

January 31, 2001

Mr. John M. Driskill
Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
President 111
United Plant Guard Workers of America
767 Dry Bridge Rd
Smithland, KY 42081

Dear Mr. Driskill:

I am responding to the petition for rulemaking dated March 13, 2000, that you submitted to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) on behalf of the United Plant Guard Workers of America. Your petition requested that NRC amend its regulations concerning security at the gaseous diffusion plants and was docketed as PRM-76-1.

The notice of receipt of the petition was published in the Federal Register on May 10, 2000 (65 FR 30018). The comment period closed on July 24, 2000. One comment letter was received.

NRC has considered the petition and your supporting rationale. For the reasons provided in the enclosed Federal Register notice, your petition is denied. In summary, the petition is being denied because we have determined that current NRC regulations and certificate conditions governing the gaseous diffusion plants provide adequate protection for both classified matter and special nuclear material at the gaseous diffusion plants and that the increased burden that would be imposed is not warranted.

Sincerely,

/RA/

William D. Travers
Executive Director
for Operations

Enclosure: Federal Register Notice
Denying Petition

Mr. John M. Driskill
Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
President 111
United Plant Guard Workers of America
767 Dry Bridge Rd
Smithland, KY 42081

January 31, 2001

Dear Mr. Driskill:

I am responding to the petition for rulemaking dated March 13, 2000, that you submitted to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) on behalf of the United Plant Guard Workers of America. Your petition requested that NRC amend its regulations concerning security at the gaseous diffusion plants and was docketed as PRM-76-1.

The notice of receipt of the petition was published in the Federal Register on May 10, 2000 (65 FR 30018). The comment period closed on July 24, 2000. One comment letter was received.

NRC has considered the petition and your supporting rationale. For the reasons provided in the enclosed Federal Register notice, your petition is denied. In summary, the petition is being denied because we have determined that current NRC regulations and certificate conditions governing the gaseous diffusion plants provide adequate protection for both classified matter and special nuclear material at the gaseous diffusion plants and that the increased burden that would be imposed is not warranted.

Sincerely,
/RA/
William D. Travers
Executive Director
for Operations

Enclosure: Federal Register Notice
Denying Petition

Distribution: RGordon AHowe DMendiola\ADM

Document Name: ADAMS Accession Number: ML003779670

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box "C" = copy without attachment/enclosure, "B" = copy with attachment/enclosure, "N" = No copy

OFFICE:	RGB/IMNS		RGB/IMNS		Tech Ed		SEC/ADM	
NAME:	MHorn		PHolahan		EKraus		TMartin	
DATE:	1 / 17 /01		1 / 22 /01		10 / 11 /00		12 / 11 /00	
OFFICE:	D/FCSS		D/IMNS		ADM		OGC	
NAME:	MWeber		DCool CT for		MLesar by memo		STreby NLO	
DATE:	12 / 8 /00		1 / 24 /01		1 / 12 /01		1 / 16/01	
OFFICE:	D/NMSS		DEDMRS		EDO			
NAME:	WFKane		CPaperiello		WTravers			
DATE:	1 / 26 /01		1 / 30 /01		1 / 31 /01			

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY