is the only location one could actually see the first individual move the control rod.	N/A	LCO 3.10.2
A.4	Revises Applicability to clarify actual applicable conditions for the proposed LCO; ITS Applicability now includes "with LCO 3.1.6 not met" since this is the intent of when the LCO is to be used.	LCO 3.10.6	LCO 3.10.2
A.5	The CTS 3.10.2 Action, which requires the RWM to be Operable if the requirements of CTS 3.10.2 are not met, has been changed to require suspending the test and exception to the analyzed rod position sequence requirements.	3.10.6 ACTION A	3.10.2 Action
A.6	Adds a new Note ITS SR 3.10.6.1 Note), which that if ITS SR 3.10.6.2 is satisfied, then ITS SR 3.10.6.1 is not required to be met.	SR 3.10.6.1 Note	N/A

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.10 - SPECIAL OPERATIONS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
3.10.7, SDM Test - Refueling			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised renumbering.	3.10.7	3/4.10.3, 3.1.3.5 Actions b.1 and b.2
A.2	Deletes the exceptions in CTS 3.10.3 to CTS 3.9.1 and CTS 3.9.3. The exception to CTS 3.9.1 is not needed since in the ITS the corresponding Specification no longer requires the reactor mode switch to be locked in Refuel at all times while in MODE 5. The exception to CTS 3.9.3 cannot be used, since CTS 3.10.3 precludes all other CORE ALTERATIONS from taking place.	N/A	LCO 3.10.3
A.3	Since the MODE 5 requirements for SRM OPERABILITY and Surveillance testing are adequate without explicit reference to them, the CTS 3.10.3.a and 4.10.3.a references are redundant to the current and proposed requirement, and therefore, have been deleted.	N/A	LCO 3.10.3.a, 4.10.3.a
A.4	Delineates as specific requirements for SDM on MODE 5 the current requirements for APRM RPS requirements in MODE 5 and control rod coupling in MODE 5, since they are deleted as normal MODE 5 requirements. This change includes an appropriate ACTION and Surveillance Requirements.	LCO 3.10.7a , LCO 3.10.7.c, 3.10.7 ACTION A, SR 3.10.7.1, SR 3.10.7.5	3.1.3.6, 3.3.1
A.5	Revises Applicability to clarify actual applicable conditions. The MODE 5 Applicability addition (with reactor mode switch in startup/hot standby position) is derived from the intent of CTS 3.10.3, which says "The provisions of...Table 1.2 may be suspended to permit the reactor mode switch to be in the Startup position..."	LCO 3.10.7	LCO 3.10.3
A.6	Adds Notes for clarity; 1) ITS SR 3.10.7.2 Note has been added clarifying that if ITS SR 3.10.7.3 is satisfied for ITS LCO 3.10.7.b.1 requirements, then ITS SR 3.10.7.2 is not required to be met; and 2) ITS SR 3.10.7.3 Note has been added clarifying that if ITS SR 3.10.7.2 is satisfied for ITS LCO 3.10.7.b.2 requirements, then ITS SR 3.10.7.3 is not required to be met.	SR 3.10.7.2 Note, SR 3.10.7.3 Note	N/A
A.7	Deletes CTS 3.1.3.5 Action b.2, which provides actions if multiple control rod scram accumulators are inoperable in MODE 5, since the multiple, inoperable withdrawn control rod accumulator requirement is already covered by ITS 3.9.5.	N/A	3.1.3.5 Action b.2

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
SECTION 3.10 - SPECIAL OPERATIONS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
Current Specification 3/4.10.1, Primary Containment Integrity			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Current Specification 3/4.10.5, Oxygen Concentration			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Current Specification 3/4.10.6, Training Startups			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Current Specification 3/4.10.8, Suppression Chamber Water Temperature (Unit 1 only)			
A.1	This exception is no longer needed at LaSalle 1 since all low power PHYSICS TESTS and the Startup Test Program have been completed.	N/A	3/4.10.8

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
CHAPTER 4.0 - DESIGN FEATURES

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	4.0	5.0
A.2	Deletes the Low Population Zone figure since a description of the area has been provided.	4.1.2	Figure 5.1.2-1
A.3	Moves the requirement to maintain limits on component cyclic and transient stresses.	5.5.5	5.7
A.4	(Unit 2 only) Deletes the requirement that k_{eff} for new fuel for the first core loading stored dry in the spent fuel storage racks not exceed 0.95 when flooded with water, since LaSalle Unit 2 has completed the first core loading.	N/A	5.6.1.2

