

Chief: P GAGE

Facility/Task: W3 EX

Task Start Date: 10/9/00

	ITEM DESCRIPTION	DUE DATE	INIT	DATE
0	Exam/Inspection Schedule Agreement (C.1.a;C.2.a&b)	Apr 12, 2000	JLP	4/4/2000
1	NRC Staff & Fac. Contact Assigned (C.1.c;C.2.e)	Apr 12, 2000	JLP	4/4/2000
2	Facility contact briefed on security & other issues (C.2.c)	Apr 12, 2000	JLP	4/4/2000
3	Corp. Notification Letter Sent (C.2.d) (Exams only)	Apr 12, 2000	<i>Q</i>	4/20/00
3a	Inspection Announcement Letter Sent (PIR & LORT if req'd)	Aug 25, 2000	N/A	N/A
4	Task Expectations, Issues, & Standards Discussed w/ BC	Jul 11, 2000	<i>Q</i>	6/21/00
5#	[Reference Material Due (C.1.d;C.3.c)]	Jun 11, 2000	<i>Q</i>	6/13/00
6#	Integrated Exam Outlines Due (C.1.d&e;C.3.d)	Jun 11, 2000	<i>Q</i>	6/13/00
7#	Outlines reviewed by NRC & Feedback Sent (c.2.h;C.3.e)	Jun 25, 2000	<i>Q</i>	6/22/00
8#	Preliminary Applications Due (C.1.j;C.2.g;ES202)	Sep 9, 2000	<i>Q</i>	9/14/00
9#	Draft Exams w/ Doc./Ref. Due (C.1.d/e/f;C.3.d)	Aug 10, 2000	<i>Q</i>	8/25/00
10#	Peer Reviewer Initials As Reviewed All Parts*	Aug 20, 2000	<i>Q</i>	8/30/00
11#	NRC Supervisor. Initials Approving for Fac. Rev. (C.2.h;C.3.f)*	Aug 20, 2000	<i>Q</i>	9/21
12#	Exams Reviewed w/ Fac. (C.1.h;C.2.f&h;C.3.g)	Aug 20, 2000	<i>Q</i>	9/25/00
13#	Final Appl. Due & Assign. Sheet Prepared (C.1.j;C.2.h;ES202)	Sep 25, 2000	<i>Q</i>	10/2/00
14#	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams (C.2.i;C.3.h)*	Oct 2, 2000	<i>Q</i>	10/4/00
15#	Final Appl. Rec'd & Waivers Sent (C.2.g)	Oct 2, 2000	<i>Q</i>	10/2/00
16#	Proctor Rules Reviewed w/ Fac. & Written Authorized (C.3.k)	Oct 2, 2000	<i>Q</i>	10/2/00
17	Exam/Insp Material to Team (C.3.i)	Oct 2, 2000	<i>Q</i>	10/2/00
18#	Fac. graded exam & Comments Rec'd	Oct 21, 2000	<i>Q</i>	10/16/00
19#	NRC Written Grading Completed	Oct 24, 2000	<i>Q</i>	10/19/00
20#	Examiners Finished Grading Op. Tests	Oct 24, 2000	<i>Q</i>	10/19/00
21#	NRC Ch. Ex. Review Completed	Nov 3, 2000	<i>Q</i>	10/26/00
22	NRC BC Review Completed*	Nov 4, 2000	<i>Q</i>	10/26/00
23#	RPS/IP # Examinees Updated Before Report Issued	Nov 9, 2000	<i>Q</i>	11/9/00
24	License/Denials Signed & Report Issued	Nov 9, 2000	<i>Q</i>	10/26/00
25	Package Closed Out	Nov 30, 2000	<i>Q</i>	1/18/00
	Final Inspection Report Issued, Exam Package to OLA, Facility. Contact Notified of Results			

Not required for inspections, except as noted.

* Note Supervisor/Peer initials required.

[] Required NRC-auth. exams only.

When complete, for exams, add to pkg & fwd copy to BC, for insp, fwd orig'l to BC.

Last revised 4/4/00.

S:\DRS\OB\Boilerplate\MISC-BOX\assignment-sheet.wpd

Facility: Waterford III		Date of Examination: 10/10/00		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	✓	not	M
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically or randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all knowledge and ability categories are appropriately sampled.	✓	not	M
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	✓	not	M
	d. Assess whether the repetition from previous examination outlines is excessive.	✓	not	M
2. S I M	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, and major transients.	✓	not	M
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)*, and scenarios will not be repeated over successive days.	✓	not	M
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	✓	not	M
3. W /	a. Verify that: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks, (2) no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination, (3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and (4) no more than 80% of any operating test is taken directly from the licensee's exam banks.	✓	not	M
	b. Verify that: (1) the tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301, (2) one task is conducted in a low-power or shutdown condition, (3) 40% of the tasks require the applicant to implement an alternate path procedure, (4) one in-plant task tests the applicant's response to an emergency or abnormal condition, and (5) the in-plant walk-through requires the applicant to enter the RCA.	✓	not	M
	c. Verify that the required administrative topics are covered, with emphasis on performance-based activities.	✓	not	M
	d. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on successive days.	✓	not	M
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	✓	not	M
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	✓	not	M
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	✓	not	M
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	✓	not	M
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	✓	not	M
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	✓	not	M
Author		Printed Name/Signature		Date
Facility Reviewer(*)		ARTHUR N. VEST JR. <i>Arthur N. Vest Jr.</i>		6/6/00
Chief Examiner		ROBERT W. FLETCHER <i>Robert W. Fletcher</i>		6-12-00
NRC Supervisor		RYAN LANTIER <i>Ryan Lantier</i> / Paul Hager <i>Paul Hager</i>		6/16/00
		JOHN L. PETERS <i>John L. Peters</i> / John Miller <i>John Miller</i>		6/30/00
(*) Not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.				

