UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

March 12, 1998

Ms. Jennifer M. Helm
c/o AGRA Earth and Environmental, Inc.
4137 South 500 West
Salt Lake City, UT 84123

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO YOUR FEBRUARY 17, 1998, LETTER TO MR. CHARLES J. HAUGHNEY

Dear Ms. Helm:

I am responding to your February 17, 1998, letter to Mr. Charles J. Haughney, Acting Director of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Spent Fuel Project Office. I am the Senior Project Manager assigned to the review of Private Fuel Storage, L.L.C.'s (PFS's) application for a license to construct and operate an away-from-reactor independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) on the reservation of the Skull Valley Band of Goshute Indians.

As you may know, Subpart E of 10 CFR Part 72 contains the siting evaluation factors NRC considers in reviewing ISFSI applications. Section 72.102 is the regulatory requirement regarding the evaluation of a site's seismic hazard. For sites west of the Rocky Mountain Front, such as the PFS site, applicants must evaluate the seismic hazard by the techniques of 10 CFR Part 100, Appendix A. Appendix A requires applicants to complete a thorough geologic investigation of the site and its surroundings, including a tabulation of any capable faults that could cause significant ground motion at the site. For all capable faults, the applicant must assess the vibratory ground motion at the site for the most severe earthquake postulated for each fault, placing the earthquake at the closest approach of the given fault to the site. The earthquake producing the largest ground motion at the site is the design earthquake for the facility. The applicant must provide analyses demonstrating that the facility can withstand the design earthquake without unacceptable radiological consequences to workers or the public.

Your understanding of the NRC definition of a capable fault is essentially correct, and the staff sees merit to your proposal that the Stansbury fault is capable. Appendix 2D of the Safety Analysis Report (SAR), submitted as part of the PFS application, states that the Stansbury fault is considered a capable fault. Moreover, the applicant states that the Stansbury fault produces the maximum credible ground motion at the site, and thus is considered as the source of the design earthquake. The staff is presently reviewing the SAR to ensure that the proposed facility meets all regulatory requirements.

Regarding the other geologic hazards you mention, such as fault displacement, liquefaction, and landsliding, please rest assured that these and other natural phenomena capable of affecting the facility are being considered in the staff's review of the SAR. Chapter 2 of NRC document NUREG-1567, "Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Facilities," available in draft form, details the staff's procedure for reviewing the site characteristics of proposed spent fuel storage facilities against regulatory requirements. This document may be obtained from the Government Printing Office; it is also available in the NRC Local Public Document Room at the University of Utah's Marriott Library in Salt Lake City.

98 57



The NRC staff appreciates you taking the time to express your concerns to us. Please be assured that the Commission will not grant a license unless it is satisfied that public health and safety will not be adversely affected by that licensing action. If I may be of further assistance, please feel free to contact me at (301) 415-8518.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY /s/

Mark S. Delligatti, Senior Project Manager
Spent Fuel Project Office
Office of Nuclear Material Safety
and Safeguards

Docket 72-22

cc: PFS Service Lists

Distribution: w/ incoming

Dockets	NRC File Center	PUBLIC	NMSS R/F	SFPO R/F
SFLS R/F	SShankman	FSturz	EEaston	PEng
LKokajko	EShum	DReid	MGBailey	SMcDuffie
TJohnson, NMSS	STurk, OGC	CMarco, OGC	TMadden, OCA	JThoma, EDO
SDroggittis, OSP	SGagner, OPA	VEverett, RIV	BSpitzberg, RIV	

OFC	SFPO		SFPO	E	SFPO		SFPO	N		
NAME	MSDelligatti:dd		VLTharpe		EJLeeds		CJHaughney			
DATE	03/12/98		03/12/98		03/13/98		3/12/98		/ /98	

C = COVER E = COVER & ENCLOSURE N = NO COPY

3/12/98:dd OFFICIAL RECORD COPY G:\PFS\HELMRES.MSD

Private Fuel Storage

cc:

Mr. John D. Parkyn
Chairman of the Board
Private Fuel Storage, L.L.C.
P. O. Box C4010
La Crosse, WI 54602-4010

Mr. Scott Northard
Project Manager
Private Fuel Storage, L.L.C.
c/o NSP, 414 Nicollet Mall, RS&
Minneapolis, MN 55401

The Honorable Michael O. Leavitt
Governor of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0601

Mr. Leon D. Bear, Chairman
Skull Valley Band of Goshute Indians
P. O. Box 150
Grantsville, UT 84029

Mr. Jack Gerard
McClure, Gerard and Neunschwander
201 Maryland Avenue, NE
Washington, DC 20002

Dr. Diane R. Nielson, Executive Director
Utah Department of Environmental Quality
16 North 1950 West
Salt Lake City, UT 84116

