

August 2, 2000

MEMORANDUM TO: Marsha Gamberoni, Chief, Section 1
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Peter S. Tam, Senior Project Manager, Section 1 **/RA/**
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 1 –
ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION, ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED WITH
THE LICENSEE REGARDING RELIEF REQUESTS (TAC NO. MA7129)

REFERENCE: Letter, J. T. Conway to NRC, October 30, 1999, transmitting third
10-year interval inservice inspection plan and relief requests

By letter dated October 30, 1999, the licensee submitted the referenced document. The NRC staff is reviewing the relief requests and has developed a number of questions (attached). They have been transmitted electronically to the licensee. This memorandum and the e-mail do not currently state an NRC staff position and do not formally request information. The staff will discuss with licensee personnel in a phone call in the near future regarding disposition of the questions in the e-mail.

Docket No. 50-220

Attachment: As stated

August 2, 2000

MEMORANDUM TO: Marsha Gamberoni, Chief, Section 1
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Peter S. Tam, Senior Project Manager, Section 1 **/RA/**
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 1 –
ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION, ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED WITH
THE LICENSEE REGARDING RELIEF REQUESTS (TAC NO. MA7129)

REFERENCE: Letter, J. T. Conway to NRC, October 30, 1999, transmitting third
10-year interval inservice inspection plan and relief requests

By letter dated October 30, 1999, the licensee submitted the referenced document. The NRC staff is reviewing the relief requests and has developed a number of questions (attached). They have been transmitted electronically to the licensee. This memorandum and the e-mail do not currently state an NRC staff position and do not formally request information. The staff will discuss with licensee personnel in a phone call in the near future regarding disposition of the questions in the e-mail.

Docket No. 50-220

Attachment: As stated

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC

E. Adensam

M. Gamberoni (A)

S. Little

PDI-1 Reading

P. Tam

DOCUMENT NAME: ML003736985

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

| | | | | |
|--------|------------|------------|--|--|
| OFFICE | PDI-1/PM | PDI-1/LA | | |
| NAME | PTam | SLittle | | |
| DATE | 8 / 2 / 00 | 8 / 2 / 00 | | |

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

From: Peter Tam
To: INTERNET:leonardm@nimo.com, INTERNET:paget@nimo.c...
Date: Thu, Jul 27, 2000 3:24 PM
Subject: NMPC's 10/30/99 Submittal on NMP-1 (**TAC MA7129**)

Steve:

We are reviewing your submittal. Our reviewers Bill Koo and Tom McLellan developed the following 2 questions. Please consider them and call me to set up a conference call. Thanks.

1. NMPC referenced NUREG 0313, Revision 1, dated July 1980 in Request for Relief ISI-12. The NRC issued NUREG-0313, Revision 2, in January 1988. Did NMPC consider Revision 2 in its evaluation of Request for Relief ISI-12? If not, please note that Revision 2 made changes that NMPC needs to consider as they affect the relief request.

2. NMPC stated in its basis that the welds were not fully examined by volumetric and/or surface examinations methods. From the text, it appears that there were some examinations of the subject welds. The attached table states that the extent examined is 0%. Were there any examinations performed on the pipe side of the components?

Peter

CC: Thomas McLellan, William Koo

Attachment