

**From:** Thomas Cluff <tcluff@co.tooele.ut.us>  
**To:** <nrcprep@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** Tue, Sep 19, 2000 4:57 PM  
**Subject:** Draft Report Comments: NUREG-1714

65 FR 39206  
June 23, 2000

(132)

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by  
Thomas Cluff (tcluff@co.tooele.ut.us) on Tuesday, September 19, 2000 at 16:56:43

72-22

StreetNumber: 320 South

StreetName: 360 West

City: Tooele

State: UT

ZIP: 84074

Country: USA

**Comments:** I would like to voice opposition to any attempt to extend the public comment period on this draft EIS. The public has had ample opportunity to respond to the issues raised by the EIS and an extension would only allow the political leaders in Utah more opportunity to unfairly malign the Goshute leaders, PFS, the Tooele County Commissioners and the NRC.

To date, the complaints raised against this proposal are largely without merit. The applicant and the NRC have done substantial scientific research to verify the safety of this transport and storage method. None of the concerns raised are based on credible scientific evidence of any risk or inadequate safety.

As a government agency, the NRC is constitutionally obligated to give PFS's proposal equal protection under the law. That means that the application must be considered on its merits without deference to fear or irrational political pressure. PFS has made a well reasoned and scientifically sound application. And, even though the opponents of this application are voicing all sorts of objections to the plan, if these objections are not based on sound scientific merits, they should be disregarded.

Thank you.

Submit2: Submit comments

ADM03

ERIDS 03  
Add Scott Flanders  
(SCF)

Template ADM 013