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
CHAPTER 5.0 - ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

DOC #	SUMMARY	ITS SECTION	CTS SECTION
5.1, Responsibility			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	5.1	6.1.A.2, 6.1.B, Figure 6.1-3
A.2	Adds the defueled condition to the MODES or other specified conditions in which an individual with an SRO or RO license is designated to assume the control room command function, consistent with current plant practice.	5.1.2	Figure 6.1-3 footnote (c)
5.2, Organization			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	5.2	6.1.A, 6.1.C, Figure 6.1-3
A.2	Replaces the term "health physics" with the equivalent term "radiation protection."	5.2.1.d	6.1.A.4
A.3	Deletes the footnote that specifically disallows any shift crew position to be unmanned upon shift change because an oncoming shift crewman scheduled to come on duty is late or absent, since the requirement in this footnote is covered by the wording in ITS 5.2.2.c.	5.2.2.b	Figure 6.1-3 footnote (a)
5.3, Unit Staff Qualifications			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	5.3	6.1.D
5.4, Procedures			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	5.4	6.2.A, 6.2.C, 6.2.D, 6.2.E

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
CHAPTER 5.0 - ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

A.2	Deletes specific requirements for written procedures to implement the Station Security Plan and the Generating Station Emergency Response Plan since they are also required by 10 CFR 50.54(p) and 10 CFR 50, Appendix E.	N/A	6.2.A.c, 6.2.A.d
A.3	Deletes specific requirement for written procedures for ODCM implementation since it is covered by a more generic item, ITS 5.4.1.d, which requires this activity for all Programs and Manuals.	5.4.1.d	6.2.A.f
A.4	Deletes specific requirement that drills of the emergency procedures be conducted at frequencies as specified in the Generating Station Emergency Response Plan, and that certain communications link are tested in the course of a year, since they are already required by 10 CFR 50, Appendix E.	N/A	6.2.E
5.5, Programs and Manuals			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	5.5	1.27, 4.0.5, 4.8.1.1.2.c, 3/4.11.1.1, 3/4.11.2.1, 5.7, 6.2.F, 6.8
A.2	A statement of applicability of SR 3.0.2 has been added to CTS 6.2.F.1 (ITS 5.5.2), a statement of applicability of SR 3.0.3 has been added to CTS 4.0.5 (ITS 5.5.7.c), and a statement of applicability of SR 3.0.2 and SR 3.0.3 has been added to CTS 6.2.F.4 (ITS 5.5.4) and CTS 4.8.1.1.2.c (ITS 5.5.10).	5.5.2, 5.5.4, 5.5.7.c, 5.5.10	6.2.F.1, 4.0.5, 6.2.F.4, 4.8.1.1.2.c
A.3	Deletes the statement that exempts the requirements of CTS 4.0.2 from applying to the frequencies specified in the Primary Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program; the statement is redundant since in the ITS, the ITS Section 3.0 requirements only applies to ITS Sections 3.1 through 3.10.	N/A	6.2.F.7

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
CHAPTER 5.0 - ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

A.4	The CTS states that the test frequencies for the Ventilation Filter Testing Program shall be in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.52, Rev. 2, dated March 1978. The Regulatory Guide requires certain tests to be performed every 18 months. However, this Frequency is being changed to 24 months, as described in Discussion of Changes LD.2 and LD.3. Therefore, the actual test frequencies are being added into ITS 5.5.8.	5.5.8	6.2.F.8
A.5	Identifies additional definitions of frequencies "Biennially or every two years" and "Every 48 months" for the Inservice Testing Program.	5.5.7.a	N/A
A.6	Deletes redundant restatement that all applicable requirements must be met.	N/A	4.0.5.d
A.7	Places the diesel fuel oil testing requirements in a program, with a general program statement added as ITS 5.5.10. A statement of applicability of SR 3.0.2 and SR 3.0.3 is added to clarify that the allowances for Surveillance Frequency extensions do apply, since these SRs are not normally applied to Frequencies identified in the Administrative Controls Chapter.	5.5.10	4.8.1.1.2.c
A.8	Places the liquid holdup tank requirements and the explosive gas mixture requirements in a program, with a general program statement added as ITS 5.5.9. A statement of applicability of SR 3.0.2 and SR 3.0.3 is added to clarify that the allowances for Surveillance Frequency extensions do apply, since these SRs are not normally applied to Frequencies identified in the Administrative Controls Chapter.	5.5.9	3/4.11.1.1, 3/4.11.2.1
A.9	Deletes requirement for the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) to be approved by the Commission prior to implementation, since it has already been approved by the NRC.	N/A	6.8.1
A.10	Deletes reference to a CTS requirement that has been deleted in the ITS.	N/A	6.8.2.a
A.11	Revises reference from 10 CFR 20.106 to 10 CFR 20.1302, consistent with the recent revision to 10 CFR 20.	5.5.1.c.1.(b)	6.8.2.a.2)
A.12	Editorially changes the CTS designation of "CREF System" to "emergency makeup filter units (EMUs)." Furthermore, EMUs, Control Room Recirculation Filters (CRRFs), and Auxiliary Electric Equipment Room Recirculation Filters (AEERRFs) are considered subsystems of the Control Room Area Filtration (CRAF) System.	5.5.8	6.2.F.8
A.13	Added statement that the testing of filter trains following painting, fire, or chemical release is only required if the painting, fire, or chemical release is significant.	5.5.8	6.2.F.8