Mr. David Allison, Superintendent
Uintah and Ouray Agency
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Dept. of Interior
Fort Duchesne, UT 84026

OGC LIST OF THIRD PARTIES FOR CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Jay E. Silberg, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
2300 N Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037-8007

Jean Belille, Esq.
Land and Water Fund of the Rockies
2260 Baseline Road, Suite 200
Boulder, CO 80302

Clayton J. Parr, Esq.
Parr, Waddoups, Brown, Gee & Loveless
185 S. State St., Suite 1300
P.O. Box 11019
Salt Lake City, UT 84147-0019

Michael M. Later, Esq.
Parr, Waddoups, Brown, Gee & Loveless
185 S. State St., Suite 1300
P.O. Box 11019
Salt Lake City, UT 84147-0019

John Paul Kennedy, Sr., Esq.
1385 Yale Ave.
Salt Lake City, UT 84105

Danny Quintana, Esq.
Danny Quintana & Associates, P.C.
50 West Broadway
Fourth Floor
Salt Lake City, UT 84101

Denise Chancellor, Esq.
Fred G. Nelson, Esq.
160 East 300 South, 5th Floor
P.O. Box 140873
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0873

Connie Nakahara, Esq.
Utah Dept. of Environmental Quality
168 North 1950 West
P.O. Box 144810
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-4810

Diane Curran, Esq.
Harmon, Curran & Spielberg
2001 "S" Street, NW
Suite 430
Washington, DC 20009

Professor Richard Wilson
Department of Physics
Harvard University
Cambridge, MA 02138

Martin Kaufman, Esq.
Atlantic Legal Foundation
205 E. 42nd Street, 9th Floor
New York, NY 10017

2/23 Copies to: T-2-22
Leeds
Deeligatti
Eng
McDuffie
STurk
CMarco
Docket

c/o AGRA Earth & Environmental, Inc.
4137 South 500 West
Salt Lake City, UT 84123
(801) 266-0720
February 17, 1998

Mr. Charles Haughney, Acting Director
Spent Fuel Project Office, Mailstop O6F18
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852-2738

Mr. Haughney:

Enclosed please find a copy of a letter that I recently sent to Connie Nakahara at the Office of High-Level Waste Opposition. The letter describes my concerns about the proposed Temporary High-Level Nuclear Waste Repository in Skull Valley, Utah from the perspective of earthquake hazards potentially generated on the Stansbury fault. If you have any questions regarding this letter or my research on the Stansbury fault, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

Jennifer M. Helm
Geologist

9802250279 5pp

c/o AGRA Earth & Environmental, Inc.
4137 South 500 West
Salt Lake City, Utah 84123
February 13, 1998

Connie Nakahara, Director
Office of High-Level Waste Opposition
Dept. of Environmental Quality
Box 144880
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-4880

Ms. Nakahara:

I wish to express my concerns regarding the "Temporary" High-Level Nuclear Waste Repository proposed to be constructed on the Goshute Indian Reservation in Skull Valley, Tooele County, Utah. My position regarding the facility is neither as an advocate for its construction nor as an opponent against it. However, I have studied the geology of the area and would like to bring a few matters to the attention of your group and others involved with the hearing and permitting processes and potential construction of the proposed facility.

My background is as follows: Between 1991 and 1994 I was a graduate student at the University of Utah in the Department of Geology and Geophysics. I studied under Dr. Ronald Bruhn and obtained my M.S. Geology degree in 1994. My thesis project focused on faulting along the Stansbury fault, which borders the east side of Skull Valley. During the summers of 1992 and 1993 I conducted detailed geologic fieldwork in the Stansbury Range and eastern Skull Valley to study the characteristics of the Stansbury fault, and completed the most thorough investigation of that fault to date. Currently I am a staff geologist with AGRA Earth & Environmental, Inc. in Salt Lake City, where for the past 2.5 years I have participated in and/or managed a variety of engineering geology and related projects, including fault hazards investigations. I represent myself, and my views are not necessarily those of my employer nor of the university.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) considers a fault to be "capable" of producing an earthquake in the relatively near future if it 1) has ruptured within the past 35,000 years; 2) has a recurrence interval of less than 500,000 years; 3) shows evidence of macroseismicity; and/or 4) is linked to a capable fault (Kramer, 1996). My research of the Stansbury fault suggests very strongly that the fault is "capable." My investigation led me to the following conclusions regarding the fault (Helm, 1994 and 1995):

- The Stansbury fault offsets alluvial fans of Quaternary age (mid-Pleistocene?, or about 800,000 years, to Holocene, <10,000 years).

- The Stansbury fault is approximately 45 km (28 mi) in length and has two structural segments which probably are rupture segments. The segment boundary occurs at Pass Canyon near the center of the range.

