

TO: DAVID MEYER

CC: GEORGE HUBBARD

TANYA EATON

65FR 8752

Feb. 22, 2000

RECEIVED

(13)

200 JUN -7 PM 9:55

RULES & DIR. ENVIRON
US NRC

ATTACHED IS AN INCOMING E-MAIL. WE ARE
TREATING IT AS COMMENTS TO THE DRAFT FINAL
TECHNICAL STUDY OF SPENT FUEL POOL ACCIDENT RISK AT
DECOMMISSIONING NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS.

IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE GIVE ME A
CALL.

THANKS,

DAVE WRONA
PROJECT MANAGER
DECOMMISSIONING
415-1924
DJW1

Template - AD11-013

E - RIDS - AD11-03
ADD: R. Dudley (RFD)

From: Tajuan Carter
To: RidsNrrDlpm
Date: Mon, May 22, 2000 5:23 PM
Subject: YELLOW TICKET 020000170 FOR DLPM ACTION

RECEIVED

200 JUN -7 PM 9: 55

RULES & DIR. BRANCH
US NRC

TICKET NO: 020000170

DATE DUE: 06/09/00

FROM: Michele Riddell

TO: Commissioner Merserve

DESCRIPTION: Probablistic Risk Assessment Techniques to Justify the Existing Spent Fuel Pool Design

ASSIGNED TO: DLPM:Zwolinski

ACCESSION NUMBER: ML003702095

CC: RidsNrrAdip, RidsNrrAdpt, RidsNrrOd, RidsNrrWpc...

From: Tajuan Carter
To: Tajuan Carter
Date: Mon, May 22, 2000 5:18 PM
Subject:

FNWEBNAVIGATE=1.0
SYSTEMTYPE=MEZZANINE
DOCUMENTID=003702095
STARTPAGE=1
LIBRARYNAME=ML_ADAMS^HQNTAD01

RECEIVED

2000 JUN -7 PM 9: 55

RULES & DIR. BRANCH
US NRC

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

RECEIVED

Date Printed: Apr 11, 2000 15:54
PAPER NUMBER: LTR-00-0247 LOGGING DATE: 04/11/2000
ACTION OFFICE: EDO ~ & ~ ~ , % ' f ~ / Q
AUTHOR: MICHELE RIDDELL
DEDR
AFFILIATION: NY DEDM

200 JUN -7 PM 9: 55
RULES & DIR. BRANCH
US NRC

ADDRESSEE:
SUBJ CT: PROBABLISTIC RISK ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES TO JUSTIFY THE
EXISTING SPENT FUEL POOL DESIGN....
ACTION: Appropriate
DISTRIBUTION: CHAIRMAN, COMRS

LETTER DATE: 04/07/2000
ACKNOWLEDGED No
SPECIAL HANDLING: OCM #2155

NOTES:
FILE LOCATION: ADAMS
DATE DUE: DATE SIGNED:
Friday, April (~7., 2000 4:45 PM To: Commissioner MerserveFrom: Michele Riddell. 255-
5482 Page: 2 of 2

Attention, Commissioner Merserve, April 7, 2000

The NRC is trying to use probabilistic risk assessment techniques to justify the existing spent fuel pool design as being safe. You (NRC) propose to justify generic regulatory relief in the areas of emergency planning, insurance indemnification and safeguards for power plant owners.

However, in May of 1975, senior manager Stephen Hanauer of the NRC stated in a letter, that "you can make probabilistic numbers prove anything, by which I mean, that probabilistic numbers "prove" NOTHING."

This respected technical advisor from your own commission has expressed doubts about using probabilities. Why, then, should I believe that the NRC, by using probabilistic numbers, is going to prove that spent fuel pools are safe??? How is the NRC able to use probabilities convincingly to protect health and safety? I

feel that this is an invalid way of measuring safety, and should not be used.

Each day these reactors stay opened you are poisoning the environment. This is unacceptable.

Sincerely, Michele Kiddell- SAFE Legacy