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
CHAPTER 5.0 - ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

5.6, Reporting Requirements			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	5.6	Table 3.3.7.5-1, 6.6
A.2	Requires submittal of reports in accordance with 10 CFR 50.4, versus the CTS requirement that reports be submitted to the Regional Office.	5.6	6.6
A.3	Deletes subtitles of reports since each individual report is named rather than grouped under subtitles.	5.6	6.6
A.4	Allows a single report submittal to satisfy the Occupational Exposure Radiation reporting requirement for both units. In addition, clarifies that the Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report submittal should combine only those sections common to both units.	5.6.1, 5.6.2	6.6.A.2, 6.6.A.3 footnote *
A.5	Adds another name (electronic dosimeter) for a new type of pocket dosimeter currently in use at LaSalle 1 and 2 to estimate the whole body doses required to be reported. In addition, the reference to 10 CFR 20 has been modified to reflect the proper reference to 10 CFR 20, based on the recent revision to 10 CFR 20.	5.6.1	6.6.A.2
A.6	Deletes the requirement to report the results of specific activity analysis in which the primary coolant exceeded CTS 3.4.5 limits, since it is included in the LER requirements to report fuel cladding failures that exceed expected values or that are caused by unexpected factors, i.e., being seriously degraded.	N/A	6.6.A.2
A.7	Requires the Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report submittal to be "in accordance with 10 CFR 50.36a," in lieu of the current requirement to submit the report "prior to May 1 of each year," since compliance with 10 CFR 50 requirements is required by the LaSalle 1 and 2 Operating Licenses.	5.6.3	6.6.A.4
A.8	Deletes duplicate requirement; i.e., the general statement to submit special reports within the time period specified for each report.	N/A	6.6.C

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
CHAPTER 5.0 - ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

A.9	Deletes the reference to requirements for units with separate radwaste systems, with respect to the Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report, since at LaSalle 1 and 2, the radwaste systems are common to both units.	N/A	6.6.A.4 footnote **
5.7, High Radiation Area			
A.1	Editorial changes, reformatting, and revised numbering.	5.7	6.1.1
A.2	The reference to 10 CFR 20 has been modified to reflect the proper reference to 10 CFR 20, based on the recent revision to 10 CFR 20.	5.7.1, 5.7.4	6.1.1.1, 6.1.1.4 (including footnote *)
Current Specification 6.1.E/F, Training			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Current Specification 6.2.B, Radiation Protection Program			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Current Specification 6.3, Reportable Event Action			
A.1	Removes Reportable Event notification requirements for the Technical Specifications, since these requirements are contained in 10 CFR 50.72 and 10 CFR 50.73.	N/A	6.3.a
Current Specification 6.4, Safety Limit Violation			

TABLE A - ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES MATRIX
CHAPTER 5.0 - ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

A.1	Removes the Safety Limit Violation requirements, as they relate to NRC notification and permission to restart the unit are contained in and based upon the requirements located in 10 CFR 50.36(c)(1), 10 CFR 50.72, and 10 CFR 50.73.	N/A	6.4
Current Specification 6.5, Plant Operating Records			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Current Specification 6.7, Process Control Program			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Current Specification 6.9, Major Changes to Radioactive Waste Treatment Systems			
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE