

6ALS/RIV
From: Russell Wise (RXW)
To: ELW1 E. der Williamson, DI/RIV
Date: Wednesday, January 24, 1996 7:27 am
Subject: ~~ALLEGATION~~ RIV-95-A-00094-Forwarded

Forwarded mail received from: AR1:HMS2:WC1:PMQ
Len & Bob, Item 2 of the attachment answers the questions you had
regarding the terminal box. If you need anything else. Let me
know.

CC: RJK

Files: m0:MESSAGE, m1:~~ALLEG~~ANO

LL/7

DRS/RIV
From: Phil M. Qualls (PMQ)
To: TFS, WPA, TPG, CAV, ELW1, RXW
Date: Tuesday, January 23, 1996 6:00 pm
Subject: ~~ALLEGATION~~ RIV-95-A-0009 *J*

J Stetkey DRS/RIV ✓
Wang DRS/RIV ✓
T Gupton DRS/RIV ✓
C Vandenberg DRS/RIV ✓
E Len Williams OI/RIV ✓
R Wise EICS/RIV ✓

David Pereira did the inspection of the ANO fire protection
~~allegation~~ *J* The attached file addresses the question of safety
significance. This should answer all of the questions that we
are aware of.

CC: DBP

Files: P: ~~ALLEG~~ *J* ANO

From: David B. Pereira *DRS/RIV*
To: Chris Vandenburg, Thomas Gwynn *DRS/RIV*
Date: Wednesday, January 17, 1996 4:31 am
Subject: ANO FIRE PROTECTION ~~ALLEGATION~~ RIV-95-A-0009 ~~I~~

1. Please have Phil Qualls coordinate with Russ Wise and OI (Kirs concerning the subject. OI is trying to closeout its investigation question as to whether a required record was falsified needs to be replaced. In particular, Phil's memo responding to the subject refers to the replacement of screws in an electrical panel that enclosed a required fire seal. This is a minor violation of licensee procedures (potential NCV). However, make clear whether the fire seal is the only safety-related matter if the electrical panel closure is also safety related. This is unclear since the records related to the panel closure were falsified and unclear as to whether the work to close the panel was required to be done according to prescribed procedures or if the licensee applied its own procedures in an application where NRC did not have requirements. We need to know if that record was a record required by NRC.

2. On January 23, 1996, I contacted the Unit 1 ANO electrical modification department concerning the particular penetration and to determine if the electrical panel closure was also safety related. According to the modification supervisor, Ray Kellar, the panel closure was not safety related. The cover over the electrical junction box was to prevent debris, personnel from entering the box without a work permit. According to the Controlled Work Permit (CWP #90-2053/900034-3), the work classified as safety related was checked only for fire protection, and NOT "Q" or "Seismic". No quality control signature was required for reinstallation of the cover on the electrical panel. Quality control signatures were required for verification of installation of a 1 inch minimum Cerafiber/Kaowool/Ceraboard and a verification that the fire seal was complete and free of visible damage.

The work to close the panel was required to be performed by the licensee's procedures. There was no NRC requirement for the electrical panel cover installed. The fire seal was the safety related NRC requirement. The fire seal was installed, verified by QC, and witnessed by appropriate personnel. The electrical panel cover's purpose was to keep the junction box clean and prevent unauthorized entry into the junction box. The work that was falsified was the electrical cover reinstallation which is not a record requirement.

CC: PMQ