

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of:

) Docket No. 72-22-ISFSI
)

PRIVATE FUEL STORAGE, LLC
(Independent Spent Fuel
Storage Installation)

) ASLBP No. 97-732-02-ISFSI
)
) July 26, 1999

STATE OF UTAH'S RESPONSE TO
NRC STAFF'S RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY DISPOSITION OF CONTENTION UTAH B

The Applicant filed a Motion for Summary Disposition of Contention Utah B ("Applicant's Motion") on June 11, 1999 to which both the Staff and the State filed responses on July 16, 1999. The State now submits a brief reply to the Staff's Response.

The Staff takes the position that no factual issues remain to be resolved concerning Utah Contention B. Staff Response at 11-12. To support this proposition, the Staff relies, in part, on the Applicant's February 10, 1999 response to the Staff's December 10, 1998 Requests for Additional Information ("RAIs"). The Staff relies on the Applicant's RAI response as to how PFS plans to operate the intermodal transfer facility ("ITF"), and also as to the emergency response and physical protection to be provided at the ITF. The Staff also maintains that the Applicant's operation at the ITF will fall within the established regulatory regime governing transportation of

spent fuel, and, thus all legal issues concerning Utah Contention B are resolved. Staff Reply at 13. Moreover, in the Staff's Statement of its Position concerning Contention Utah B, ("Staff's Position") filed on June 15, 1999, the Staff asserts that if "unforeseen circumstances arose" with respect to multiple casks present at the ITF, NRC could condition "utility route approvals to requirement permission from PFS to begin shipment... and require that armed guards be posted when multiple casks are present at the [ITF] . . ." Staff Position, Attachment, at 3.

The State strongly disputes the Staff's position. Nowhere in the Staff's Reply or in the Staff's Position, does NRC Staff discuss the regulation of the gantry crane. As the State pointed out in its July 16, 1999, Response to the Applicant's Motion, the gantry crane, used to maneuver the casks from railcar to truck bed, will not be regulated under Part 71. Furthermore, to the extent that the Staff is relying on promises made by the Applicant in its February 10, 1999 RAI response, with respect to security measures and emergency response procedures to ensure that all necessary regulatory protections are in place at the ITF, those promises are unenforceable by the NRC.


The Atomic Safety and licensing Appeal Board In the Matter of Wrangler Laboratories, et. al (General License Authority of 10 CFR § 40.22), ALAB-051, 33 NRC 505 (1991), addressed the scope of "requirements" (*i.e.* "a legally binding requirement such as a statute, regulation, license condition, technical specification, or

order") that may be imposed on a licensee under the Commission's enforcement policy. 33 NRC at 519. The Board could not find that specific license or guidance requirements had been imposed on the licensee by prior order or some other legally binding requirement. Id. Furthermore, the Staff cannot impose requirements on a licensee by orders that have a retroactive application. Oncology Services Corp (Order Suspending Byproduct Material License No. 37-28540-01), ASLBP No. 93-684-02-EA, 39 NRC 11, 21 (1994). Thus, NRC cannot rely on the Applicant's RAI responses or impose an order if "unforeseen circumstances arose" to ensure that PFS's operation of the ITF is fully covered by enforceable regulations. Furthermore, the regulatory scheme the Staff plans to use does not cover an important piece of safety equipment, the gantry crane.

The State has raised a number of factual and legal disputes with the Applicant's and Staff's position to show that PFS will not operate the ITF in accordance with an established transportation regulatory regime. Accordingly, the Applicant's Motion for Summary Disposition of Utah Contention B should be rejected in toto by the Board.

DATED this 26th day of July, 1999.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Denise Chancellor", written over a horizontal line.

Denise Chancellor, Assistant Attorney General
Fred G Nelson, Assistant Attorney General
Diane Curran, Special Assistant Attorney General
Connie Nakahara, Special Assistant Attorney General
Attorneys for State of Utah
Utah Attorney General's Office
160 East 300 South, 5th Floor, P.O. Box 140873
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0873
Telephone: (801) 366-0286, Fax: (801) 366-0292

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of STATE OF UTAH'S RESPONSE TO NRC
STAFF'S RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
DISPOSITION OF CONTENTION UTAH B was served on the persons listed
below by electronic mail (unless otherwise noted) with conforming copies by United
States mail first class, this 26th day of July, 1999:

Rulemaking & Adjudication Staff
Secretary of the Commission
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington D.C. 20555
E-mail: hearingdocket@nrc.gov
(original and two copies)

G. Paul Bollwerk, III, Chairman
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555
E-Mail: gpb@nrc.gov

Dr. Jerry R. Kline
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555
E-Mail: jrk2@nrc.gov
E-Mail: kjerry@erols.com

Dr. Peter S. Lam
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555
E-Mail: psl@nrc.gov

Sherwin E. Turk, Esq.
Catherine L. Marco, Esq.
Office of the General Counsel
Mail Stop - 0-15 B18
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555
E-Mail: set@nrc.gov
E-Mail: clm@nrc.gov
E-Mail: pfcscase@nrc.gov

Jay E. Silberg, Esq.
Ernest L. Blake, Jr.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N. W.
Washington, DC 20037-8007
E-Mail: Jay_Silberg@shawpittman.com
E-Mail: ernest_blake@shawpittman.com
E-Mail: paul_gaukler@shawpittman.com

John Paul Kennedy, Sr., Esq.
1385 Yale Avenue
Salt Lake City, Utah 84105
E-Mail: john@kennedys.org


Richard E. Condit, Esq.
Land and Water Fund of the Rockies
2260 Baseline Road, Suite 200
Boulder, Colorado 80302
E-Mail: rcondit@lawfund.org

Joro Walker, Esq.
Land and Water Fund of the Rockies
2056 East 3300 South Street, Suite 1
Salt Lake City, Utah 84109
E-Mail: joro61@inconnect.com

Danny Quintana, Esq.
Danny Quintana & Associates, P.C.
68 South Main Street, Suite 600
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
E-Mail: quintana@xmission.com

James M. Cutchin
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001
E-Mail: jmc3@nrc.gov
(*electronic copy only*)

Office of the Commission Appellate
Adjudication
Mail Stop: 16-G-15 OWFN
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555
(*United States mail only*)


Denise Chancellor
Assistant Attorney General
State of Utah