Facility: Waterford III		Date of Exam: 10/6/00		Exam Level: SRO			
Item Description				Initial			
				a	b*	c*	
1. Questions and answers technically accurate and applicable to facility				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WLF	MJ	
2. a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WLF	MJ	
3. RO/SRO overlap is no more than 75 percent, and SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.d of ES-401				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WLF	MJ	
4. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> the license exam was prepared by the NRC				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WLF	MJ	
5. Bank use meets limits (no more than 50 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right		Bank	Modified	New	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WLF	MJ
		15	0	85			
6. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right		Memory	C/A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WLF	MJ
		41	59				
7. References/handouts provided do not give away answers				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WLF	MJ	
8. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline; deviations are justified				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WLF	MJ	
9. Question psychometric quality and format meet ES, Appendix B, guidelines				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WLF	MJ	
10. The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WLF	MJ	
Printed Name / Signature				Date			
a. Author <u>ARTHUR N. VEST Jr. / Arthur N. Vest Jr.</u>				<u>8/23/00</u>			
b. Facility Reviewer(*) <u>ROBERT W. FLETCHER / Robert W. Fletcher</u>				<u>10/24/00</u>			
c. NRC Chief Examiner(*) <u>MANLANTZ / Manlantz</u>				<u>0/20/00 / 9/11/00</u>			
d. NRC Regional Supervisor(*) <u>SLP / SLP</u>				<u>9/21/00</u>			
Note: * The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for NRC-developed examinations; two independent NRC reviews are required. # See special instructions (Section E.2.c) for Items 1, 5, and 8.							

Facility: Waterford 3		Date of Examination: 10/10/00		Operating Test Number: 1	
1. GENERAL CRITERIA			Initials		
			a	b	c
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	✓	WVF	MJ	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	✓	WVF	MJ	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s) (see Section D.1.a).	✓	WVF	MJ	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between operating test categories is within acceptable limits.	✓	WVF	MJ	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	✓	WVF	MJ	
2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY A & B) CRITERIA			--	--	--
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	✓	WVF	MJ	
b.	The prescribed questions in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet the criteria in Attachment 1 of ES-301.	✓	WVF	MJ	
c.	Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity.	✓	WVF	MJ	
d.	At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified.	✓	WVF	MJ	
3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA			--	--	--
a.	The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	✓	WVF	MJ	
a. Author		Printed Name / Signature		Date	
		ARTHUR N. VEST JR. / Arthur N. Vest Jr.		8/23/00	
b. Facility Reviewer(*)		ROBERT W. FLEICHER / Robert W. Fleicher		8/24/00	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)		RYAN LANTZ / Ryan Lantz		8/30/00 / 9/11/00	
d. NRC Supervisor (*)		J. Pell / John Pell		9/21/00	
(*) The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

Facility: Waterford III Date of Exam: 10/10/00 Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3 / 4 Operating Test No.: 1				
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		Initials		
		a	b	c
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	MS
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	MS
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	MS
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	MS
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	MS
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	S
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	NA
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	S
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Any open simulator performance deficiencies have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	S
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.4 of ES-301.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	MS
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	MS
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	MS
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	MS
TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.4.D)		Actual Attributes	--	--
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	7/6/6/7	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO MS
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2/1/2/2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO MS
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	2/3/3/3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO MS
4.	Major transients (1-2)	2/2/2/2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO MS
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	1/1/1/1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO MS
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	2/0/1/2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO MS
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	2/2/3/3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO MS

OPERATING TEST NO.: 1

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number			
			1	2	3	4
RO	Reactivity	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Normal	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Instrument	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Component	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Major	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
As RO	Reactivity	1	1	1	1	3
	Normal	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Instrument	1	4	2,3	4	1
	Component	1	5	4	3,5	3
	Major	1	6,7	5,6	5,6	5,6
SRO-I	Reactivity	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Normal	1	1	1	1	3
	Instrument	1	2,4	2,3,5	2,4	1,4
	Component	1	3,5	4	3,5	2,3
	Major	1	6,7	5,6	5,6	5,6
As SRO	Reactivity	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Normal	1	1	1	1	3
	Instrument	1	2,4	2,3,5	2,4	1,4
	Component	1	3,5	4	3,5	2,3
	Major	1	6,7	5,6	5,6	5,6
SRO-U	Reactivity	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Normal	1	1	1	1	3
	Instrument	1	2,4	2,3,5	2,4	1,4
	Component	1	3,5	4	3,5	2,3
	Major	1	6,7	5,6	5,6	5,6

- Instructions: (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.