- The average vertical separation rate since mid-Miocene time (about 15 million years ago) is estimated to be 0.07 mm/yr (0.003 in/yr) for the north fault segment. No rate has been determined for the south segment, but some evidence suggests it may be slightly higher.

- The north segment has not ruptured since the time of the Lake Bonneville high stand 14,500 ^{14}C yr B.P. (approx. 15,000 to 18,000 years ago). No definitive evidence constrains the timing of the most recent event on the south segment, but some evidence suggests it may have ruptured more recently than the north segment.

- Assuming strain has accumulated at a constant rate for 18,000 years, the next surface rupture event is anticipated to produce a scarp at least 1.25 m (4.1 ft) high, correlating with a magnitude M_S = 6.8 to 6.9 earthquake.

Although my investigation yielded no maximum constraining date for the most recent faulting event on the Stansbury fault, some evidence suggests the south segment ruptured about the time of the Bonneville high stand. I suspect that the most recent event on the north segment occurred on the order of 20,000 to 30,000 years ago. Additional studies could constrain the timing of the most recent event(s) better, if necessary.

If faulting has indeed occurred within the time-frame I propose, the fault is, by definition #1, "capable." In any event, the recurrence interval on the Stansbury fault is very much less than 500,000 years: The alluvial fans cut by the fault are on the order of 500,000 years old, and fault scarps cutting these fans are tens of meters high indicating numerous surface-rupture events have occurred within 500,000 years. Thus, definition #2 is satisfied. Lastly, although both macro- and microseismicity are limited in the area, the largest earthquake on historic record within about 50 km (30 mi) of the Stansbury fault, an M_L 4.3 in 1915, may have occurred on the Stansbury fault itself (Arabasz et al., 1989), suggesting definition #3 may be satisfied. All in all, the Stansbury fault adequately fits the NRC's definition of a "capable" fault, and my master's thesis work indicates the fault could generate a minimum M_S 6.8 to 6.9 earthquake.

Specific hazards related to a large seismic event on the Stansbury fault, which could strongly affect facilities within Skull Valley, include the following:

- surface fault rupture and associated displacements
- horizontal and vertical ground accelerations
- liquefaction
- tectonic subsidence and/or uplift
- slope failures such as landsliding

In addition, other geologic hazards should also be assessed prior to the proposed facility being permitted, including but not limited to debris flows, debris floods, rock fall, expansive soils and groundwater recharge.

It may be possible for the proposed "Temporary" High-Level Nuclear Waste Repository to be constructed to avoid and/or withstand these hazards. However, if the facility is built it is imperative that it be constructed adequately to survive fault-related and other potential geologic hazards.

I do know that the geology of the Skull Valley site has been considered to some degree by Private Fuel Storage, L.L.C., the consortium interested in storing nuclear waste there. I understand that a firm called Stone and Webster has conducted a geologic investigation of the site with respect to the proposed facility. One of their geologists contacted me in December 1996 to ask about my geological understanding of the vicinity. Dr. Don Currey of the University of Utah Geography department mentioned that he also was contacted. However, I am unaware of the extent of the geologic investigation conducted by Stone and Webster.

If I can provide additional information, please feel free to contact me.

Respectfully,

Jennifer M. Helm
Geologist

cc:
Mr. Lee Allison, State Geologist and Director, Utah Geological Survey
Dr. Walter Arabasz, Director, Univ. of Utah Seismograph Stations
Mr. Leon Bear, Tribal Chairman, Skull Valley Band of Goshutes
Dr. Ron Bruhn, Professor, Univ. of Utah Dept. of Geology and Geophysics
Mr. Charles Haughney, Acting Director, Spent Fuel Project Office, NRC
Mr. John D. Parkyn, Chairman of the Board, Private Fuel Storage, L.L.C.

REFERENCES

Arabasz, W.J., Pechmann, J.C., and Brown, E.D., 1989, Evaluation of seismicity relevant to the proposed siting of a superconducting supercollider (SSC) in Tooele County, Utah: Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Miscellaneous Publication 89-1, Figures 3.6 and 3.7.

Helm, J.M., and Bruhn, R.L., 1995, Quaternary faulting in the Stansbury fault zone, Tooele County, Utah, *in* Lund, W.R., ed., Environmental & Engineering Geology of the Wasatch Front Region: Utah Geological Association Publication 24, p. 31-44.

Helm, J.M., 1994, Structure and tectonic geomorphology of the Stansbury fault zone, Tooele County, Utah, and the effect of crustal structure on Cenozoic faulting patterns [M.S. thesis]: Salt Lake City, Utah, University of Utah, 128 p.

Kramer, Steven, 1996, Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering, Prentice Hall, Chapter 4.2.1.1. "Fault Activity," p. 109.