

August 4, 2000

MEMORANDUM TO: Mark A. Cunningham, Chief
Probabilistic Risk Analysis Branch
Division of Risk Analysis and Applications
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

FROM: Cynthia A. Carpenter, Chief/**RA**/
Generic Issues, Environmental, Financial and Rulemaking Branch
Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: SECOND ANNUAL REVIEW OF APPLICATION-SPECIFIC, RISK-
INFORMED REGULATORY GUIDES AND STANDARD REVIEW PLAN
SECTIONS

Your memo of July 24, 2000, requested input for the second annual review of the subject guidance documents. In particular, the memo asked for "any additional needed modifications to the application-specific documents and ...updates to modifications in the August 1999 review." We have no input to offer beyond that contained in the August 30, 1999, memorandum and the July 3, 2000 memo to the Commission on RG 1.174 and SRP Chapter 19.0.

I also note that under present schedules, incorporating changes from the 1st update into the application-specific guides will begin after March 2001. Further, in some of the areas, there are other factors affecting plans and schedules (e.g, South Texas exemption and graded quality assurance). In view of the steps remaining for completion of the 1st update, along with the wide range of other activities in risk-informed regulation, it seems premature to try to start on the 2nd review before we have even had the chance to incorporate the results from the first. Thus, we would recommend that you propose to the Commission a 2-year cycle rather than annual.

cc: M. Fields

August 4, 2000

MEMORANDUM TO: Mark A. Cunningham, Chief
Probabilistic Risk Analysis Branch
Division of Risk Analysis and Applications
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

FROM: Cynthia A. Carpenter, Chief/**RA**/
Generic Issues, Environmental, Financial and Rulemaking Branch
Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: SECOND ANNUAL REVIEW OF APPLICATION-SPECIFIC, RISK-
INFORMED REGULATORY GUIDES AND STANDARD REVIEW PLAN
SECTIONS

Your memo of July 24, 2000, requested input for the second annual review of the subject guidance documents. In particular, the memo asked for "any additional needed modifications to the application-specific documents and ...updates to modifications in the August 1999 review." We have no input to offer beyond that contained in the August 30, 1999, memorandum and the July 3, 2000 memo to the Commission on RG 1.174 and SRP Chapter 19.0.

I also note that under present schedules, incorporating changes from the 1st update into the application-specific guides will begin after March 2001. Further, in some of the areas, there are other factors affecting plans and schedules (e.g, South Texas exemption and graded quality assurance). In view of the steps remaining for completion of the 1st update, along with the wide range of other activities in risk-informed regulation, it seems premature to try to start on the 2nd review before we have even had the chance to incorporate the results from the first. Thus, we would recommend that you propose to the Commission a 2-year cycle rather than annual.

cc: M. Fields

DISTRIBUTION:
Central Files
RBarrett
CCarpenter
MRubin
SWest
EMcKenna

OFFICE	RGEB	SC:RGEB	BC:SPSB	BC:RGEB
NAME	EMcKenna	SWest		CCarpenter
DATE	8/2/00	8/3/00	8/4 /00	8/4/00

ROUTING SLIP

SUBJECT: Memo to M. Cunningham on 2nd update to Application-specific risk-informed RG and SRP

Contact: E. McKenna x2189

	<u>Name</u>	<u>Date</u>
1.	<u>E. McKenna</u>	<u> </u>
2.	<u>S. West</u>	<u> </u>
3.	<u>R. Barrett, SPSB</u>	<u> </u>
4.	<u>C. Carpenter</u>	<u> </u>
5.	<u>RGEB Secretary - Dispatch</u>	<u> </u>

File name: G:\RGEB\EMM\RIRGUPDATE