Author:

Chief Examiner:

Paul N. Vach

Paul N. Vach

Competencies	Applicant #1 RO/SRO-I/SRO-U				Applicant #2 RO/SRO-I/SRO-U				Applicant #3 RO/SRO-I/SRO-U			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms	4-7	2-6	2,5,6	1-3,5,6	2,3,5-7	1-6	2-6	2,4-6	2-7	2,4-6	3-6	1-6
Diagnose Events and Conditions	4-7	2-6	2,5,6	1,3,5,6	2,3,5-7	2-4,6	2-6	2,4-6	2-7	2,4-6	3-6	1-6
Understand Plant and System Response	1,4-7	1-6	1,2,5,6	1-3,5,6	2,3,5-7	1-6	1-6	1-6	1-7	1,2,4-6	1,3-6	1-6
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1,4-7	1-6	1,2,5,6	3,5,6	1-3,5-7	1-6	1,3-6	2,4-6	1,4-7	1-6	1,3-6	1-6
Operate Control Boards (2)	1,4-7		1,2,5,6	3,5,6	1,2,5-7	1,3-6		2,4-6		1-6	1,3-6	
Communicate and Interact With the Crew	1-7	1-6	1-6	1-6	1-7	1-6	1-6	1-6	1-7	1-6	1-6	1-6
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)		1-6					1-6		1-7			1-6
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)		2,4					2-4		2-4			1,2
Notes: (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.												

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author: _____

Chief Examiner: _____

Facility: Waterford 3		Date of Exam: 10/06/00		Exam Level: RO/SRO	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	✓	WV	SL		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	✓	WV	SL		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	✓	WV	SL		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in detail	✓	WV	SL		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	NA	WV	SL		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	✓	WV	SL		
Printed Name / Signature		Date			
a. Grader	ARTHUR N. VEST SR. / <i>Arthur N. Vest Sr.</i>		10/13/00		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	ROBERT W. FLETCHER / <i>Robert W. Fletcher</i>		10-13-00		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	Paul Gage / <i>Paul Gage</i>		10/19/00		
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	JL Pellet / <i>John Pellet</i>		10/19/00		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 10/01/2000 To 09/30/2001

Region: 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
10/10/2000	Waterford / 05000382 / 2000301	SROU - 4	SROI - 2	Admin	FFF	GAGE, PAUL C.
						GAGE, PAUL C. MCCRORY, STEPHEN L. MURPHY, MICHAEL E.

Sites: WAT

Orgs: ALL

Exam Author: ALL

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 10 OCT 00 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 10/10/00 - 10/14/00. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. <u>ARTHUR N. VEST JR.</u>	<u>SR. INSTRUCTOR / AUTHOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>5/6/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/12/00</u>
2. <u>DYNE K. CASSIDY</u>	<u>INSTRUCTOR / CO-AUTOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>5/8/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-12-00</u>
3. <u>ROBERT U. FLETCHER</u>	<u>GROUPS TRAINING SUPERVISOR / SUPERVISOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>5-8-00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-13-00</u>
4. <u>JIM M O'HERN</u>	<u>MANAGER, TRAIENT & EP</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6-13-00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-16-00</u>
5. <u>SCOTT P. WOLFE</u>	<u>CONTROL ROOM SUPERVISOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8-7-00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-13-00</u>
6. <u>ARTHUR K. CHESTER</u>	<u>RO/SRO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8-8-00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-13-00</u>
7. <u>KEVIN R. EMBURY</u>	<u>Chem Supv. / Environmental</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8-9-00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-13-00</u>
8. <u>KEVIN JONES</u>	<u>Simulator Support Supervisor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8-9-00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-16-00</u>
9. <u>ALYCE HALL</u>	<u>CRS / WRITER EXAM VALUATION</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8/14/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/13/00</u>
10. <u>GLENN McDONALD</u>	<u>Sim Support / HAND / SW MAINT.</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8/14/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/16/2000</u>
11. <u>MELISSA BECNEL</u>	<u>Sr. Secretary - Trng.</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8/24/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-13-00</u>
12. <u>SCOTT CHOPPY</u>	<u>AIC TECH</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/11/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-11-00</u>
13. <u>ROBERT GLAZE</u>	<u>INSTRUCTOR / SIMULATOR OPERATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/12/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-11-00</u>
14. <u>WILLIAM MCKENNEY</u>	<u>CONTROL ROOM SUPERVISOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/12/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/13/00</u>
15. <u>BRYAN PELLEGRIN</u>	<u>SHIFT TECHNICAL ADVISOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/12/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/14/00</u>

NOTES: 1 - EXAM SECURITY ISSUE DOCUMENTED ~~WF3-2000~~ VIA CONDITION REPORT WF3-2000-1183. NO OTHER EXAM SECURITY ISSUES EXIST.